

Application No.: 07-05-007

Exhibit No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Witness: Dennis V. Arriola, Michael M. Schneider and Joanne C. Wang

Date: August 8, 2007

Application No. 07-05-007

Exhibit No. SDGE-S1

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY  
*REDACTED*  
PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF  
DENNIS V. ARRIOLA  
MICHAEL M. SCHNEIDER  
JOANNE C. WANG

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

August 8, 2007

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I. INTRODUCTION (Dennis V. Arriola)</b> .....	1
<b>II. CURRENT CREDIT RATINGS (Joanne C. Wang)</b> .....	2
<b>III. S&amp;P BENCHMARKS (Joanne C. Wang)</b> .....	2
<b>IV. SDG&amp;E’S PPA DEBT EQUIVALENCE AND PROPOSED MITIGATION MECHANISM (Michael M. Schneider)</b> .....	3
<b>V. ADVERSE IMPACT OF DEBT EQUIVALENCE ON CREDIT RATIOS (Joanne C. Wang)</b> .....	7
<b>VI. RATEMAKING CAPITAL STRUCTURE (Joanne C. Wang)</b> .....	8
<b>VII. CONCLUSION (Dennis V. Arriola)</b> .....	10
<b>EXHIBIT 1: REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECOVERED THROUGH BALANCING &amp; MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS (Michael M. Schneider)</b> .....	11
<b>EXHIBIT 2: SDG&amp;E RESOURCE PLAN (Michael M. Schneider)</b> .....	12

1 **PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF**  
2 **DENNIS V. ARRIOLA, MIKE M. SCHNEIDER AND JOANNE C. WANG**  
3 **ON BEHALF OF**  
4 **SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY**

5 **I. INTRODUCTION**

6 The purpose of this testimony is to respond to ALJ Galvin’s June 14, 2007 request  
7 issued in the cost of capital pre-hearing conference for supplemental testimony on the  
8 impact of power purchase obligations on San Diego Gas & Electric’s (“SDG&E”) credit  
9 profile. In this supplemental testimony, we provide the requested information on  
10 SDG&E’s credit ratings, business profile, and Standard & Poor’s (“S&P”) credit ratio  
11 guidelines for such business profile. SDG&E also provides pro forma credit ratios based  
12 on S&P’s definitions for the requested cost of capital and currently authorized cost of  
13 capital. SDG&E strongly believes that its proposed equity rebalancing mechanism,  
14 described in the direct testimony of Mr. Schneider dated May 8, 2007, is fair and prudent  
15 policy for mitigating Power Purchase Agreement (“PPA”) debt equivalence for SDG&E<sup>1</sup>.  
16 However, absent SDG&E’s equity rebalancing proposal, the appropriate capital structure  
17 for SDG&E is described herein in Section VI. At the prehearing conference  
18 Commissioner Bohn requested information regarding decoupling of revenue and risk. In  
19 response, SDG&E has attached as Exhibit 1 a table that features the revenue requirement  
20 recovered through balancing and memorandum accounts.

---

<sup>1</sup> SDG&E presented its proposed equity rebalancing mechanism to mitigate the negative effect of entering into prospective PPAs on its credit ratios in the Long-Term Procurement Plan proceeding R.06-02-013. Although the contract evaluation process, which includes SDG&E’s equity rebalancing costs, remains in the LTPP proceeding, SDG&E’s ratemaking proposal associated with equity rebalancing was moved into this instant proceeding by ALJ Brown in a Ruling on Motions to Strike Testimony issued May 2, 2007.

1 **II. CURRENT CREDIT RATINGS**

2 Ordering Paragraph 6 (OP6) from Decision 04-12-047 requires a utility to provide  
3 “current credit ratings from Moody’s and S&P.” SDG&E’s current credit ratings are:

	<u>S&amp;P</u>	<u>Moody’s</u>
4 Long-Term Issuer	A	A2
5 Unsecured Debt	A-	A2
6 Secured Debt	A+	A1
7 Preferred Stock	BBB+	Baa1
8 Commercial Paper	A-1	P-1

9  
10 **III. S&P BENCHMARKS**

11 S&P assigns business profile scores using a 10-point scale, where '1' represents  
12 the lowest risk and '10' the highest risk. Business profile scores generally are assessed  
13 using five categories: regulation, markets, operations, competitiveness and management.  
14 SDG&E has been assigned a business profile score of 5 since 2004; the S&P financial  
15 guidelines for business profile 5 are shown in the table below:<sup>2</sup>

<u>S&amp;P-Adjusted Ratio</u>	<u>“A” Range</u>
16 Funds from Operations / Total Debt (%)	30% to 22%
17 Total Debt / Total Capital (%)	42% to 50%
18 Funds from Operations / Interest Coverage (x)	4.5x to 3.8x

19  

---

<sup>2</sup> “New Business Profile Scores Assigned for U.S. Utility and Power Companies; Financial Guidelines Revised,” Standard & Poor’s, June 2, 2004.

1 **IV. SDG&E'S PPA DEBT EQUIVALENCE AND PROPOSED MITIGATION**  
2 **MECHANISM**

3 In its most recent publication,<sup>3</sup> S&P calculates \$697 million of debt equivalence  
4 associated with SDG&E's existing PPAs. SDG&E infers that S&P used the  
5 methodology described in their September 13, 2006 report that "capitalize(s) the capacity  
6 payments and have assumed 90% of all-in energy payments to equal the capacity  
7 payment in the case of SDG&E's wind and solar contracts."<sup>4</sup> S&P used an implied  
8 discount rate of 6.92% and assigned a risk factor of 25%.<sup>5</sup> The implied discount rate is  
9 slightly higher than SDG&E's cost of debt, so the debt equivalence calculated by S&P  
10 (\$697 million) is lower than a calculation using SDG&E's cost of debt. To be  
11 conservative, SDG&E will use the same parameters, i.e. 6.92% as the discount rate and  
12 25% as the risk factor, for debt equivalence calculations in this supplemental testimony.

13 In direct testimony filed on May 8, 2007, SDG&E's witness Schneider discussed  
14 in detail the necessity for SDG&E to enter into additional PPAs in order to replace the  
15 California Department of Water Resource ("CDWR") energy contracts and to meet the  
16 state-wide renewable standard. SDG&E filed its resource plan as shown in Exhibit 2 in  
17 the ongoing long term procurement plan proceeding (R.06-02-013). The following table  
18 shows the amount of MWs that SDG&E plans to place under contract during the planning  
19 horizon for both renewables and peakers in order to meet its long-term procurement plan

---

<sup>3</sup> "Sempra Energy's, Units' Ratings Are Affirmed After Spin-Off News; Outlook Stable," Standard & Poor's, July 9, 2007.

<sup>4</sup> "Sempra Energy Research Report," Standard & Poor's, September 13, 2006, page 9.

<sup>5</sup> *Id.*

1 (“New PPAs”). It should be noted that SDG&E will continue to sign more PPAs going  
2 forward in order to meet its resource requirement.

3 **Table 1 Projected in-service schedule for New PPAs**

4

(MW- nameplate capacity)	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Renewable Resources PPAs	2	5	7	275			288
Peaker PPAs for CDWR Replacement /Resource Requirements				450	150	200	930

5

6 Steps 1 to 4 of Appendix D in Mr. Schneider’s prepared direct testimony details  
7 S&P’s methodology of calculating PPA debt equivalence. In summary, the PPA debt  
8 equivalent equals the net present value of future capacity payments multiplied by an  
9 assigned risk factor. In order to estimate the capacity payments of the New PPAs,  
10 SDG&E uses \$145/kW-Yr,<sup>6</sup> based on the installed cost published by the California  
11 Energy Commission (“CEC”), as the proxy capacity charge. This approach is consistent  
12 with S&P’s published PPA debt equivalence calculation methodology.<sup>7</sup> Using 6.92% as  
13 the discount rate and a 25% risk factor, SDG&E would incur an additional \$424 million  
14 of debt equivalence if it signed up the planned MWs, as shown in Table 2.

<sup>6</sup> Based on the installed cost per kW and Fixed O&M costs published by the California Energy Commission (CEC) in a Draft Staff Report entitled "Comparative Costs of California Central Station Electricity Generation Technologies"

<sup>7</sup> Bodek, David. “Research: Standard & Poor’s Methodology for Imputing Debt for U.S. Utilities’ Power Purchase Agreements,” Standard & Poor’s, New York: May 7, 2007.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

**Table 2 – SDG&E PPA Debt Equivalence**

<b>Debt Equivalent</b>	<b>2007</b>	
(\$MM)		
PPA - Existing QF	89	} 697
PPA - Existing Renewables (inc. pending for approval)	498	
PPA - Existing PGE, SD Co Water, Other bilaterals	110	
PPA - Renewables to be signed	95	} 424
PPA - Peaker PPAs to be signed (base case)	329	
Total Debt Equivalent	<u>\$ 1,120</u>	

The additional debt equivalence, a 61% increase over that associated with SDG&E’s existing PPAs, will have a material adverse impact to SDG&E’s credit profile, which is illustrated in the next section of this supplemental testimony. As mentioned before, because SDG&E needs to enter into more PPAs (in addition to the New PPAs) to meet its load demand shown in Exhibit 2, SDG&E’s incremental debt equivalence will be greater than \$424 million after signing additional PPAs. Given SDG&E’s five-year cost of capital cycle under its MICAM, SDG&E strongly recommends in this proceeding that the Commission establish a proactive and timely equity adjustment policy for mitigating the adverse credit impacts of PPA debt equivalence that will preserve SDG&E’s creditworthiness.

SDG&E has been advocating the concept that the equity rebalancing costs be recovered in rates only after customers receive benefits from contracts. The majority of the New PPAs will be phased into service over the next several years. There are several reasons why a contract-by-contract approach is preferable to an all-encompassing

1 advance mitigation approach. Until a contract is signed, SDG&E does not know what  
2 treatment that PPA will receive; i.e., whether it will be required to be consolidated under  
3 FIN 46(R) or whether an associated debt equivalence will be added to SDG&E's credit  
4 balance sheet. The debt equivalence for New PPAs is estimated based on the proxy  
5 capacity charge and MWs planned to be signed. SDG&E believes that it is most  
6 equitable to recover a revenue requirement related to equity rebalancing once customers  
7 benefit from these contracts or projects so SDG&E has proposed the automatic mitigation  
8 mechanism detailed in the direct testimony of Mr. Schneider dated May 8, 2007. This  
9 ensures that customers would only face an incremental revenue requirement to offset the  
10 adverse credit impact mitigation costs associated with a PPA when they are actually  
11 served by the PPA.

12 It should be noted that S&P has been adding debt equivalence when the contracts  
13 become effective or have a higher probability of becoming effective. It should also be  
14 noted that FIN46(R) requires consolidation when the contracts are binding. S&P's \$697  
15 million PPA debt equivalence includes the debt equivalence of those PPAs that will be in  
16 service in 2009 or 2010 and those that are pending CPUC approval. SDG&E believes the  
17 proposed mechanism is fair to customers, who will not pay anything in advance, and to  
18 SDG&E, which will recover the costs associated with PPA debt equivalence mitigation in  
19 a relatively timely manner--albeit not concurrently with the initial assignment of debt  
20 equivalence or initial FIN 46(R) consolidation.



1 **V. ADVERSE IMPACT OF DEBT EQUIVALENCE ON CREDIT RATIOS**

2 ALJ Galvin clarified that the utilities should calculate pro forma S&P credit ratios  
 3 for 2007, instead of 2008, in response to a question from PG&E regarding the  
 4 supplemental testimony. Using its 2007 financial forecast, SDG&E’s credit ratios are as  
 5 shown in Table 3.

**Table 3 – SDG&E Financial Ratios with and without PPA Debt Equivalence**

	<u>2007</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>Pro Forma Post Effective</u>	<b>S&amp;P Guidelines for Business Profile 5</b>
	Without PPA Debt Equivalence	Including Existing PPA Debt Equivalence (\$697MM)	Including All PPA Debt Equivalence (\$1120MM)	
	Current ROR Current Cap	Current ROR Current Cap	Current ROR Current Cap	'A' Rating
<b>FFO / Adjusted Debt</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	30% - 22%
<b>Adjusted Total Debt / Total Capitalization</b>	<b>49.0%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	42% - 50%
<b>Funds From Operations Interest Coverage</b>	<b>5.64</b>	<b>4.30</b>	<b>3.81</b>	4.5x - 3.8x

6  
 7 SDG&E’s forecast credit ratios will improve slightly upon adoption of its  
 8 recommended ROE, embedded costs of debt and preferred stock.

**Table 4 – SDG&E Financial Ratios for Current and Requested ROR**

	<b>2007</b>	<b>Pro Forma Post Effective</b>	<b>S&amp;P Guidelines for Business Profile 5</b>
	Including Existing PPA Debt Equivalence (\$697MM)	Including All PPA Debt Equivalence (\$1120MM)	
	Current ROR Current Cap	Current ROR Current Cap	'A' Rating
<b>FFO / Adjusted Debt</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	30% - 22%
<b>Adjusted Total Debt / Total Capitalization</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	42% - 50%
<b>Funds From Operations Interest Coverage</b>	<b>4.30</b>	<b>3.81</b>	4.5x - 3.8x
	Requested ROR Current Cap	Requested ROR Current Cap	
<b>FFO / Adjusted Debt</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	30% - 22%
<b>Adjusted Total Debt / Total Capitalization</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>59.2%</b>	42% - 50%
<b>Funds From Operations Interest Coverage</b>	<b>4.37</b>	<b>3.87</b>	4.5x - 3.8x

**VI. RATEMAKING CAPITAL STRUCTURE**

SDG&E believes that its proposed contract-by-contract credit impact mitigation mechanism is fair to customers as discussed in Section IV, while also preserving SDG&E’s creditworthiness. Several intervenors have asked SDG&E to propose an alternative ratemaking capital structure if its proposed automatic adjustment mechanism is not adopted. Since the debt equivalence associated with the New PPAs will be added to SDG&E’s credit balance sheet over the next several years, it is difficult to make a single recommendation for such an alternative ratemaking capital structure. However, to respond to the intervenors’ requests, SDG&E has developed an adjusted capital structure to mitigate the adverse credit impact of New PPAs based on the point estimate of debt

1 equivalence calculated in Section IV. If the automatic adjustment mechanism proposed  
 2 by SDG&E is not adopted by the Commission, then SDG&E's ratemaking capital  
 3 structure at 2008 should provide some cushion for SDG&E to absorb the debt  
 4 equivalence associated with future PPAs. SDG&E hypothesizes that bringing two of the  
 5 three credit ratios into the 'A' rating category before signing any new PPAs may achieve  
 6 this goal. Based on this logic, a 4% increase in equity and a corresponding decrease in  
 7 debt would be required to bring the 2007 FFO/Adjusted Debt ratio (including the debt  
 8 equivalence from existing PPAs) back to the 'A' rating category. This capital structure  
 9 change would increase SDG&E's Commission-jurisdictional revenue requirement by  
 10 \$16.6 million.

11 **Table 5 – SDG&E Financial Ratios for Current and Assumed Capital Structure**

	<b>2007</b>	<b>Pro Forma Post Effective</b>	<b>S&amp;P Guidelines for Business Profile 5</b>
	Including Existing PPA Debt Equivalence (\$697MM)	Including All PPA Debt Equivalence (\$1120MM)	
	Current ROR Current Cap	Current ROR Current Cap	'A' Rating
<b>FFO / Adjusted Debt</b>	<b>19.7%</b>	<b>17.1%</b>	30% - 22%
<b>Adjusted Total Debt / Total Capitalization</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>59.4%</b>	42% - 50%
<b>Funds From Operations Interest Coverage</b>	<b>4.30</b>	<b>3.81</b>	4.5x - 3.8x
	Requested ROR Assumed Cap	Requested ROR Assumed Cap	
<b>FFO / Adjusted Debt</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>19.1%</b>	30% - 22%
<b>Adjusted Total Debt / Total Capitalization</b>	<b>52.4%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	42% - 50%
<b>Funds From Operations Interest Coverage</b>	<b>4.69</b>	<b>4.12</b>	4.5x - 3.8x

1 **VII. CONCLUSION**

2 In order to replace power from the expiring CDWR energy contracts and to meet  
3 the state-wide renewable standard, SDG&E plans to enter into a significant number of  
4 PPAs in 2007 and beyond. The debt equivalence associated with the New PPAs  
5 represents a 61% increase in debt equivalence added to SDG&E's credit balance sheet  
6 based on today's estimation. The negative effect of this additional debt equivalence  
7 would be significant, although it is difficult to estimate the exact impact on SDG&E's  
8 credit profile in coming years. This further reinforces SDG&E's belief that the proposed  
9 automatic adjustment mechanism, which mitigates the impact of debt equivalence and  
10 FIN46(R) consolidation on a contract-by-contract basis, is a just and reasonable policy  
11 for the Commission to adopt for SDG&E since the rebalancing costs are recovered in  
12 rates only after the PPAs begin to benefit customers.

13 This concludes SDG&E's prepared supplemental testimony.

**EXHIBIT 1: REVENUE REQUIREMENT RECOVERED THROUGH BALANCING & MEMORANDUM ACCOUNTS**

San Diego Gas and Electric Company  
 Percentage of 2007 Authorized Revenue Requirement  
 Recovered Through Balancing/Memorandum Accounts  
 (\$ in Thousands)

<u>Line</u>		<u>2007</u>
1.	2007 Authorized Base Margin (1)	<b>976,987</b>
2.	Adjust Items Excluded from Cost of Service Proceeding :	
3.	Commodity (Excl. DWR) :	
4.	Fuel (ERRA) (2)	577,500
5.	Fuel (PGA) (3)	339,789
6.	Non-Fuel (NGBA)	<u>217,000</u>
7.	Total Commodity	1,134,289
8.	Public Purpose Programs (PPP):	
9.	Electric	122,505
10.	Gas	<u>27,398</u>
11.	Total Public Purpose Programs	149,903
12.	Competition Transition Charge (CTC)	47,000
13.	Nuclear Decommissioning	<u>6,691</u>
14.	<b>Adjusted Revenue Requirement</b>	<b><u>2,314,870</u></b>
15.	Revenue Requirements Recovered Through Bal/Memo Acct	
16.	Total Commodity	1,134,289
17.	Total Public Purpose Programs	149,903
18.	Competition Transition Charge (CTC)	47,000
19.	Tree Trimming (3)	22,376
20.	Pension (4)	17,000
21.	PBOPs	<u>7,100</u>
22.	<b>Total Balancing/Memorandum Accounts</b>	<b><u>1,377,668</u></b>
23.	<b>Bal/Memo Account Recovery as % of Adjusted Rev Req</b>	<b>59.51%</b>
24.	<b>Excl. Tree Trimming, Bal/Memo Account Recovery as % of Adjusted Rev Req</b>	<b>58.55%</b>

(1) Distribution & Transportation excluding SONGS & FERC amounts.

(2) Commodity revenue requirements authorized in D. 07-02-027.

(3) Based on annual weighted average cost of gas for the period 6/06 - 5/07.

(4) One-way balancing account.

(5) Pension sharing between ratepayer and shareholder at above ERISA minimum forecast .

## EXHIBIT 2: SDG&E RESOURCE PLAN

### Exhibit 2 SDG&E Resource Plan (in MW)

	Redacted		2009	2010	2011	2012
	2007	2008				
Total Resource Requirement - base case				4420	4475	4511
Total Resource Requirement - high case				4753	4864	4958
<b>SDG&amp;E's Plan</b>						
<b>Existing Generating Facilities</b>						
Palomar	542	542	542	542	542	542
SONGS	449	449	449	449	449	449
DWR Contracts	1403	1028	1028	1028	703	26
<b>Existing PPAs</b>						
Otay Mesa			562	562	562	562
Other Bilaterals	86	86	126	126	126	126
QF	226	226	226	226	226	226
Reliability- Sbay/ Encina	1662	1662	1662			
Approved Renewables (RA MW values)	105	116	231	353	453	507
<b>Peaker Facilities Owned by SDG&amp;E</b>						
Miramar	47	47	47	47	47	47
<b>Other</b>						
Dispatchable DR	86	86	86	86	86	86
Market Purchase On-Peak				211	294	232
<b>Future Resources (nameplate capacity)</b>						
<b>Resource to be acquired</b>						
Renewable Resources PPAs	2	6	13	288	288	288
Peaker PPAs - Estimated				560	730	930
Owned Peakers - Estimated				135	180	180
Combined Cycle Plant				0	0	500
<b>Potential Resource to be acquired</b>						
Potential Renewable Resources PPAs					3	84
<b>Total</b>				4420	4475	4511
<i>New Renewable RA Value</i>	2	5	12	76	79	99

#### Assumptions

Based on SDG&E's 2007-2016 Long-Term Procurement Plan filing  
 Adjusted to reflect result of 2008 Peaking RFO  
 Adjusted to reflect change in anticipated delivery date for a renewable contract