BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric Company (U 902 M) for Approval of Demand Response Programs and Budgets for Years 2009 through 2011	Application 08-06-002

AMENDED APPLICATION OF SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 902-M) FOR APPROVAL OF DEMAND RESPONSE PROGRAMS AND BUDGETS FOR YEARS 2009 THROUGH 2011

Steve Patrick

Attorney for:

SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC OMPANY
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1011
Phone: (213) 244-2954
Fax: (213) 629-9620
E-Mail: spatrick@sempra.com

September 19, 2008

VOLUME IV OF VI

Application of San Diego Gas & Electric
Company (U-902-M) for Approval of
Demand Response Programs and Budgets
for the Years 2009 through 2011.

Application 08-06- 002

CHAPTER III

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF KATHRYN E. SMITH

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

September 19, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER III

I.   PURPOSE

The purpose of this testimony is to present the load impacts of SDG&E's demand response programs calculated in accordance with the load impact protocols.

This testimony contains historical information on program performance in 2006 and 2007 and the predicted load impacts for 2009-2011. The testimony also contains a description and budget for measurement and evaluation activities planned for 2009-2011.

II.   BACKGROUND

On November 18th 2005, the CPUC issued D.05-11-009 which directed agency staff to prepare a set of draft protocols for estimating load impacts for both price responsive and reliability demand response programs.[1] A document was prepared for the WG2 Measurement and Evaluation Committee on April 3rd 2006 titled: Draft Version 1 –Protocols for Estimating the Load Impacts from DR Program. The purpose of the document was to initiate discussion regarding the development of the load impact protocols. Several "Impact Issues" (28 in all) were identified throughout the document to help identify areas for further discussion and thought on the subject. On January 25th 2007 the CPUC initiated R.07-01-041, Order Instituting Rulemaking Regarding Policies and Protocols for Demand Response Load Impact Estimates, Cost-Effectiveness Methodologies, Megawatt Goals and Alignment with California Independent System Operator Market Design Protocols. The purpose of the rulemaking was to develop effective demand response (DR) programs for investor-owned utilities. This rulemaking had four primary goals:

---

[1] D.05-11-009 ordering paragraph #8.

1. 1. Establish a comprehensive set of protocols for estimating the load impacts of DR programs;

2. 2. Establish methodologies to determine the cost-effectiveness of DR programs;

3. 3. Set DR goals for 2008 and beyond, and develop rules on goal attainment; and

4. 4. Consider modifications to DR programs needed to support the California Independent System Operator's (CAISO) efforts to incorporate DR into market design protocols.

On April 18th 2007 a scoping memo and ruling was issued that set the scope and procedural schedule for the proceeding. Among other things, the scoping memo directed the utilities to file their 2009-2011 DR programs no later than June 1st 2008. The scoping memo also identified a Phase 1 of the proceeding where goals #1 and #2 were to be worked on in a simultaneous parallel process[2]. Phase 2 of the proceeding was to deal with goal #3 and yet to be determined phase was to address goal #4. The scoping memo schedule included workshops and provided a timeline for which "straw proposals" for both Load Impact and Cost Effectiveness Protocols were to be submitted to the CPUC. On May 24, 2007, the Energy Division of the CPUC and the Demand Analysis Office of the California Energy Commission (CEC) issued a document entitled *Staff Guidance for Straw Proposals On: Load Impact Estimation from DR and Cost-Effectiveness Methods for DR*. This guidance document helped further identify appropriate Load Impact protocol scope. The joint IOUs submitted its straw proposal on July 16th 2007. On September 10th the joint utilities submitted their final straw proposals for the CPUC's consideration. On April 24th 2008 Decision 08-04-050 adopting protocols for estimating demand response load impacts was issued. The load impact protocol Decision

---

[2] Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge's Scoping Memo and Ruling, April 18, 2007, p 3

1   instructed the utilities to include both the ex-ante and ex-post benefits for programs being offered

2   in the 2009-2011 filing to the extent it is possible.

3   The demand response activities for 2009-2011 include both dynamic rates and demand

4   response programs. Rates Critical Peak Pricing Default (CPP-D) and Peak Time Rebate (PTR)

5   were initially described in SDG&E's AMI business case A-05-03-015 and finally adopted in

6   SDG&E's GRC phase II Settlement Agreement[3]. The load impacts expected from these rates

7   were litigated in the AMI business case and the AMI decision D-07-04-043 laid out specific

8   participation rates and other assumptions that were to be used in calculating the predicted load

9   impacts from these rates. The final prices and incentive levels for the CPP-D and PTR were

10   established in the GRC phase II settlement. The Critical Peak Price Emergency Rate (CPP-E)

11   was not described in the AMI business case but was also adopted in the GRC phase II settlement

12   agreement.

13   No estimates of the load impacts from these rates were included in the GRC phase II

14   settlement agreement. Therefore the load impact estimates in this testimony follow the

15   participation rates and other assumptions required by the AMI decision but are updated to use the

16   prices and incentive levels established in the GRC phase II settlement. The load impact

17   estimates for these rates also include updated information on the rate of the rollout of AMI

18   meters and AMI billing system. Although these rates have already been adopted load impact

19   information is provided in order to provide a complete description of the load impacts of all

20   SDG&E's demand response activities.

21   Demand response programs included in this testimony include the Capacity Bidding

22   Program (CBP), Voluntary CPP, Summer Saver program, Base Interruptible Program (BIP), and

23   Permanent Load Shifting (PLS). The history of these program and program descriptions are in

1   Mark Ward's testimony. Load impacts for the Clean Gen and Peak Gen program are not

2   included in this testimony.

3 **III.   SUMMARY OF LOAD IMPACT FORECAST FOR 2009-2011**

4   Tables KS-1 through KS-4 contain a summary of the forecasted load impacts of

5   SDG&E's demand response activities for 2009-2011 under 4 scenarios: a typical event day in a 1

6   in 2 weather year, a typical event day in a 1 in 10 weather year, the annual peak day in a 1 in 2

7   weather year and the annual peak day in a 1 in 10 weather year. The forecast represents the MW

8   expected to be achieved by each program not the MW expected to be enrolled. The load forecast

9   for each program that existed in 2007 is based on the 2007 measurement and evaluation of the

10   program and has been forecasted following the ex-ante load impact protocols. These protocols

11   require the load impact for a typical event day in a 1 in 2 weather year and a 1 in 10 weather year

12   to be reported for all event based programs. A typical event day is defined in this testimony to

13   be the top 9 system load days for all programs.

14   The results have been adjusted for weather in the following manner. The residential DR

15   activities such as PTR and Summer Saver which are expected to produce a higher load impact at

16   higher temperatures the temperature used to make the load impact prediction was the average

17   temperature between 11 am and 6pm. The average 11am - 6pm temperature was calculated for

18   the top 9 system load days over the past 28 years and the 50th percentile was used for the 1 in 2

19   year and the 90th percentile was used for the 1 in 10 year. Similarly the average temperature

20   between 11am - 6pm on the annual system peak day was calculated for the past 28 years and the

21   50th percentile was used for the 1 in 2 weather year and the 90th percentile was used for the 1 in

22   10 weather year. For CPP-D the total load enrolled in the program has been adjusted to be higher

---

[3] Motion For Adoption Of All Party And All Issue Settlement,, 11/1/07, pp 7-8,

1 in the 1 in 10 scenario. SDG&E's analysis of the CBP in 2007 showed that overall load impact

2 did not vary significantly with temperature so no adjustments were made to the load forecast for

3 this program based on weather. No weather adjustments were made to CPP-E and BIP because

4 these programs consist of large commercial customers who are generally not weather sensitive.

5 The MW numbers presented here represent the average reduction over the entire event period.

6 Since not all programs have the same event period length the MW shown in these tables are

7 averaged over different time periods. However, these tables are designed to present a simple

8 overview of the expected load impacts. A more detailed hourly load impact forecast is presented

9 in section V of this testimony and properly takes into account varying event lengths.

10   The DR activities have been grouped into three categories labeled: day ahead price

11 triggered, day-of price triggered and day-of reliability trigger. Currently, because MRTU is not

12 yet in place several of the DR activities in the "price triggered " category are called based on a

13 load and temperature trigger but once MRTU is in place the plan is to switch these activities over

14 to a price trigger. Three categories are used instead of two to distinguish between day-of

15 activities that have more restrictive triggers for which fewer events are usually called and day-of

16 activities like the Summer Saver program and the CBP which do not require any type of alert or

17 emergency situation in order to trigger the program. The current Summer Saver trigger is a load

18 trigger and heat rate trigger and the current Capacity Bidding day-of trigger is a heat rate trigger.

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

Table KS-1 Load Impacts for a Typical Event Day 1 in 2 Weather Year (MW)			
DR Activities -	2009	2010	2011
Day-Ahead Price Triggered			
PTR- Residential	0	44	84
PTR-Small C&I (<20 kW)	0	3	8
CPPD - Medium C&I (20-200 kW)	0	0	16
CPPD - Large C&I (>200 kW)	58	60	61
CBP Day-Ahead	14	17	20
Day-Of Price Triggered			
CBP Day-Of	3.5	4.2	4.9
Summer Saver Residential	11	13	13
Summer Saver Small Commercial	5	7	7
Day-Of Reliability Trigger			
CPPE	2	2	2
BIP	5	5	5
Other DR Activities			
Permanent Load Shifting (PLS)	2	2	2
Technology Incentives (TI) Auto DR	8	16	24
Technology Incentives (TI) non-auto DR	6	12	18
SLRP	0	0	0
OBMC	0	0	0
Total	115	185	265

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

Table KS-2 Load Impacts for a Typical Event Day 1 in 10 Weather Year (MW)			
DR Activities	2009	2010	2011
Day-Ahead Price Triggered			
PTR- Residential	0	50	96
PTR-Small C&I (<20 kW)	0	3	8
CPPD - Medium C&I (20-200 kW)	0	0	17
CPPD - Large C&I (>200 kW)	60	62	63
CBP Day-Ahead	14	17	20
Day-Of Price Triggered			
CBP Day-Of	3.5	4.2	4.9
Summer Saver Residential	13	16	16
Summer Saver Small Commercial	8	10	10
Day-Of – Reliability Trigger			
CPPE	2	2	2
BIP	5	5	5
Other DR Activities			
Permanent Load Shifting (PLS) Technology Incentives (TI) Auto DR Technology Incentives (TI) non-auto DR SLRP OBMC	2 8 6 0 0	2 16 12 0 0	2 24 18 0 0
Total	122	199	286

4

Table KS-3 Load Impacts for a the Annual Peak Day 1 in 2 Weather Year (MW)			
DR Activities	2009	2010	2011
Day-Ahead Price Triggered			
PTR- Residential	0	50	95
PTR-Small C&I (<20 kW)	0	3	8
CPPD - Medium C&I (20-200 kW)	0	0	16
CPPD - Large C&I (>200 kW)	58	60	61
CBP Day-Ahead	14	17	20
Day-Of Price Triggered			
CBP Day-Of	3.5	4.2	4.9
Summer Saver Residential	13	16	16
Summer Saver Small Commercial	8	10	10
Day Of Reliability Trigger			
CPPE	2	2	2
BIP··	5	5	5
Other DR Activities			
Permanent Load Shifting (PLS)	2	2	2
Technology Incentives (TI) Auto DR	8	16	24
Technology Incentives (TI) non-auto DR	6	12	18
SLRP	0	0	0
OBMC	0	0	0
Total	120	197	282

1

2

Table KS-4 Load Impacts for the Annual Peak Day 1 in 10 Weather Year (MW)			
DR Activities - Price Triggered	2009	2010	2011
PTR- Residential	0	55	105
PTR-Small C&I (<20 kW)	0	3	8
CPPD - Medium C&I (20-200 kW)	0	0	17
CPPD - Large C&I (>200 kW)	60	62	63
CBP Day-Ahead	12	14	17
Day-Of Price Triggered			
CBP Day-Of	3.5	4.2	4.9
Summer Saver Residential	19	22	22
Summer Saver Small Commercial	10	14	15
Day-Of Reliability Trigger			
CPPE	2	2	2
BIP	5	5	5
Other DR Activities			
Permanent Load Shifting (PLS)	2	2	2
Technology Incentives (TI) Auto DR	8	16	24
Technology Incentives (TI) non-auto DR	6	12	18
SLRP	0	0	0
OBMC	0	0	0
Total	128	211	303

3

4   The MW estimates for PTR and CPP-D were calculated following the load impact

5 protocols using the same elasticities and models that were used in the SDG&E AMI proceeding.

6 An elasticity combined with price information determines the percentage load reduction.

7 Participation rate assumptions come from the AMI decision and the prices used in the analysis

8 are the prices adopted in the GRC phase II settlement. The PTR participation rate assumed for

9 residential and small commercial is 50% and the medium and large commercial participation rate

10 is assumed to be 100% in accordance with the AMI decision. The prices assumed are a PTR

11 rebate of $0.75 and a CPP rate of $1.07 during critical peak periods. Although the PTR offers a

1   higher $1.25 rebate for customer with enabling technology no enabling technology is assumed in

2   the PTR estimate in order to avoid double counting the MW from the current Summer Saver

3   Program. SDG&E is pursuing a pilot study which will offer different types of enabling

4   technology to residential customers and as enabling technology becomes more widely deployed

5   it will be incorporated into future load impact forecasts. The meter deployment rates have been

6   updated to reflect current meter deployment rates. No demand reduction is expected in 2009 for

7   the PTR or medium commercial CPP-D because the AMI billing system is not scheduled to be

8   ready to bill interval data by summer of 2009.

9   The CBP estimates are based on the 2007 measurement and analysis of the program

10   conducted by SDG&E. Overall the evaluation of the program showed excellent results with the

11   average load reduction for the day-ahead portion of the program of 31%. The forecast assumes

12   that 2 additional aggregators of comparable size to current aggregators join the program each

13   year. This number was determined by the fact that 2 additional aggregators joined the program

14   in 2008 compared to 2007. The program is also expected to experience growth as aggregators

15   add more customers to their portfolio although this type of growth was not quantified.

16   The Summer Saver results are based on the 2007 ex-post analysis of the program

17   performed by KEMA. KEMA provided ex-post results for each hour of each event and the ex-

18   ante forecast was calculated form these results by SDG&E by running a simple linear regression

19   model of the results per hour per event versus average on-peak temperature. The average

20   percentage reduction for residential customers on this program in 2007 was 20% and 7% for

21   commercial customers. This program is weather sensitive with the MW on the highest

22   temperature day in 2007 higher than the average MW results.

1   There is also a load impact estimate included that represents the incremental impact of

2   technology installed through SDG&E's technology incentives (TI) program. This impact is

3   broken down into two categories customers who participate in the TI program and select the

4   Auto DR option and customers who participate in the TI program but do not select the Auto DR

5   option. Normally no load impact estimate is assigned to the technology incentives program in

6   order to avoid double counting the impact of the technology and the programs. However

7   because the forecasts for SDG&E's demand response activities are based on either 2007 results

8   or on studies which did not include enabling technology it is necessary to include the

9   incremental benefit of all enabling technology expected to be installed between fall of 2007 and

10   summer of 2011 though the TI program. SDG&E's technology incentives program experienced

11   considerable growth in late 2007 and early 2008 and these installations were installed too late to

12   be reflected in the 2007 program performance. The TI forecast is based on the rate of TI

13   installations between August 2007 and February 2008. The forecast shows that a total of 14 MW

14   of new technology is expected to be installed each year through the TI program. Roughly 40%

15   of the load reduction installed between August and February was enrolled in the Auto DR option.

16   However, since the Auto-Dr option offers a higher incentive and more customers are expected to

17   enroll on Auto DR in the future the forecast assumes 60% of the MW enrolled on TI will choose

18   the Auto DR option.

19   The forecast of demand response for 2009-2011 depends upon the number of customers

20   expected to be enrolled in the program. The enrollment forecast for each program is based on

21   the rate of historical enrollment. For the capacity bidding program the number of MW enrolled

22   on the program increased by 3 MW between July 2007 and July 2008 due to new aggregators

23   joining the program and due to aggregators increasing their bids. Therefore the forecast for this

11

1 program assumes an increase of 3.5 MW per year. The proportion of MW enrolled in the day-

2 ahead versus day-of CBP program is assumed to be the same as the current proportion. For the

3 Summer Saver program the average monthly growth rate in tons between October 2007 and May

4 2008 was calculated and multiplied by 12 giving 15,149 tons for commercial customers and

5 13,151 tons for residential customers. These numbers are used for the growth rate between 2008

6 and 2009 and 2009 and 2010. The number of customers enrolled on the program in 2011 is

7 assumed to be equal to the number of customers enrolled in 2010 since marketing efforts are

8 expected to decrease in intensity after 2010. The forecast for the CPPE assumes zero growth in

9 the program after 2008. The BIP forecast is higher for 2009 than for 2007 because six new

10 accounts joined the program in 2008 and 5 more accounts are pending. The forecast of 5 MW is

11 based on the 4 MW currently enrolled on the program and an additional 1 MW for pending

12 customers. No growth in the BIP program is assumed between 2009 and 2011. The forecast for

13 the TI program assumes 14 MW of new technology is installed each year. This number is based

14 on the fact that 6.2 MW of new technology was installed between August of 2007 and February

15 of 2008. Default CPP and publicity from the roll out of Smart Meters are expected to increase

16 customer interest in enabling technology so a modest increase from 6.2 MW installed every 6

17 months to 7 MW installed every 6 months was assumed in the forecast.

18   For PTR all residential and small commercial customers with Smart Meters will be

19 automatically enrolled in the program. The enrollments assume that in 2010 53% of residential

20 and small commercial customers have Smart Meters and 100% have smart meters by summer of

21 2011. The PTR enrollment also assumes a 50% participation rate which was the participation

22 rate stated in the AMI decision D-07-04-043. Although all customers will be automatically

23 enrolled in PTR the 50% participation rate accounts for customers who are unaware that a PTR

1   event has been initiated. For CPPD large commercial customers > 200 kw already have interval

2   meters installed so the meter deployment rate used for these customers is 100%. The results for

3   medium commercial customers assume that medium commercial customers will be enrolled in

4   default CPPD until once they have had a smart meter in place for 12 months. The purpose of

5   waiting 12 months is to ensure that the customer has enough data to understand the effect CPPD

6   rate will have on their electricity bill. Recently in the dynamic pricing decision D-08-07-045 the

7   commission directed PG&E to default all medium commercial customers onto default CPP only

8   after customers had 12 months of interval data in place. SDG&E plans to file for the same 12

9   month waiting period in their November 2008 rate design window. The enrollment forecast

10   assumes 40% of medium commercial customer will have a smart meter in place for 12 months

11   by May of 2011. Consistent with the AMI decision a 100% participation rate is assumed for the

12   CPPD rate.

13   **IV.   HISTORICAL LOAD IMPACT RESULTS FOR 2006 AND 2007**

14   **1. Enrollment 2006 and 2007:**

15   Table KS-5 below contains the number of service accounts and number of MWs enrolled

16   in SDG&E's demand response programs for 2006 through 2008. Tables KS-6 through KS-8

17   contain the enrollment estimates broken down by NAICS code. The enrollments are broken

18   down by NAICS code for programs which enroll large commercial customers. The enrolled

19   MW numbers represent the amount of MW signed up for the program and therefore are not a

20   measured load impact. However, the enrollment numbers are a good indicator of the number of

21   customers and the size of the customers who signed up for the programs.

Table KS-5

Day-Of programs	May-08 MWs	May-08 Service Accounts	May-07 MWs	May-07 Service Accounts	May-06 MWs	May-06 Service Accounts
BIP	5	10	2.5	3	0.1	1
SLRP	0	0	0	0	0.1	1
OBMC	0	0	0	0	0	0
Summer Saver	43.3	26,250	38.2	23,105	28.9	14707
CPP-Emergency	5.1	10	5.1	10	5.1	10
Total Day-of	53.4	26,270	45.8	23108	29.1	14709

Price Programs	MWs	Service Accounts	MWs	Service Accounts	MWs	Service Accounts
CBP	18	246	13.5	38	0	0
Voluntary CPP	30	380	18.1	189	14.4	116
DBP	14	659	12.4	147	12.9	57
PeakDay	34	904	34.4	741	21.4	425
Total Day-ahead	96	2189	78.4	1115	48.7	598

Table KS-6
2006 Program Enrollment Data

NAICS Group	BIP Acct	BIP MW	PeakDay Acct	PeakDay MW	CPP Acct	CPP MW	DBP Acct	DBP MW	CPEM Acct	CPEM MW
0 No code			5.0	0.1						
1 Agriculture, Mining & Construction					3.0	0.4				
2 Manufacturing	2.0	0.2	45.0	3.1	12.0	3.0	9.0	2.4	2.0	1.2
3 Wholesale, Transport, other utilities			13.0	0.4	12.0	1.9			5.0	3.7
4 Pipelines & Water utilities			3.0	0.1	18.0	1.3	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.1
5 Retail stores			52.0	0.5	20.0	1.3				
6 Offices, Hotels, Finance, Services			135.0	10.9	26.0	3.7	26.0	3.5	1.0	0.0
7 Schools			193.0	6.0	2.0	0.1	4.0	0.2		
8 Institutional/Government			47.0	1.8	18.0	2.1	3.0	1.2		
Total	2.0	0.2	493.0	22.9	111.0	13.8	43.0	7.3	10.0	5.1

Table KS-7
May 2007 Program Enrollment Data

NAICS Group	BIP Acct	BIP MW	PeakDay Acct	PeakDay MW	CPP Acct	CPP MW	DBP Acct	DBP MW	CPPE Acct	CPPE MW
0 No code			7	0.1						
1 Agriculture, Mining & Construction			4	0.0	7	1.8				
2 Manufacturing	1	0.1	88	7.7	18	2.9	30	2.3	2	1.2
3 Wholesale, Transport, other utilities	1	0.0	22	0.7	12	1.9			5	3.7
4 Pipelines & Water utilities			4	0.1	17	1.2			2	0.1
5 Retail stores			103	1.2	25	1.3				
6 Offices, Hotels, Finance, Services	1	1.9	304	16.6	57	5.1	41	2.4	1	0.0
7 Schools			190	5.8	2	0.1	8	0.3		
8 Institutional/Government			104	2.5	24	2.0	9	0.3		
Total	3.0	1.9	826.0	34.6	162.0	16.4	88.0	5.2	10.0	5.1

14

Table KS-8 May 2008 Program Enrollments by NAICS code											
	BIP		CI2020		CPP		DBP		CPPE		CBP
Naics Group	Acct	MW	Acct	MW	Acct	MW	Acct	MW	Acct	MW	Acct	MW
0			3	0			2	0			1	0
1 Agriculture, Mining & Construction			5	0	6	2					1	0
2 Manufacturing			88	7	20	3	52	3	2	1	49	3
3 Wholesale, Transport, other utilities	1	0	22	1	138	7			5	4	4	0
4 Pipelines & Water utilities			4	0	54	3			2	0	1	0
5 Retail stores			98	1	21	1	21	0			107	7
6 Offices, Hotels, Finance, Services	2	2	312	16	115	12	120	6	1	0	42	3
7 Schools			259	7	2	0	413	5			7	0
8 Institutional/Government	1	0	113	3	24	2	51	0			52	4
Total	4	2.5	904.0	34.8	380.0	30.3	659.0	14.3	10.0	5.1	264.0	18.0

2. Measured Load Impacts

This section presents the measured load impacts of the demand response activities that existed in 2007 that SDG&E is proposing to continue. Since SDG&E is viewed as one category for the purposes of resource adequacy the load impacts are presented for the entire SDG&E service territory. This includes the CPP program, the CBP program, the Summer Saver program, the CPP-E program and the BIP program. The 2007 measurement and evaluation results show excellent results for all three program with a 10% load reduction observed in the high price period of the CPP rate, a 20% load reduction observed in the AC saver residential program, a 7% load reduction in the commercial AC saver program and a 30% demand reduction achieved in the CBP program day-ahead and a 7 % demand reduction achieved in the CBP day-of program. Table KS-9 provides a summary that shows the average MW reduction from 2pm-6pm over all event days in 2007 for each program.

1

Table KS-9 2007 Actual DR Load Impacts			
DR Program	Average MW 2007	Percent Reduction	Baseline
Capacity Bidding Day-Ahead	6.6	31%	Regression model
Capacity Bidding Day-Of	1.2	7%	Regression model
Voluntary CPP	8.0	11%	Regression model
Summer Saver Residential	8.2	19%	Regression model
Summer Saver Commercial	1.7	7%	Regression model
CPP-E	2.3	50%	Regression model
BIP	1.8	90%	Average monthly on-peak consumption

2

3   Tables KS-10 to KS-17 contain the ex-post hourly load reduction estimates for the

4 average of all events of each program as required by load impact protocols. The impact

5 protocols require that demand response results be measured hourly including event hours and

6 non-event hours.[4] The ex-post tables in the text of the testimony only display hours in which a

7 significant load reduction or load increase was measured. For example, the Summer Saver

8 residential program showed a statistically significant increase in load the first hour after the event

9 so the results for the hour after the event is included along with the event hours. A positive

10 number indicates a load reduction whereas a negative number indicates an increase in load.

11 Confidence intervals at the 10th, 30th, 50th, 70th, and 90th levels have also been included in order

---

[4] Load reductions for each hour of each event are not presented in this testimony but are available in Appendix XX?.

1   to indicate the uncertainty in the measurement of the demand response. Table KS-18 contains the

2   total annual kwh reduction measured for each program. Hourly load impacts for each event for

3   all twenty-four hours along with goodness of fit statistics for each of the regression models used

4   can be found in appendix A of this testimony.

5

Table KS-10											
2007 Ex-Post Results Average Day AC Summer Saver Residential											
							Load Impact Range (MW)				
Hour Ending	Reference Load (MW)	Observed Load (MW)	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Average Number of Customers	Average Temperature	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
15	41.3	34	7.4	18%	16,047	79	6	6.8	7.4	7.9	8.7
16	43.0	34.3	8.7	20%	16,049	76	7.2	8.1	8.7	9.3	10.2
17	43.2	34.8	8.3	19%	16,049	76	6.8	7.7	8.3	9.0	9.9
18	44.1	35.8	8.3	19%	16,049	76	6.7	7.6	8.3	9.0	9.9
19	46.7	49.4	-2.7	-6%	16,049	76	-4.1	-3.3	-2.7	-2.1	-1.3

6

7

Table KS-11											
2007 Ex-Post Results Average Day AC Summer Saver Commercial											
							Load Impact Range (MW)				
Hour Ending	Reference Load (MW)	Observed Load (MW)	Load Impact (MW)	·Percent Load Impact	Average Number of Air-Conditioners	Average Temperature	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
15	28.5	26.4	2.1	7%	4882	78	1.7	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.5
16	25.1	23.2	1.8	7%	4463	75	1.5	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.2
17	23.0	21.5	1.4	6%	4463	75	1.1	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.8
18	20.7	19.2	1.4	7%	4460	75	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8

8

Table KS-12											
2007 Ex-Post Result Day-Ahead Capacity Bidding Day Ahead 4-Hour Max											
							Load Impact Range (MW)				
Hour Ending	Reference Load	Observed Load	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Average Number of Customers	Average Temp	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
14	12.1	8.5	3.6	30.1%	36	83	1.8	2.5	3.6	4.8	5.5
15	13.4	9.5	3.9	28.9%	36	83	3.3	3.5	3.9	4.2	4.4
16	13.5	9.5	3.9	29.1%	36	83	3.6	3.7	3.9	4.1	4.2
17	13.1	9.3	3.8	29.0%	36	80	3.5	3.6	3.8	4.0	4.1
18	12.6	9.3	3.3	26.4%	36	79	2.6	2.9	3.3	3.8	4.1

Table KS-13											
2007 Ex-Post Result Day-Ahead Capacity Bidding Day Ahead 6-Hour Max											
							Load Impact Range (MW)				
Hour Ending	Reference Load	Observed Load	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Average Number of Customers	Average Temp (dh 75)	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
14	6.5	4.3	2.3	34.6%	28	84.5	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3
15	6.8	4.5	2.3	33.3%	28	83.8	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3
16	6.9	4.6	2.3	33.7%	28	82.8	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4
17	6.9	4.5	2.3	34.2%	28	80.0	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.4
18	6.8	4.5	2.3	33.7%	28	78.5	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4
19	6.7	4.5	2.3	34.0%	28	78.1	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5

Table KS-14											
2007 Ex-Post Result Day-Ahead Capacity Bidding Day-Of 4-Hour Max											
							Load Impact Range (MW)				
Hour Ending	Reference Load	Observed Load	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Average Number of Customers	Average Temp (dh 75)	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
15	20.6	18.0	2.6	12.6%	71	85	0.1	1.0	2.6	4.2	5.1
16	19.0	17.2	1.8	9.4%	71	83	-1.4	-0.2	1.8	3.7	4.9
17	16.5	16.3	0.3	1.6%	71	80	-2.8	-1.7	0.3	2.2	3.4
18	14.7	14.5	0.2	1.3%	71	76	-2.7	-1.6	0.2	2.0	3.1

Table KS-15											
2007 Ex-Post Results Voluntary CPP											
							Load Impact Range (MW)				
Hour Ending	Reference Load (MW)	Observed Load (MW)	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Number of Customers	Average Temp	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
11	77.7	74.9	2.7	-0.0352	229	83	1.4	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.4
12	76.4	66.5	9.8	-0.1287	229	83	8.2	9.2	9.7	10.7	11.9
13	72.0	65.3	6.7	-0.0926	229	83	5.1	5.9	7.0	7.5	8.0
14	68.9	63.5	5.4	-0.0783	229	82	3.9	4.5	5.3	6.4	6.5
15	68.9	64.1	4.8	-0.0702	229	82	3.3	4.2	4.6	5.2	5.9
16	71.9	63.3	8.5	-0.1188	229	80	7.1	7.7	8.4	9.0	10.3
17	72.9	63.1	9.8	-0.1345	229	79	8.6	9.0	9.7	10.1	12.0
18	70.3	61.7	8.7	-0.1231	229	76	7.5	8.4	8.5	8.8	10.6
19	68.0	63.9	4.0	-0.0595	229	73	2.8	3.9	3.6	4.9	5.1

Table KS-16											
2007 Ex-Post Results CPPE											
							Load Impact Range				
Hour Ending	Reference Load (MW)	Observed Load (MW)	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Number of Customers	CDD 75	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
11	3.7	1.7	2.0	53.3%	10	18	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.1	1.2
12	3.3	1.3	1.9	59.7%	10	21	1.5	1.6	1.9	1.3	1.4
13	3.4	1.2	2.2	64.7%	10	17	1.7	1.9	2.2	1.5	1.6
14	4.6	2.0	2.6	56.4%	10	12	2.0	2.2	2.6	1.4	1.5
15	4.5	1.9	2.6	56.9%	10	16	2.0	2.2	2.6	1.2	1.3
16	5.0	2.5	2.6	50.9%	10	16	2.1	2.2	2.6	1.5	1.6
17	5.1	2.5	2.6	50.3%	10	11	2.2	2.3	2.6	1.5	1.5
18	5.0	2.8	2.2	44.7%	10	6	1.8	2.0	2.2	1.5	1.6
19	4.9	2.7	2.2	45.4%	10	0	1.9	2.0	2.2	1.7	1.8

Table KS-17											
2007 Ex-Post Results BIP											
Hour Ending	Reference Load (MW)	Observed Load (MW)	Load Impact (MW)	Percent Load Impact	Number of Customers	temp	10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
15	2.3	0.2	2.1	91.8%	4	87	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.1
16	2.2	0.2	2.0	92.1%	4	86	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.2
17	2.2	0.2	2.0	91.1%	4	82	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1
18	2.4	0.4	1.9	82.2%	4	75	1.8	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.1

Table KS-18	
Program	Annual kwh
Summer Saver	335,729
Voluntary CPP	515,530
CBP	286,932
CPPE	52,077
BIP	19,705

1   The MW load reduction for the Summer Saver program were calculated by KEMA Inc

2   the consulting firm who has been hired to conduct the measurement and evaluation of the

3   Summer Saver program. Their approach used customer specific regression models adjusted

4   using the load on the event day before the event. The analysis used end use data from a sample

5   of residential customers and whole premise usage data from a sample of small commercial

6   customers. There was a comparison and control group used in the analysis and a difference of

7   differences was used to correct for differences between the comparison and the control group.

8   The load impact analysis of the capacity bidding program was conducted by SDG&E

9   since no statewide measurement and evaluation of this program was conducted in 2007. The

10   CBP customers have six options to choose from when they sign up for this program. A customer

11   can choose to be notified either a day-ahead or the day-of and event, and they can choose a

12   maximum event length of 4 hours, 6 hours or 8 hours. The vast majority of SDG&E's customers

13   are enrolled in the day-ahead 4 hour maximum, day-ahead 6 hour maximum or day-of 4 hour

14   maximum options. The analysis in the tables above shows the results for each of these options.

15   There was a very small amount of load, less than 0.5 MW enrolled in the day-of 6 hour

16   maximum and day-of 8 hour maximum options so results for these categories are not presented

17   here and the ex-ante forecast assumes there are no MW in these categories. The analysis is based

18   on all CBP events that took place in July and August of 2007. There were 8 day-ahead CBP

19   events and 10 day-of CBP events. There was one day-of event in September but it occurred on a

20   holiday and another day-of event in October during the wildfires so these events were excluded

21   from the analysis as unusual events. CBP events can occur any time between 11 a.m and 7 p.m.

22   so not all events had the same hours. The hourly results were averaged over all events which had

1 | an event in the hour in question to produce the average results presented in table KS-10 through

2 | KS-12.

3 | The analysis of the 2007 Voluntary CPP program was conducted by Christensen

4 | consulting using a regression model with variables such as temperature, month, and day of week.

5 | The final report is not yet available for this study but preliminary results have been provided by

6 | Christensen. The length of events for this rate are fixed so all events had the same event hours.

7 | The drop in demand response between 12pm and 2pm is due to the participation of water

8 | pumping customers who are already using very little load during this two hour window and

9 | therefore cannot reduce further during these hours.

10 | The CBP analysis was conducted using a regression approach similar to the approach

11 | used by Christensen for the voluntary CPP evaluation. Interval data from July 1st to August 31st

12 | was used in the analysis excluding weekends and holidays. The interval data was summed for all

13 | customers on each option of the CBP program. Then a regression model was run for each hour

14 | using cooling degree days, day of the week variables, and variables for each month as predictors.

15 | The cooling degree day variable which represents temperature was statistically insignificant.

16 | Since the cooling degree variable was insignificant the variable was removed from the model and

17 | replaced by the load between 11 a.m -12p.m on the event day. The results for each event day are

18 | presented in appendix A of this testimony along with the regression output and goodness of fit

19 | statistics.

20 | The measurement and evaluation results showed that all but one of the aggregators

21 | enrolled in the program were achieved results similar to what they bid. The aggregator who

22 | appeared to have over bid according to the measurement and analysis received penalties in 2007

23 | indicating that the settlement baseline was appropriate. All aggregators who made their bids

21

1 according the measurement and evaluation also received a full payment for their efforts also

2 indicating that the settlement baseline is appropriate.

3 The CPPE and BIP programs were also analyzed by SDG&E using regression methods.

4 Both of these programs had small enrollments in 2007 CPPE had 10 accounts enrolled and BIP

5 had 3 accounts enrolled in option A in which customers are given 15 minute notice and 1

6 account enrolled in BIP option B in which customers are given 3 hours notice. Three events

7 were called for CPPE, one event was called for BIP option A and two events were called for BIP

8 option B. As in the CBP analysis the analysis was conducted using interval data, weekends and

9 holidays were excluded and load in the morning before the event along with variables

10 representing the day of the week were used as predictors.

11 **V.   EX-ANTE LOAD IMPACT DETAILS AND PORTFOLIO ANALYSIS**

12 Tables KS-18 through KS-23 below present the hourly ex-ante load impacts total

13 portfolio results for 2009-2011 in a 1 in 2 weather year and a 1 in 10 weather year. More

14 detailed ex-ante tables for each program containing confidence intervals, monthly ex-ante

15 forecasts for all weather sensitive programs, and the format required by the load impact protocols

16 can be found in appendix B of this testimony. Some of the programs do not have a fixed length

17 for their event period. For example the Summer Saver program can be called any time between

18 12 p.m. and 8 p.m. with a 4 hour maximum event length. For programs with varying event

19 periods the ex-ante analysis assumes that the event is called in the hours for which the event is

20 most likely to be called based on the timing of 2007 events. Table KS-19 below presents a chart

21 showing the lengths of various programs and the time assumed for the ex-ante forecast.

Table KS-19				
DR Activity	Event Window	Maximum Event Length	Type of event period	Assumed Event Window
PTR-Res	11 am - 6 pm	7	Fixed	11 am - 6 pm
PTR-Com	11 am - 6 pm	7	Fixed	11 am - 6 pm
CPP-D-MedC&I	11 am - 6 pm	7	Fixed	11 am - 6 pm
CPP-D-LgC&I	11 am - 6 pm	7	Fixed	11 am - 6 pm
CBP max 4	11 am - 7pm	4	Variable	2pm-6pm
CBP max6	11 am- 7pm	6	Variable	1pm-7pm
Summer Saver	12 pm - 8pm	4	Variable	2pm-6pm
CPP-E	any-time	6	Variable	12pm-6pm
BIP	any-time	4	Variable	2pm-6pm

Tables KS-20 through KS-22 show the hourly predicted load impacts for a typical event day in a 1 in 2 weather year. The hourly load impact percentages for the residential PTR rate were based on the hourly analysis performed by CRA of residential customers on track A of the Statewide Pricing Pilot. Because the hours of the CPP price in the statewide pricing pilot were 2pm-7pm and the current hours are 11 am -6pm some of the hourly percentage impacts had to be shifted. The load impact percentage from 2-3 pm from the pilot was applied to the time period from 11 am- 1pm and the load impact percentage from 2-3 pm was applied to the 1pm- 3pm time period. All other hours were applied to the matching time period. There was no hourly analysis of commercial load data performed in the SPP pilot so the commercial ex-ante hourly results for PTR and CPP-D were created by simply multiplying the average MW reduction by the ratio of the amount of load used for the hour in question to the average on-peak load for the top 9 days.

For the CBP program, CPPE program and BIP the ex-post analysis showed very little variation in demand response by temperature. This is typical of demand response programs which enroll large commercial customers. It is important to note that just because the total load

23

1   enrolled a program varies with temperature that does not mean that the load reduction varies with

2   temperature. For example a customer who responds to each demand response event by dimming

3   lighting may have a total load that varies with temperature but will provide the same load

4   reduction for each event. In order to show that the load reduction varies with temperature using

5   regression methods it is necessary to show that an interaction term of temperature with the

6   demand response event variable is statistically significant. For the CBP program and the CPPE

7   program this interaction term was statistically insignificant and therefore the ex-ante forecast for

8   these programs does not vary with temperature. For the BIP program temperature did prove to be

9   statistically significant however it had a very small influence on the demand response, the

10   regression model predicted that the load reduction would increase by 0.1 MW if the temperature

11   were increased from 75 degree to 95 degrees. Therefore the ex-ante forecast for these three

12   programs does not vary with temperature. The Summer Saver (SS) program hourly ex-ante

13   results were predicted based on a regression run for each hour of the average on-peak

14   temperature versus the hourly ex-post results.

15   In some cases SDG&E allows customers to participate in more than one demand

16   response program. When estimating the total load impact if all programs all called

17   simultaneously it is important not to double count customers enrolled in two programs. In

18   general for the programs SDG&E has proposed for 20090-2011 adding together the results of

19   two programs will not significantly overstate the total demand response. In most cases there are

20   either very few customers enrolled on both programs or in cases such as PTR and Summer Saver

21   one program offers an enabling technology which provides a significant incremental increase in

22   demand response. The following section lists all the overlaps between demand response

1 | programs, the magnitude of the overlap in each case and how the overlap was taken into account

2 | in the portfolio estimation.

3 | **1. CPPD and CBP day-of**

4 | Participants are allowed to participate in both CPPD and the CBP day-of program. As of

5 | May 2008 83% of the load enrolled on the CBP day-of program was direct access and 17% was

6 | bundled. Since direct access customers cannot participate in CPPD the forecasted overlap

7 | between CPPD and CBP day-of is forecasted to be 17% of the projected load impacts for CBP

8 | day-of. This leads to an estimated overlap of 0.6 MW in 2009, 0.7 MW in 2010 and 0.8 MW in

9 | 2011. The overlap is subtracted from the CPPD MW for the purposes of the portfolio analysis in

10 | scenarios where both programs are triggered simultaneously.

11 | **2. CPPD and Summer Saver:**

12 | SDG&E has proposed to allow commercial customers to participate in both Summer

13 | Saver and CPPD. Therefore summer saver commercial customers with demands greater than 20

14 | kw may also enroll in default CPP. Currently 42% of the tons enrolled on the summer saver

15 | customers come from commercial customers who will be eligible for default CPP. Since Summer

16 | Saver commercial participants have demands greater than 100 kw this overlap will not begin to

17 | have a significant effect until summer of 2010 when 40% of to commercial customers with

18 | demands greater than 100 kw are expected to have smart meters. Using the elasticities from

19 | statewide pricing pilot commercial study combined with the SDG&E's CPP prices adopted the

20 | expected load reduction for a medium commercial customer on CPP with enabling technology is

21 | 13% and the expected load reduction for a medium commercial customer on CPP without

22 | enabling technology is 8%. The average reduction from the Summer Saver program was 7%.

23 | This indicates that for Summer Saver customers adding the CPP results for a customer without

1 technology to the Summer Saver results would predict a 15% reduction indicating that the

2 overlap that occurs as a results of adding the results from the two programs together overstates

3 the MW reduction by 2% of the summer saver commercial customer load eligible for default

4 CPP. This results in a predicted overlap of 0.3 MW in 2011 which has been subtracted from the

5 CPP load in portfolio scenarios which include both CPPD and Summer Saver.

6 ### 3. CPPE and CBP day-ahead

7 Customers are allowed to participate on CPPE and CBP day-ahead. However, there are

8 currently no customers enrolled in both programs. In addition there are only 10 accounts

9 enrolled in CPPE and the load forecast for 2009-2011 assumes zero growth for this rate schedule

10 so the overlap between these two programs is assumed to be zero for 2009-2011.

11 ### 4. CBP day-ahead and Summer Saver

12 Currently there are no customers on both CBP day ahead and Summer Saver. Therefore

13 the ex-post results for CBP and for Summer Saver clearly reflect the value of the particular

14 called by itself. Customers on both summer saver and CBP were included in the enrollment

15 forecast for summer saver but not in the enrollment forecast for CBP.

16 ### 5. PTR and Summer Saver

17 In 2010 53% of residential customers are expected to have smart meters and be eligible

18 for PTR and by 2011 100% of residential customers will be enrolled in PTR. Therefore by 2011

19 all summer saver customers will also be enrolled in PTR. Customers enrolled on the summer

20 saver program should be allowed to participate in PTR because the PTR payments will

21 encourage customers to take other load reduction actions such as shutting off lights, and avoiding

22 doing dishes and laundry during the peak period. PTR events are also longer than summer saver

23 events PTR events run from 11 to 6pm where as summer saver events have a four hour time

1 limit. The estimate of the load reduction that can be expected from both PTR and summer saver

2 comes from the SPP pilot which showed that customers with both a CPP price signal and a

3 programmable thermostat reduced their load by 27%. Residential customers on the AC saver

4 program conserved 19 % in 2007. Therefore the incremental benefit expected from enrolling the

5 Summer Saver customers in PTR is an additional 8% load reduction. The overall percent

6 reduction assumed for PTR in this filing is a 6% load reduction, however this can also be viewed

7 as a 12% load reduction for 50% of the population and zero load reduction for the remaining

8 customers. Since Summer Saver customers are early adopters of technology it's reasonable to

9 assume that they would be in the 50% of customers with an average 12% reduction on PTR.

10 Therefore the amount of overlap between PTR and Summer saver is 4% of the total summer

11 saver load expected to have a smart meter for 12 month which equals on average,1.4 MW in

12 2010 and 3.4 MW in 2011. Therefore in the portfolio analysis the PTR MW are reduced

13 accordingly.

Table KS-20												
2009 Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H12	0	0.0	0.0	61.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	72.7
H13	0	0.0	0.0	61.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	11.0	74.5
H14	0	0.0	0.0	61.2	8.5	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	11.0	88.6
H15	0	0.0	0.0	59.8	9.0	5.3	4.9	9.8	6.7	5.1	2.6	14.0	117.2
H16	0	0.0	0.0	57.5	9.1	5.4	4.1	11.6	6.8	5.2	2.6	14.0	116.3
H17	0	0.0	0.0	54.0	8.8	5.5	2.6	11.4	4.8	5.0	2.6	14.0	108.7
H18	0	0.0	0.0	50.4	7.8	5.3	2.5	9.8	3.9	4.7	2.2	14.0	100.6
H19	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	-3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7

14

15

16

17

Table KS-21												
2010 Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
H12	35.0	3.0	0.0	63.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	101.9
H13	35.1	3.0	0.0	63.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	22.0	101.5
H14	46.9	3.0	0.0	63.4	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	2.6	22.0	149.2
H15	46.0	3.0	0.0	60.9	10.2	6.3	5.6	11.6	8.7	5.1	2.6	28.0	188.1
H16	53.9	3.0	0.0	58.6	10.9	6.5	4.8	13.8	9.0	5.2	2.6	28.0	165.6
H17	45.4	3.0	0.0	55.3	10.9	6.6	3.3	13.5	6.2	5.0	2.6	28.0	149.2
H18	40.9	3.0	0.0	51.6	10.6	6.4	3.2	11.6	5.1	4.7	2.2	28.0	137.1
H19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	-4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0

Table KS-22												
2011 Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H12	66.9	11	17	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	192.9
H13	67.0	11	17	64	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	194.6
H14	89.5	11	17	64	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	33	224.8
H15	87.5	11	17	61	13	7	6	12	9	5	3	42	272.9
H16	102.5	11	16	59	13	8	5	14	9	5	3	42	286.8
H17	86.2	11	15	56	12	8	4	14	6	5	3	42	261.3
H18	77.7	11	14	52	11	7	4	12	5	5	2	42	242.6
H19	0.0	0	0	0	0	7	0	-4	0	0	0	0	3.1

Table KS-23												
2009 Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 10 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H12	0	0.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	74.6
H13	0	0.0	0.0	63.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	11.0	76.3
H14	0	0.0	0.0	63.1	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	11.0	82.0
H15	0	0.0	0.0	61.6	9.0	5.3	4.9	13.7	10.1	5.1	2.6	14.0	126.3
H16	0	0.0	0.0	59.2	9.1	5.4	4.1	15.0	9.9	5.2	2.6	14.0	124.5
H17	0	0.0	0.0	55.6	8.8	5.5	2.6	13.8	5.9	5.0	2.6	14.0	113.9
H18	0	0.0	0.0	52.0	7.8	5.3	2.5	10.8	5.4	4.7	2.2	14.0	104.6
H19	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	-3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7

Table KS-24												
2010 Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 10 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H12	35.0	3.0	0.0	65.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	125.8
H13	35.1	3.0	0.0	65.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	22.0	127.6
H14	46.9	3.0	0.0	65.3	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	22.0	146.1
H15	45.6	3.0	0.0	62.8	10.2	6.3	5.6	16.2	13.2	5.1	2.6	28.0	198.6
H16	53.5	3.0	0.0	60.4	10.9	6.5	4.8	17.8	13.0	5.2	2.6	28.0	205.7
H17	45.1	3.0	0.0	57.0	10.9	6.6	3.3	16.4	7.8	5.0	2.6	28.0	185.7
H18	40.8	3.0	0.0	53.2	10.6	6.4	3.2	12.8	7.1	4.7	2.2	28.0	171.9
H19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	-4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0

Table KS-25												
2011 Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 10 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
H12	66.9	11	17	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33	194.8
H13	67.0	11	17	66	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	33	196.5
H14	89.5	11	17	66	0	7	0	0	0	0	3	21	214.8
H15	86.5	11	17	63	13	7	6	16	13	5	3	42	282.6
H16	101.6	11	16	61	13	8	5	18	13	5	3	42	295.6
H17	85.6	11	15	57	12	8	4	16	8	5	3	42	266.8
H18	77.5	11	14	54	11	7	4	13	7	5	2	42	247.0
H19	0.0	0	0	0	0	7	0	-4	0	0	0	0	3.1

1   **VI.   PORTFOLIO BREAKDOWN**

2   **1.   Breakdown of Portfolio by Notification Timing**

3   The portfolio analysis is broken down by three notification time day-ahead, day-of 3

4 hours ahead and day-of less than 30 minute notice. All programs that must be called a day ahead

5 are included in the day-ahead group. For the second category of 3 hours ahead day-of SDG&E

6 has no programs that fit this description exactly. Events for the day-of capacity bidding program

7 must be called the day-of the event by 9:00 am. If the event starts at 11:00 or 12:00 this would

8 be less than three hours notice but event if the event starts at 2:00pm the customer must still be

9 notified by 9:00 AM. SDG&E's PTR program can also be called day-of. In theory there are no

10 restrictions on how far in advance the program must be called but there are practical limitations

11 on how quickly mass media announcements can be made. Since both the PTR and the CBP day-

12 of option are very close to a 3 hour notice option SDG&E has included them in the 3-hour notice

13 category. This category is referred to in the tables as day-of early notification required. The last

14 category is the day-of 15 minute notice category. The BIP, CPPE and Summer Saver programs

15 fall in this category.

16

Table KS-26												
2009 Hourly Load Impact Day-Ahead Programs 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0		0.0
H12	0	0	0.0	61.7	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	11	72.7
H13	0	0	0.0	61.3	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	11	72.3
H14	0	0	0.0	61.2	8.5	5.3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	11	86.0
H15	0	0	0.0	59.8	9.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	87.2
H16	0	0	0.0	57.5	9.1	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	85.0
H17	0	0	0.0	54.0	8.8	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	81.3
H18	0	0	0.0	50.4	7.8	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13	76.5
H19	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	5.3

17

Table KS-27												
2010 Hourly Load Impact Day-Ahead Programs 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H12	35.0	3.0	0.0	63.9	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	22	101.9
H13	35.1	3.0	0.0	63.4	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	22	101.5
H14	46.9	3.0	0.0	63.4	0.0	6.3	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	22	141.6
H15	47.1	3.0	0.0	61.9	10.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26	154.5
H16	55.2	3.0	0.0	59.4	10.9	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26	135.0
H17	46.7	3.0	0.0	55.9	10.9	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26	123.0
H18	42.0	3.0	0.0	52.2	10.6	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26	114.1
H19	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	6.4

Table KS-28												
2011 Hourly Load Impact Day-Ahead Programs 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H12	66.9	11.0	17.0	64.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	33	192.9
H13	67.0	11.0	16.9	64.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	33	192.4
H14	89.5	11.0	16.9	64.4	0.0	7.4	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	33	222.2
H15	89.9	11.0	16.5	62.9	12.7	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39	239.4
H16	105.4	11.0	15.9	60.4	12.8	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39	252.0
H17	89.1	11.0	14.9	56.8	12.4	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39	230.8
H18	80.1	11.0	13.9	53.1	10.9	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	39	215.4
H19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	7.5

Table KS-29													
2009 Day-Of Early Notice Load Impacts (MW) 1 in 2 weather year													
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
H12	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H14	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H15	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.9
H16	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	5.1
H17	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	3.6
H18	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	1.0	3.5
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0

Table KS-30													
2010 Day-Of Early Notice Load Impacts (MW) 1 in 2 weather year													
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
H12	35.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	38.0
H13	35.1	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	38.1
H14	46.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.9
H15	47.1	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	57.7
H16	55.2	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0.	0.0	0.0	2.0	65.0
H17	46.7	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	54.9
H18	42.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	2.0	50.2
H19	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0

Table KS-31													
2011 Day-Of Early Notice Load Impacts (MW) 1 in 2 weather year													
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
H12	66.9	11	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	77.9
H13	67.0	11	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	78.0
H14	89.5	11	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.5
H15	89.9	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	110.2
H16	105.4	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	124.9
H17	89.1	11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	107.0
H18	80.1	11	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	3.0	98.0
H19	0.0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0.0

Table KS-32
2009 Day-Of 30 minutes Notice Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year

Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
H12	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.2
H14	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.6
H15	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	9.8	6.7	5.1	2.6	0.0	24.2
H16	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	11.6	6.8	5.2	2.6	0.0	26.2
H17	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	11.4	4.8	5.0	2.6	0.0	23.8
H18	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0.0	9.8	3.9	4.7	2.2	0.0	20.6
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	-3.7

Table KS-33
2010 Day-Of 30 minutes Notice Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year

Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
H12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0
H14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	5.0	2.6	0.0	7.6
H15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	11.6	8.7	5.1	2.6	0.0	28.1
H16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	13.8	9.0	5.2	2.6	0.0	27.9
H17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	13.5	6.2	5.0	2.6	0.0	24.8
H18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	11.6	5.1	4.7	2.2	0	21.4
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	-4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	-4.4

Table KS-34
2011 Day-Of 30 minutes Notice Hourly Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year

Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0
H12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.2
H14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.6
H15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	11.6	8.7	5.1	2.6	0.0	28.1
H16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	13.8	9.0	5.2	2.6	0.0	30.5
H17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	13.5	6.2	5.0	2.6	0.0	27.4
H18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	11.6	5.1	4.7	2.2	0	23.6
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	-4.4

1   ## 2.   Breakdown of portfolio by trigger

2   Another way to break down the portfolio is by trigger. For the day-ahead programs PTR

3   and CPPD have the same trigger for Tuesday through Saturday. These programs can be

4   triggered based on system load the day-before an event, forecasted temperature, and by CAISO

5   alerts or local resource needs. PTR events can be triggered on Sunday but CPPD events cannot.

6   For Monday events CPD requires notification to be provided by Friday, whereas PTR customers

7   can be notified on Sunday. The last difference between the two triggers is that although PTR is

8   intended to be called primarily the day before events it can also be called the day-of an event.

9   The other day-ahead program is the CBP program. This program is triggered by heat rate. The

10   total load enrolled on programs triggered a day-ahead was already provided in the break down of

11   programs by notification period presented in table KS-26 through KS-28. These tables also

12   contains the individual load for the CPPD program, PTR program and CBP day-ahead program

13   providing information which shows what the breakdown down by individual trigger is.

14   Another trigger category is the day-of emergency trigger. SDG&E has two programs in

15   this category the CPPE program and the BIP program. BIP can be triggered based on CAISO

16   stage 2 alerts, CAISO calls for interruptible load and by extreme weather conditions. CPPE can

17   be triggered by local utility emergencies and by CAISO special alerts. This category is called

18   the day-of emergency trigger category and results for this category are displayed in tables KS-35.

19   Because the load impacts assume no growth for these programs for 2009-2011 the results are the

20   same for all three years and only one table is provided

21   There are two day-of programs that do not require a CAISO alert or local system

22   emergency to be triggered. These are the Summer Saver program and the CBP day-of. program.

23   The summer saver program can be triggered based on system load or heat rate and by CAISO

1   alerts or other system emergencies. The CBP day-of program is triggered by heat rate by 9am

2   the day-of the event. This trigger category is referred to as the non-emergency day-of triggers

3   and results for this category are presented in tables KS-36 to KS-38 below.

4

Table KS-35												
2009-2011 Day-Of Emergency Trigger Load Impact (MW) 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.2
H14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.6
H15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	5.1	2.6	0.0	7.7
H16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	5.2	2.6	0.0	7.8
H17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	5.0	2.6	0.0	7.6
H18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	4.7	2.2	0.0	6.9
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

5

6

Table KS-36												
2009 Day-Of Non-emergency trigger 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.2
H14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
H15	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.9	9.8	6.7	0.0	0.0	1.0	22.3
H16	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.1	11.6	6.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	23.5
H17	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.6	11.4	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	19.7
H18	0	0	0	0	0	0	2.5	9.8	3.9	4.7	0.0	1.0	21.9
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	-3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-3.7

7

Table KS-37												
2010 Day-Of non-emergency trigger for a 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2.2
H14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	7.6
H15	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	9	5	3	2	35.7
H16	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	9	5	3	2	37.3
H17	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	14	6	5	3	2	32.6
H18	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	5	5	2	2	28.8
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	-4.4

Table KS-38												
2011 day-of non-emergency trigger for a 1 in 2 weather year												
Hour Ending	PTR Res	PTR Com	CPPD Med	CPPD Lg	CBP DA4	CBP DA6	CBP DO4	SS Res	SS Com	BIP	CPPE	TI	Total
H11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
H13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2.2
H14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2.6
H15	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	9	5	3	3	37.4
H16	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	9	5	3	3	39.0
H17	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	6	5	3	3	34.3
H18	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	12	5	5	2	3	30.5
H19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-4	0	0	0	0	-4.4

3. **Breakdown of Portfolio by Customer Type:**

SDG&E's testimony does not contain a portfolio breakdown of load impacts by NAICS code. SDG&E cannot provide a more detailed breakdown of the default CPP estimate by NAICS code. The default CPP forecast is based on the results of the SPP commercial pilot. The commercial portion of the Statewide Pricing Pilot was conducted in the years 2004 and 2005 and all of the commercial customers who participated in this pilot were in Edison's service territory. SDG&E does not have the raw data from this study to use to re-estimate the CPP load impacts by

1 different NAICS code groups. In addition the SPP used a sample of 83 customers which is too

2 small of a sample to provide a reliable estimate of the load impact broken down into eight

3 NAICS code groups. SDG&E's default CPP forecast was already adopted by the commission in

4 the AMI decision D-07-04-043 so in order to consistent with past proceedings SDG&E does not

5 believe that a large change in the methodology for estimating default CPP when no default CPP

6 event data is available is appropriate. SDG&E has agreed to provide load impacts for the CBP,

7 CPPE, BIP and TI programs broken down by NAICS code as a data request by the end of

8 October.

9 **VII.   MEASUREMENT AND EVALUATION**

10   **1.   Introduction**

11   The overall objective of the Demand Response Measurement and Evaluation ("M&E")

12 effort is to provide the Commission, utilities, and other interested parties with a systematic

13 evaluation of demand response ("DR") implementation activities and customer response to those

14 activities. Specifically, M&E quantifies the amount of demand response that can be achieved

15 from customers served by the California Investor Owned Utilities ("IOUs") through its Demand

16 Response Programs, provides information to improve existing programs and eliminates

17 unsuccessful programs. Additionally, the M&E process can offer recommendations on market

18 potential, demand impact estimate refinements and cost/benefit analysis methods that can lead to

19 new programs and ultimately improve the cost-effectiveness of demand response activities.

20 Included in the evaluation effort is the need to monitor other markets, statewide and nationally,

21 for demand response program features, impacts, and evaluation techniques.

2. Demand Response Measurement and Evaluation Background

Early Measurement and Evaluation Efforts. The statewide demand response M&E effort began in 2003 with activities authorized in D.03-06-032. This decision adopted the comprehensive monitoring and evaluation plan proposed by Working Group 2 ("WG2") in its December 13, 2002 report, as augmented by its March 11, 2003 report. The plan outlined M&E activities in an effort to provide information that could improve the cost-effectiveness of demand response activities going forward.

In 2003, following the CPUC direction provided in D.03-06-032 the IOUs and agency staff began a cooperative comprehensive evaluation of all programs authorized in that decision. The collaborative group was referred to as the WG2 Measurement and Evaluation subcommittee. Early in 2004, the traditional interruptible programs and the California Power Authority's Demand Reserves Partnership ("CPA DRP") were added to the scope at the direction of the WG2 facilitators: the California Energy Commission ("CEC") and the CPUC Energy Division. On January 27, 2005 the CPUC issued D.05-01-056 approving 2005 Demand Response Goals, Programs and Budgets. The decision provided authorization of and funding for 2005 DR programs as well as approving the general scope of the proposed M&E activities. On April 21, 2005 the Commission issued D.05-04-053, an opinion addressing the default CPP rates for customers 200 kW and greater. In the Decision, the Commission states that it is "particularly interested in additional work by WG2 on how we can utilize the impact assessment information gained from evaluating CPP and demand response programs can be integrated into the Commission's resource planning process.....we are interested in seeing protocols developed, based on M&E results, to allow demand response resources to be counted for resource adequacy

1 purposes."[5] SDG&E's 2006-2008 Demand Response program and budgets were approved in

2 D. 06-03-024 and again the Commission directed that "the current oversight of M&E by the

3 Working Group 2 Measurement and Evaluation subcommittee shall continue for the programs

4 and budgets approved in this order".[6] On November 30th, 2006 D.06-11-049 authorized 2007

5 IOU program augmentations and continued the WG2 M&E subcommittee oversight under the

6 more appropriate name of the Demand Response Measurement and Evaluation Committee

7 ("DRMEC"). The decision also states that the CEC and Energy Division will continue to

8 supervise the M&E activities as originally authorized in D.03-06-032, D.06-03-024. Currently,

9 statewide M&E on statewide demand response tariffs and programs being carried out is under

10 the guidance of the DRMEC project advisory committee, which consists of representatives from

11 the California utilities and both state commissions.

12   Beginning in April 2004 early Demand Response studies included: The Summary of the

13 Phase I Research submitted on April 8, 2004, the WG2 Non-Participant Market Survey

14 submitted on August 5, 2004 and a Mid-Summer Interim presentation made at the September 2,

15 2004 WG2 workshop that included a high level process evaluation for all DRP programs

16 (including the statewide reliability programs) and the Demand Response Program Evaluation

17 Final Report for 2004 programs issued in December 2004. Starting in 2006, more detailed

18 reporting of Demand Response Load Impacts were studied. Major studies included: The

19 Process Evaluation of Selected California 2005 Demand Response Education, Awareness and

20 Outreach Programs (4/27/06). Evaluation of 2005 Statewide Large Nonresidential Day-Ahead

21 and Reliability Demand Response Programs (4/28/06), the Evaluation of the California

22 Statewide 20/20 Demand Reduction Programs (6/6/06), Process Evaluation of the 2004/2005

---

[5] D. 05-04-053 at p 55.
[6] D.06-03-024 at p 19.

1 Flex Your Power Now! Statewide Marketing Campaign (7/24/06). For a complete listing of

2 demand response studies completed to date please go to the CALMAC website.

3   The recent load impact protocol decision D.08-04-050 stated that DR activities should be

4 evaluated according to the load impact protocols and that these evaluations should include both

5 an estimate of the historical load impact (ex-post) and a forecast (ex-ante) of the demand

6 response. These protocols also require an evaluation plan for each DR program to be submitted

7 to the DRMEC committee. Detailed discussion of M&E plans should be conducted through the

8 evaluation plan process not through this testimony. However, a brief description of the type of

9 evaluation planned for each program is included here in order to explain and justify the proposed

10 M&E budget.

11 **3. Measurement and Evaluation Budget for 2009-2011**

12   Starting with 2009, each utility will likely have unique tariffs or programs that will

13 require some degree of measurement and evaluation. To the extent that load impact evaluations

14 are required the Commission adopted Load Impact Protocols will be followed. The DRMEC

15 will oversee these research activities. SDG&E proposes a budget for M&E that is inclusive of

16 the M&E for all of the proposed programs and activities.

1

Table KS-39			
SDG&E Measurement & Evaluation Activities	**2009**	**2010**	**2011**
Day Ahead Tariffs and Programs (Pricing)			
Critical Peak Pricing	$198,000	$308,000	$99,000
Capacity Bidding Program	$22,000	$33,000	$22,000
Demand Reserves Program (Aggregators)	$22,000	$33,000	$22,000
Peak Time Rebate	$0	$330,000	$220,000
Summer Saver	$220,000	$137,500	$220,000
Day Of Tariffs and Programs (Reliability & Emergency)			
Base Interruptible Program	$22,000	$22,000	$22,000
Critical Peak Pricing Emergency	$22,000	$22,000	$11,000
Education Awareness and Outreach			
Flex Alert Network	$88,000	$88,000	$88,000
General Education and Outreach Programs	$66,000	$0	$66,000
Residential Automated Control Pilot	$0	$90,750	$90,750
Other Statewide Evaluation Activities and Developmental Research			
TA and TI / Auto DR	$16,500	$55,000	$16,500
Permanent Load Shifting Evaluation	$27,500	$27,500	$27,500
Customer Research Studies	$82,500	$82,500	$82,500
Load Impact & Cost Effective Protocol Development	$55,000	$55,000	$55,000
Demand Response Forecasting Application Development	$88,000	$55,000	$55,000
Labor to support M&E studies			
M&E Analytical Support 2 FTE's	$237,600	$245,916	$255,309
Total	$1,167,100	$1,585,166	$ 1,352,559

2

3   **4. Measurement and Evaluation Description for 2009 - 2011.**

4   On 2/27/2008 the CPUC issued an "ALJ Ruling Providing Guidance on Content and

5   Format of 2009-2011 Demand Response Activity Applications". This guidance document

6   provides expected scope on content of the 2009-2011 application. Included in the guidance is

1 the Commission's expectation of a comprehensive review of DR activities and budgets. The

2 Commissions goal is to focus on all DR activities including: "price responsive" and "reliability

3 triggered" categories as in past applications. Also included are "emergency triggered" programs,

4 and even programs and rates that were also reviewed in the utilities' general rate cases (GRCs).

5 The goal is to have a comprehensive look at all DR activities.[7] The Measurement and Evaluation

6 Activities proposed in this application include programs and rates that have been approved in

7 other applications such as: SDG&E's GRC Phase 2 D.08-02-034 and in SDG&E's AMI case

8 D.07-04-043.

9 Beginning in 2009, SDG&E will have pricing and reliability tariffs or programs that will

10 require some degree of measurement and evaluation. To the extent these programs are not

11 evaluated with the other statewide M&E, SDG&E plans to conduct the research locally and work

12 with the DRMEC to ensure that the program evaluation plans and the report drafts are provided

13 to the DRMEC for input as consistent with the Load Impact Protocols Proposed Decision.

14 Evaluation and research activities discussed in this chapter are organized under the following

15 categories:

16 **A. "PRICING" PROGRAMS AND REBATES**
17 **B "RELIABILITY" AND "EMERGENCY" PROGRAMS AND RATES**
18 **C. MARKETING AND OUTREACH**
19 **D. OTHER EVALUATION ACTIVITIES AND DEVELOPMENTAL RESEARCH.**
20
21 There is also a distinction as to whether the program is a statewide or a local study effort.

22 Organizing and discussing the evaluations in this manner is the most efficient approach because

---

[7] Administrative Law Judge's Ruling Providing Guidance on Content and Format of 2009-2011 Demand Response Activity Applications, 2/27/08.

1   the various program evaluations have similar data requirements and are collected from the same

2   finite population of respondents.

3   **A. Pricing Programs: Recommendations for Future Measurement and Evaluation**

4   **of Day Ahead Pricing Demand Response Programs**

5   SDG&E recommends that it continue statewide DR efforts for M&E as approved most

6   recently in D.06-03-024 and in D.06-11-049. SDG&E proposes to conduct measurement and

7   evaluation activities on all of the following 2009-2011 programs.

8   • Critical Peak Pricing – Default

9   • Capacity Bidding Program

10   • Peak Time Rebate (2010-2011)

11   • Summer Saver

12   <u>Critical Peak Pricing – Default (CPP-D)</u>. SDG&E's voluntary Critical Peak Pricing

13   (CPP) rate has been evaluated on a statewide basis since 2004. SDG&E's new CPP-D rate is the

14   default rate starting on May 1st 2008[8]. CPP-D is a demand response rate option that provides

15   customers with an opportunity to manage their electric costs by either reducing load during high

16   cost pricing periods or shifting load from high cost pricing periods to lower cost pricing periods.

17   Customers shall be provided with the option to self-select and reserve a level of generation

18   capacity, specified in kW, that would protect that portion of their load from the CPP-D Period

19   rates applicable during a CPP Event.

20   Customers may opt out of the CPP-D rate onto other demand response rates/programs or

21   onto SDG&E's ALTOU rate. SDG&E proposes that CPP-D be evaluated locally until it can be

22   included as a joint-utility program evaluation along with the other IOU's default CPP rates.

23   SDG&E's Default CPP rate structure is different than its previous voluntary CPP rate. This new

---

[8] D.08-02-034 was issued February 29, 2008. In the decision, the Commission adopted a settlement agreement in SDG&E's 2008 General Rate Case, Phase 2 (A. 07-01-047) which included the CPP Default rate.

1 CPP rate structure will be included in the evaluations. The impact evaluation planning process

2 and scope will be done in accordance to the load impact protocols as prescribed in the schedule

3 that is adopted by the CPUC. The proposed budget for this program assumes that the rate will

4 initially be evaluated locally by SDG&E in 2009 and 2010 and assumes the 2011 evaluation will

5 be statewide. The budget includes funding for both impact and process evaluations.

6 Capacity Bidding Program. The Capacity Bidding Program (CBP) is a voluntary demand

7 response program that offers customers various product options by which participants can earn

8 incentive payments in exchange for reducing energy consumption when requested by SDG&E.

9 The CBP is open to any commercial, industrial or agricultural customer with an interval meter.

10 Working directly through SDG&E or through an aggregator, customers choose the event

11 duration that best fits with their operational needs. Curtailment durations are pre-selected by

12 CBP participants and are available in increments of 1-4, 2-6, or 4-8 hours. The measurement and

13 evaluation budget for this program assumes that a statewide load impact study of the program

14 and other programs involving aggregators will be conducted each year from 2009-2011.Peak

15 Time Rebate (PTR)[9]. SDG&E's Schedule PTR provides residential customers and small

16 commercial customers with peak demands of less than 20 kW with a tiered incentive to reduce

17 electric usage below an established customer reference level (CRL) on high demand days. A

18 higher level incentive ($1.25/kWh) is provided to customers with enabling technology and a

19 lower level incentive ($0.75/kWh) to customers without such technology. The measurement and

20 evaluation budget for this program includes estimates of the funding required for a load impact

21 evaluation of the program in 2010 and 2011 and also includes additional funds for process

---

[9] D.08-02-034 was issued February 29, 2008. In the decision, the Commission adopted a settlement agreement in SDG&E's 2008 General Rate Case, Phase 2 (A. 07-01-047) which included the Peak Time Rebate program.

1 studies. Both SCE and SDG&E are planning to evaluate this rate in 2010 but SDG&E has

2 planned for enough funding in 2010 to do a local evaluation if necessary.

3   <u>Summer Saver</u>. SDG&E's Summer Saver program is primarily an air conditioning

4 cycling program that is offered to both residential and small commercial customers. SDG&E

5 plans to run this program in conjunction with SDG&E's day-ahead Peak Time Rebate (PTR)

6 program by 2010. Residential load impacts for Summer Saver will be calculated using energy

7 consumption data collected from a random sample of more than 100 program participants'

8 premises, selected early in the first year of the program. The residential class has two cycling

9 strategy options: 50% and 100%. A year after the 100% option was made available, a second

10 random sample was drawn from participants subject to 100% cycling. The measurement and

11 evaluation will be conducted by a third-party contractor hired by SDG&E and load impacts will

12 be calculated in conformance with the CPUC's recently adopted load impact protocols. Funds to

13 conduct a process evaluation of the program every other year are also included in the budget.

14 **B. "Reliability" and "Emergency" programs and rates**

15   SDG&E recommends that it continue statewide DR efforts for M&E as approved most

16 recently in D.06-03-024 and D.06-11-049. SDG&E proposes to conduct statewide M&E on the

17 following programs:

18   Base Interruptible Program ("BIP")

19   Critical Peak Pricing Emergency ("CPP-E")

20   BIP, CPP-E, are triggered by local emergency conditions or at the statewide level by the

21 ISO. A brief explanation of each of these programs is provided: The Base Interruptible Program

22 (BIP) is a voluntary program which offers participants a monthly capacity bill credit in exchange

23 for committing to reduce their demand to a contracted Firm Service Level on short notice during

45

1 emergency situations, such as a CAISO Stage 2 or local utility emergencies. A penalty is

2 imposed for non-performance during BIP events.

3   CPP-E is a "day of" demand response rate. Customers on CPP-E must reduce loads at

4 the next full 15-minute meter interval following the 30-minute advance notification. An alert

5 period may not exceed more than a 6 hour period in one day.

6   The budget assumes that the load impact CPP-E will be evaluated in the same study as

7 CPP-D and that the load impact for BIP can be evaluated either with the capacity bidding

8 program or as a small part of a statewide BIP analysis. Therefore a small amount of M&E

9 funding is allocated to these programs to cover the incremental costs of including these programs

10 in broader studies.

11 **C. Marketing and Outreach**

12 <u>Education Awareness and Outreach</u>: The Customer Education, Awareness and Outreach

13 Programs are a comprehensive, multi-faceted marketing/communications effort that entails a

14 variety of initiatives aimed at increasing customer knowledge, understanding of demand

15 response and inciting behavior change/action. This effort is essential to the successful

16 communication, participation and execution of the overall demand response program portfolio.

17 These initiatives provide the foundation for delivering demand response benefits to customers,

18 and will complement the program specific marketing efforts to acquire new customers, retain

19 existing customers and encourage participation when called upon. The various general

20 awareness and education initiatives are intended to increase the overall awareness and

21 understanding of 1) the demand response concept; 2) the benefits demand response delivers to

22 customers; and 3) the importance of demand response programs in the customers energy

23 management mix.

1   SDG&E proposes to conduct statewide measurement and evaluation on the following

2 Education Awareness and Outreach programs:

3   Flex Alert Network

4   General Education and Awareness (includes SDG&E's PEAK program)

5   Emerging Markets (SDG&E technology pilot)

6   The demand response budget includes funds for a statewide process evaluation each year

7 of the Flex Alert Network. It also allows for a process evaluation of some of SDG&E's general

8 education and awareness programs such as peak student, the business collaborative outreach

9 program and the education awareness and outreach umbrella campaign.

10   <u>Residential Automated Control Pilot</u>   The 2009-2011 Residential Automated Control

11 Pilot Program will test, implement and evaluate several new and existing automated load

12 reduction enabling technologies within the Residential Sector. The resulting effects of this pilot

13 program will facilitate the promotion of new and existing enabling technologies that will achieve

14 load reduction goals during critical peak energy usage periods. SDG&E plans to evaluate the

15 pilot locally. The primary focus of the evaluation will be an assessment of technology

16 acceptance. Demand response load impacts will also be measured as soon as interval data from

17 the new Smart Meters becomes available. A more detailed description of the M&E research

18 questions that will be addressed by the pilot can be found in the description of the pilot that

19 begins on page 53 of Mark Ward's testimony.

20   **D. Other Evaluation Activities and Developmental Research:**

21   SDG&E recommends conducting statewide research on the following activities and

22 programs:

23   • Technical Assistance and Technical Incentives and Auto DR

24   • Permanent Load Shifting

1   • Customer Research Studies

2   • Load Impact (ex post and ex ante) and Cost Effectiveness Protocol Development

3   • Demand Response Forecasting Application Development

4   <u>Technical Assistance and Technical Incentives.</u> The Technical Assistance and

5   Technology Incentives Program (TA/TI) is available to customers to offer assistance in

6   identifying and installing measures to reduce electric demands. The TA/TI Program offers

7   customers assistance in site assessments to identify their ability to monitor and reduce their

8   electric load in the event of a potential electricity shortage. Participants may receive a free on-

9   site assessment provided by SDG&E, or may utilize their own engineering firm or contractor to

10   perform a site assessment, and may have a portion of the cost of that assessment reimbursed.

11   Currently TA/TI is being evaluated on a statewide basis. The process evaluation is

12   expected to be completed by 3rd quarter 2008. Based on the evaluation feedback, it is expected

13   that the utilities will re-evaluate the programs in 2010. For 2009, the Technical Assistance and

14   Technical Incentive Program evaluation be included in the statewide analysis and SDG&E

15   recommends that these two programs be included in the statewide analysis through 2011. The

16   statewide evaluations will also include the Auto DR component. No separate impact evaluation

17   for the TI program is planned because measurement of the impact of TI will occur within the

18   evaluations of the demand response programs that TI customers sign up for.[10]

19   <u>Permanent Load Shifting (PLS).</u> PLS Permanent Load Shifting (PLS) occurs when a

20   customer moves energy usage from one time period to another on an ongoing basis. In the RFP

21   issued by SDG&E, PLS of customer end-use equipment is to occur, at a minimum from the

22   period of 11:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. to the period of 6:00 p.m. to 11:00 a.m. each weekday (Monday

---

[10] The Automated Demand Response (AutoDR) component of the TI Program provides a financial incentive of $300/kW intended to encourage customer adoption and installation of technology designed to link facility energy management control systems with external utility generated price or emergency signals.

48

1 through Friday), excluding holidays, during the summer months May 1st through October 31st.

2 This is a relatively new DR program and SDG&E expects to evaluate its effectiveness and load

3 impacts on a statewide basis to the extent possible.

4   Customer Research Studies. The IOUs in cooperation with the DRMEC propose to

5 conduct statewide demand response studies of a more general nature, not specific to the existing

6 statewide programs. In addition to evaluating the operational programs by documenting and

7 assessing program marketing and implementation, the utilities propose to conduct customer

8 behavioral research that identify and characterize program participants and non participants. For

9 the 2009-2011 portfolio cycle, the joint utilities represented on the DRMEC recommend

10 conducting research to examine methods of customer outreach including marketing techniques,

11 media, Web tools etc. with the goal to improve overall program effectiveness. In addition, the

12 utilities recommend conducting methodological issues research to increase understanding of DR

13 participation of program enrollees, especially related to customer response to multiple demand

14 side options; energy efficiency, self generation demand response etc.

15   Load Impact (ex post and ex ante) and Cost Effectiveness Protocol Development. On

16 April 24th, 2008 the CPUC approved the Load Impact Protocols in D.08-04-050. The protocols

17 provide guidance on how to estimate ex post and ex ante load impacts under a variety of

18 scenarios. This is the first CPUC decision regarding the estimation of load impacts with respect

19 to demand response activities. The Load Impact Protocols will need further work and refinement

20 as they are implemented during the next program cycle. The proposed protocols for DR Cost

21 Effectiveness are currently under CPUC review. SDG&E believes that work for CE will

22 continue throughout the next several years. Therefore, funding will be necessary to continue to

23 refine and update both the load impact and cost effectiveness protocols.

1   <u>Demand Response Forecasting Application Development.</u> On September 21, 2006 FERC

2 issued an Order in which the CAISO was directed to work with market participants to present

3 additional opportunities for demand response resources to participate in the CAISO market and

4 to work with Load Serving Entities to develop methods for the accounting of expected demand

5 response within RUC procurement. In response, a working group has been formed by the

6 CAISO to incorporate a demand response forecast into MRTU release 1. For day-ahead

7 programs, this working group has requested SDG&E to provide to the CAISO a demand

8 response forecast by 10 A.M. the day before an event. For day-of programs, SDG&E is to

9 provide a forecast as soon as possible but no later than 75 minutes before an event. SDG&E is

10 also required to report the actual demand response achieved to the CAISO[11].

11   SDG&E is currently developing and implementing an hourly demand response

12 forecasting model to be utilized by SDG&E's electric procurement and the ISO. This model is

13 being developed so that SDG&E can provide hourly estimates of load reductions for two main

14 categories of demand response activities: Day-Ahead Notifications where customers are notified

15 of the need to reduce demand on a day-ahead basis, and Day-Of-Notification where customers

16 are notified on the day that demand reductions are required. This hourly DR forecasting model

17 will require on-going maintenance and the updating of load impact models as SDG&E's day

18 ahead and day of programs evolve.

19

20   This concludes my direct testimony.

21

---

[11] CAISO Demand Response Resource User Guide: Guide to Participation in MRTU Release 1, November 29, 2007 Version 3.0

VIII. QUALIFICATIONS

My name is Kathryn E. Smith. My business address is 8306 Century Park Court, San Diego, California, 92123-1569. I am employed by San Diego Gas & Electric Company ("SDG&E") as a Senior Market Analyst in the Load Research Department. In my position I am responsible for providing statistical analysis related to electric load research.

I graduated from the University of California Berkeley with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Mathematics in 1999. I received a Master of Science in Statistics from San Diego State University in 2004. I have been employed by SDG&E and Sempra Energy in the load research department since 2005.

I have not previously testified before the Commission.

Chapter 3 Appendix A

Ex-Post Load Impact Details

The ex-post load impact protocols 6, 7 and 8 require that hourly load impacts with confidence intervals for each event hour of each event be provided in a specified format. The ex-post load impact protocol 10 requires that goodness of fit statistics be provided for each analysis. This appendix contains event-specific hourly load impacts for each program and the corresponding goodness of fit statistics. The program results are in the following order, voluntary CPP, CBP, Summer Saver, CPPE, BIP.

The regression models for the voluntary CPP, CBP, CPPE and BIP programs all used separate regression models for each hour. The goodness of fit statistics are presented for all 24 hours regardless of whether the hour was an event hour or not since the protocols require that all 24 hours be estimated.

Table of contents

1:   Voluntary CPP hourly load impacts for each event.

Event-specific load impacts – *SDG&E CPP*

Table A1.1
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *Average Event Day*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	59,439	61,518	2,078	70.5	4,196	2,945	2,078	1,212	-40
2	57,355	59,225	1,870	70.2	3,948	2,720	1,870	1,019	-209
3	55,611	57,233	1,622	69.6	3,937	2,569	1,622	674	-694
4	54,710	56,014	1,304	69.5	3,635	2,258	1,304	350	-1,028
5	57,339	58,829	1,491	69.3	4,019	2,525	1,491	456	-1,038
6	62,128	63,658	1,530	69.8	4,332	2,677	1,530	384	-1,271
7	68,272	69,993	1,721	72.6	4,206	2,738	1,721	703	-765
8	73,116	73,976	860	75.6	3,059	1,760	860	-40	-1,340
9	75,178	75,763	586	79.2	2,836	1,507	586	-335	-1,665
10	77,028	76,153	-874	81.8	1,535	111	-874	-1,860	-3,283
11	78,119	74,949	-3,170	83.1	-434	-2,050	-3,170	-4,290	-5,906
12	76,846	66,550	-10,296	82.6	-7,425	-9,121	-10,296	-11,471	-13,168
13	72,675	65,307	-7,368	82.5	-4,306	-6,115	-7,368	-8,621	-10,430
14	69,731	63,505	-6,226	82.2	-3,350	-5,049	-6,226	-7,403	-9,103
15	69,639	64,098	-5,542	81.9	-3,098	-4,542	-5,542	-6,542	-7,986
16	72,763	63,342	-9,421	80.4	-6,551	-8,247	-9,421	-10,596	-12,292
17	74,021	63,094	-10,927	78.8	-8,024	-9,739	-10,927	-12,115	-13,830
18	71,126	61,668	-9,458	75.9	-7,342	-8,592	-9,458	-10,324	-11,574
19	68,740	63,943	-4,797	72.9	-1,933	-3,625	-4,797	-5,970	-7,662
20	66,992	64,936	-2,056	71.7	-155	-1,279	-2,056	-2,834	-3,958
21	66,096	64,933	-1,163	71.1	912	-314	-1,163	-2,012	-3,239
22	64,655	64,448	-207	70.5	2,645	960	-207	-1,373	-3,058
23	64,079	64,441	362	70.0	4,045	1,869	362	-1,145	-3,321
24	62,277	63,081	803	71.0	4,966	2,507	803	-900	-3,360
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,617,936	1,560,655	-57,281	59.2	5,655	-31,528	-57,281	-83,034	-120,217

Table A1.2
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 15, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	59,935	63,726	3,791	70.4	7,231	7,139	3,426	3,268	1,689
2	57,381	61,502	4,121	70.4	7,352	7,067	4,298	3,972	2,102
3	55,802	59,100	3,298	69.2	6,504	6,174	3,720	3,689	1,538
4	55,136	58,474	3,338	68.5	6,973	5,675	3,670	3,301	1,991
5	57,483	61,375	3,892	67.9	7,007	6,172	4,244	4,254	3,241
6	62,401	67,094	4,693	68.8	8,842	6,702	4,170	6,354	3,776
7	68,661	72,271	3,610	72.0	7,782	6,119	3,725	4,382	1,678
8	73,612	77,580	3,968	74.6	9,765	6,912	3,169	4,294	1,880
9	75,207	79,436	4,229	77.5	10,126	7,728	3,409	4,506	1,745
10	76,860	79,816	2,957	80.5	9,169	6,857	2,049	2,597	423
11	77,861	78,191	330	83.4	6,359	3,218	-727	-332	-2,854
12	76,092	68,348	-7,744	85.2	-6,247	-6,288	-8,328	-8,490	-9,205
13	72,530	66,357	-6,173	84.0	-3,537	-4,624	-6,505	-6,811	-8,778
14	69,471	65,291	-4,180	82.7	-734	-2,282	-3,809	-5,285	-7,573
15	69,857	66,527	-3,329	82.7	-241	-869	-2,956	-4,102	-6,546
16	73,508	65,180	-8,328	80.1	-6,156	-7,948	-8,065	-10,506	-11,296
17	75,928	64,837	-11,092	78.5	-8,859	-11,940	-11,393	-12,481	-14,526
18	74,213	63,101	-11,112	75.2	-9,543	-12,111	-11,005	-13,543	-13,780
19	71,918	67,429	-4,489	72.0	-163	-3,332	-4,918	-6,227	-7,164
20	69,874	67,595	-2,279	71.4	4,477	-1,133	-2,902	-3,648	-5,132
21	68,825	68,254	-571	71.4	6,116	594	-1,377	-1,334	-3,144
22	68,210	68,822	612	70.4	7,890	1,884	125	-215	-1,082
23	67,783	69,643	1,859	69.8	11,035	4,011	1,613	820	-146
24	64,515	67,180	2,666	70.7	10,698	5,286	2,900	1,068	225
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,643,060	1,627,128	-15,933	59.7	91,848	31,011	-21,466	-30,470	-70,936

4

Table A1.3
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 16, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	61,380	64,464	3,084	69.8	6,186	2,006	4,828	2,080	103
2	58,676	61,806	3,131	69.8	6,278	2,095	4,623	2,312	-212
3	56,553	58,721	2,168	68.5	5,486	1,232	3,764	1,665	-750
4	55,693	57,736	2,043	69.8	5,802	1,444	3,459	1,385	-507
5	58,231	59,840	1,609	69.8	5,009	1,552	3,452	1,264	-987
6	62,889	63,797	907	69.2	3,806	1,324	1,963	669	-1,880
7	69,382	67,299	-2,082	72.0	1,265	-726	-493	-2,273	-5,174
8	74,555	75,170	614	76.2	4,289	1,681	1,690	345	-2,192
9	76,180	75,716	-464	80.1	2,957	720	-252	-1,225	-4,363
10	78,010	76,613	-1,396	84.2	1,967	239	-1,977	-2,480	-5,481
11	78,986	75,992	-2,995	82.3	-150	-1,283	-3,019	-4,389	-7,207
12	77,915	67,215	-10,700	81.3	-8,072	-8,267	-10,010	-12,577	-12,642
13	73,557	67,183	-6,374	82.3	-4,099	-4,105	-5,949	-8,350	-9,517
14	70,383	65,702	-4,681	81.8	-2,516	-3,297	-4,102	-4,950	-7,976
15	70,267	65,941	-4,327	81.1	-2,050	-3,205	-4,571	-4,351	-7,566
16	73,213	65,852	-7,361	81.4	-3,682	-6,226	-7,134	-8,328	-10,194
17	74,662	65,535	-9,127	81.1	-5,157	-8,388	-9,643	-10,225	-11,718
18	73,161	64,243	-8,918	78.7	-4,882	-9,026	-8,988	-9,689	-11,573
19	70,655	66,841	-3,815	74.3	100	-4,005	-4,126	-4,370	-7,562
20	68,304	66,559	-1,745	72.0	2,185	-1,301	-1,715	-2,778	-5,820
21	67,166	68,463	1,297	70.7	4,666	1,037	892	467	-3,437
22	65,968	68,835	2,867	70.7	6,361	1,931	1,944	2,300	-1,963
23	65,117	69,133	4,015	69.8	7,294	2,621	2,072	3,223	-1,833
24	62,766	66,865	4,099	70.4	6,115	3,136	3,137	3,275	-896
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,643,670	1,605,519	-38,151	65.6	39,157	-28,813	-30,152	-57,000	-121,348

Table A1.4
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 17, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	60,647	63,859	3,213	69.2	4,526	5,230	5,263	1,462	-186
2	58,462	61,345	2,883	69.2	3,606	4,922	4,503	914	-66
3	56,757	59,378	2,621	68.5	3,026	4,455	3,946	571	-755
4	56,070	57,298	1,229	67.9	1,616	3,468	2,614	-202	-1,921
5	58,775	59,617	842	68.1	975	3,025	2,500	-313	-2,145
6	63,162	64,579	1,417	69.4	1,251	4,627	2,646	544	-1,761
7	68,960	70,201	1,240	71.2	825	4,163	3,258	1,039	-3,369
8	73,328	73,762	434	74.4	22	3,335	2,620	438	-4,369
9	74,935	77,042	2,107	78.6	1,808	5,469	4,674	1,211	-2,826
10	76,167	76,837	670	81.8	762	4,027	3,127	-830	-4,207
11	76,017	75,664	-353	81.5	611	2,076	1,353	-1,628	-3,875
12	74,290	68,126	-6,164	81.1	-2,101	-5,534	-6,858	-7,317	-7,369
13	70,173	67,947	-2,226	80.3	1,392	-1,691	-2,429	-3,172	-5,611
14	67,949	64,933	-3,016	80.9	-734	-2,008	-2,977	-4,401	-6,964
15	68,514	65,480	-3,034	80.9	-1,412	-1,404	-2,810	-4,952	-7,233
16	70,887	65,099	-5,788	80.9	-2,849	-5,978	-6,258	-7,247	-8,718
17	72,198	64,475	-7,723	78.7	-4,715	-6,987	-8,733	-9,280	-9,483
18	70,236	63,642	-6,594	76.5	-3,777	-5,283	-6,494	-7,254	-8,344
19	68,404	67,716	-687	73.0	589	2,777	952	-1,227	-3,155
20	66,676	66,887	211	72.0	1,119	4,058	2,234	-23	-2,394
21	65,590	66,637	1,047	70.7	775	4,858	3,179	638	-1,700
22	64,814	68,092	3,277	70.7	1,916	7,201	5,261	2,837	-1,098
23	64,545	68,793	4,247	69.8	2,071	8,391	6,854	3,961	-655
24	62,599	66,083	3,484	69.8	993	7,132	6,009	3,157	-1,019
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,610,155	1,603,491	-6,665	51.3	12,295	50,328	24,435	-31,073	-89,226

Table A1.5
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 21, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	60,414	60,577	163	68.8	-984	979	1,872	486	-287
2	58,007	58,288	280	68.3	-847	852	2,315	432	-188
3	56,291	56,348	57	67.9	-403	318	1,874	-82	163
4	55,264	54,746	-518	66.7	-1,226	-395	1,286	-1,008	-531
5	57,894	57,695	-199	68.5	-611	-345	1,501	-526	220
6	62,766	63,289	523	69.8	102	987	3,005	437	1,572
7	69,906	71,617	1,711	71.4	690	1,698	5,027	1,448	2,287
8	74,259	75,376	1,116	74.6	232	991	3,786	1,580	1,151
9	76,253	76,577	324	78.2	-67	681	3,067	987	1,017
10	78,278	77,376	-901	82.7	-927	-593	1,046	-345	-807
11	78,315	76,160	-2,154	86.0	-869	-1,221	-855	-1,900	-3,168
12	77,444	67,706	-9,738	86.5	-6,338	-8,675	-9,167	-11,139	-12,248
13	73,273	66,434	-6,839	88.2	-5,719	-5,409	-6,039	-8,055	-8,646
14	70,254	64,957	-5,297	85.6	-3,942	-4,678	-4,653	-6,458	-7,585
15	70,102	65,626	-4,476	84.4	-2,422	-3,688	-4,215	-5,563	-6,671
16	72,506	64,699	-7,806	81.8	-4,694	-6,116	-8,438	-9,035	-12,160
17	72,309	64,227	-8,082	78.5	-3,399	-6,480	-9,220	-9,499	-12,129
18	69,331	61,904	-7,427	75.2	-3,494	-6,667	-9,463	-8,939	-11,264
19	67,324	63,141	-4,183	72.0	-1,371	-3,217	-5,157	-4,571	-5,954
20	66,038	64,837	-1,201	70.2	787	-23	-1,350	-1,156	-2,130
21	65,024	64,861	-163	70.2	1,538	241	-389	308	-1,093
22	63,763	62,013	-1,750	68.8	-1,040	-1,306	-1,076	-1,091	-2,276
23	63,907	60,599	-3,308	67.9	-3,704	-2,954	-2,254	-2,127	-4,223
24	62,675	59,102	-3,573	69.9	-3,233	-3,208	-2,947	-3,038	-4,293
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,621,599	1,558,155	-63,445	77.0	-41,942	-48,229	-40,444	-68,852	-89,243

Table A1.6
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 29, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	59,197	58,510	-687	69.8	835	-1,649	-616	683	-761
2	56,938	56,801	-137	69.2	1,596	-1,559	142	1,123	78
3	55,638	54,919	-719	68.8	1,185	-2,000	208	226	-477
4	54,952	54,825	-127	68.8	2,069	-1,244	861	687	438
5	57,673	57,488	-186	66.5	1,923	-1,563	839	371	461
6	62,574	60,856	-1,717	69.2	663	-3,061	-993	-2,433	-1,636
7	68,118	69,822	1,705	73.0	5,614	479	3,008	613	1,901
8	73,049	73,616	567	75.9	4,298	-82	1,807	-922	165
9	75,001	74,961	-39	79.3	4,328	59	1,526	-1,022	-866
10	76,209	75,628	-581	80.1	3,382	292	763	-1,767	-2,035
11	77,380	73,634	-3,745	80.7	72	-2,444	-2,497	-4,914	-5,764
12	75,665	63,985	-11,680	82.7	-7,330	-10,573	-11,111	-12,198	-13,767
13	71,525	63,920	-7,605	84.0	-4,073	-7,206	-7,522	-8,823	-10,010
14	68,374	62,041	-6,333	82.1	-3,036	-5,801	-5,944	-6,898	-9,015
15	68,731	61,897	-6,835	82.5	-3,837	-5,595	-6,212	-7,765	-9,394
16	72,509	61,501	-11,008	81.1	-8,483	-10,183	-11,446	-12,033	-14,616
17	73,377	61,374	-12,003	78.5	-9,982	-10,249	-12,873	-13,492	-15,849
18	70,013	59,572	-10,441	75.8	-8,489	-7,952	-10,658	-12,074	-13,869
19	67,856	62,169	-5,687	73.9	-2,786	-3,415	-5,533	-7,443	-8,598
20	66,233	64,219	-2,014	73.6	1,134	-335	-1,540	-3,230	-4,451
21	65,740	63,961	-1,780	72.6	767	-207	-469	-2,876	-3,954
22	64,768	65,436	668	72.0	3,655	2,341	1,113	39	-1,029
23	64,505	65,775	1,271	71.4	3,867	3,196	710	384	-304
24	62,153	63,783	1,630	70.2	3,433	3,700	1,605	1,275	95
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,608,178	1,530,693	-77,484	57.7	-9,192	-65,050	-64,834	-92,491	-113,259

Table A1.7
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 30, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	60,894	61,686	792	69.8	1,918	-144	470	3,359	-760
2	58,428	59,112	684	69.8	1,683	-161	-10	2,901	-937
3	56,434	56,717	283	69.2	1,839	-426	-579	1,761	-1,370
4	55,523	55,270	-252	69.2	1,612	-790	-693	1,245	-1,545
5	58,173	58,351	178	68.1	2,119	-646	535	1,372	-1,120
6	62,895	63,098	203	68.3	3,522	-395	266	854	-996
7	68,723	70,010	1,287	72.0	4,301	300	797	3,011	-221
8	73,835	72,143	-1,692	74.3	837	-2,484	-2,280	-185	-3,751
9	75,778	74,573	-1,205	78.1	1,774	-1,544	-2,160	341	-3,725
10	77,583	74,620	-2,963	81.5	190	-2,986	-4,257	-1,864	-5,625
11	78,894	73,652	-5,241	82.6	-2,271	-4,632	-5,936	-5,780	-8,463
12	77,953	68,219	-9,734	82.6	-7,485	-7,705	-8,768	-11,064	-13,953
13	73,327	65,109	-8,218	84.5	-6,317	-6,742	-8,813	-9,396	-13,234
14	69,973	63,810	-6,163	87.0	-3,713	-6,682	-7,866	-6,592	-9,680
15	69,770	64,049	-5,721	82.3	-2,206	-6,083	-6,259	-6,887	-7,995
16	72,840	62,402	-10,438	79.5	-7,350	-8,968	-10,819	-11,907	-13,224
17	73,630	62,049	-11,582	81.3	-7,411	-8,854	-11,546	-14,672	-14,303
18	70,804	60,530	-10,274	78.2	-6,292	-8,438	-8,924	-11,415	-12,012
19	68,535	64,974	-3,561	75.2	824	-2,822	-3,301	-3,922	-5,348
20	66,543	65,101	-1,442	73.9	3,748	-1,455	-1,782	-1,048	-3,401
21	65,830	63,173	-2,657	73.0	2,445	-2,902	-3,171	-2,844	-4,817
22	64,439	63,850	-589	72.4	4,015	-2,339	-1,275	598	-2,221
23	63,538	64,931	1,393	72.2	4,992	-1,464	1,052	3,827	807
24	61,843	66,679	4,835	71.4	8,889	1,774	4,050	6,397	4,537
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,626,186	1,554,109	-72,077	67.7	1,661	-76,591	-81,266	-61,909	-123,359

Table A1.8
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 31, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	60,626	62,740	2,114	72.4	2,283	4,282	1,235	-590	2,206
2	58,848	59,033	185	71.8	344	2,701	90	-2,437	-40
3	57,305	57,064	-241	71.4	-275	1,856	11	-3,634	-1,412
4	56,405	55,787	-617	71.4	-564	1,535	-1,253	-4,028	-1,186
5	59,110	58,507	-603	71.8	-749	1,055	-1,475	-3,795	-1,259
6	63,740	63,787	47	70.7	-67	1,628	-442	-3,620	-833
7	68,545	68,937	391	73.4	-1,147	2,678	-2,058	-2,448	-1,235
8	73,280	72,401	-880	77.0	-2,445	1,449	-2,588	-3,331	-2,331
9	75,772	74,496	-1,277	83.4	-1,954	163	-1,946	-4,284	-3,310
10	77,679	74,871	-2,807	85.3	-2,886	-1,649	-2,764	-6,124	-4,802
11	78,626	75,156	-3,469	88.9	-2,509	-1,952	-3,042	-5,769	-6,456
12	77,317	65,470	-11,847	85.3	-7,888	-10,554	-11,793	-13,342	-15,483
13	72,545	63,187	-9,359	84.0	-5,558	-8,207	-9,949	-11,260	-12,506
14	70,050	61,377	-8,674	84.7	-5,782	-6,928	-8,382	-10,428	-12,119
15	70,310	62,949	-7,362	85.7	-5,796	-6,065	-7,249	-9,330	-11,251
16	73,247	62,315	-10,932	84.7	-7,019	-8,759	-11,321	-11,716	-14,511
17	74,739	61,354	-13,385	83.4	-8,987	-12,203	-13,118	-13,968	-16,999
18	71,681	61,198	-10,483	81.8	-5,385	-9,362	-11,545	-11,758	-13,396
19	70,111	61,677	-8,434	77.3	-4,649	-6,729	-9,424	-10,991	-11,025
20	68,114	65,659	-2,455	76.6	-62	-1,399	-5,014	-4,671	-4,964
21	67,284	65,017	-2,267	76.2	-314	-1,126	-3,440	-5,608	-5,445
22	65,869	62,907	-2,962	73.9	-2,847	-985	-5,046	-6,211	-5,472
23	64,963	64,105	-859	73.4	-1,400	2,274	-3,221	-3,839	-3,247
24	63,480	63,088	-392	72.7	108	2,424	-2,158	-3,594	-1,982
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,639,647	1,543,080	-96,567	104.4	-65,550	-53,874	-115,895	-156,778	-149,056

Table A1.9
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *September 4, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	56,826	59,083	2,256	73.9	3,375	6,614	3,472	665	6,831
2	55,646	57,904	2,258	73.4	3,126	6,198	3,645	910	5,815
3	53,732	56,499	2,767	73.4	4,216	7,380	3,558	1,964	5,762
4	52,216	54,488	2,273	73.4	3,476	6,645	3,788	1,546	4,892
5	54,577	57,760	3,183	72.7	4,218	7,206	4,407	2,890	5,892
6	59,567	62,540	2,973	73.4	4,470	6,214	3,534	2,697	6,352
7	66,383	69,442	3,059	78.5	3,141	5,934	2,947	1,134	5,073
8	71,958	72,717	759	81.9	2,460	3,900	1,811	-854	3,497
9	75,535	75,721	185	84.2	2,663	4,226	-26	-889	2,204
10	79,013	76,553	-2,460	86.1	1,000	2,344	-2,939	-3,195	-1,138
11	81,444	76,212	-5,233	85.6	-2,233	-2,207	-5,532	-5,495	-5,598
12	80,801	67,408	-13,392	84.5	-9,625	-13,378	-13,713	-14,140	-19,625
13	76,545	66,362	-10,182	82.7	-6,469	-8,900	-10,360	-12,004	-14,309
14	74,027	63,993	-10,034	82.3	-5,959	-7,643	-9,365	-12,210	-13,806
15	72,813	64,110	-8,703	84.0	-5,220	-7,368	-9,374	-10,912	-10,725
16	76,385	63,429	-12,956	82.2	-8,771	-11,731	-12,156	-15,140	-17,271
17	78,178	63,816	-14,362	79.4	-9,818	-13,291	-13,636	-16,333	-20,742
18	73,678	62,452	-11,225	74.6	-6,479	-8,405	-11,679	-12,472	-15,587
19	69,775	62,523	-7,252	72.4	-2,900	-1,800	-8,429	-8,115	-8,477
20	67,760	62,383	-5,377	70.7	-1,927	1,364	-6,575	-6,511	-3,811
21	66,648	63,641	-3,007	70.7	1,347	4,173	-3,713	-2,866	-93
22	63,764	61,567	-2,198	69.8	1,746	5,376	-2,091	-3,668	1,602
23	62,712	59,934	-2,778	69.2	634	4,913	-952	-5,362	1,617
24	61,915	59,104	-2,811	75.4	-616	4,119	-1,765	-4,761	269
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,631,898	1,539,641	-92,256	86.7	-24,147	1,883	-85,144	-123,122	-81,377

Table A1.10
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *September 5, 2007*
Type of Results: *Total for All Enrolled Customers*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	55,035	59,015	3,979	69.8	4,807	5,090	4,667	1,491	5,001
2	53,811	57,233	3,422	69.8	3,979	4,489	4,174	903	3,916
3	51,991	56,351	4,360	69.8	5,288	4,796	4,776	1,975	4,533
4	51,134	55,499	4,365	69.4	5,270	4,689	5,075	1,584	4,295
5	54,131	58,832	4,701	69.8	5,440	4,581	5,682	2,475	4,723
6	59,154	63,881	4,727	69.8	5,867	3,742	5,581	3,106	4,349
7	65,772	70,336	4,564	70.0	5,818	4,131	6,471	2,968	4,380
8	70,172	73,020	2,849	71.2	4,315	2,493	4,250	410	2,400
9	71,936	73,350	1,413	73.1	2,855	508	2,602	45	840
10	73,452	73,065	-387	74.1	1,372	-1,153	335	-2,014	-1,512
11	75,550	69,880	-5,670	76.8	-5,007	-6,157	-5,111	-7,429	-8,182
12	74,137	62,471	-11,666	74.3	-10,198	-11,846	-10,246	-12,876	-17,009
13	70,597	61,261	-9,336	73.1	-6,839	-8,675	-7,761	-9,794	-12,414
14	67,097	59,439	-7,657	73.1	-5,277	-6,599	-7,319	-8,608	-9,832
15	66,389	60,300	-6,089	73.9	-3,500	-5,027	-5,821	-6,997	-7,696
16	69,777	59,603	-10,174	71.9	-6,233	-8,221	-11,502	-12,344	-12,582
17	71,163	60,176	-10,986	70.3	-6,637	-9,279	-13,376	-12,582	-14,637
18	67,019	58,372	-8,647	67.3	-5,262	-6,805	-10,466	-10,835	-12,441
19	64,086	59,019	-5,067	66.1	-2,436	-4,974	-6,169	-7,380	-7,840
20	63,387	61,181	-2,206	65.1	159	-2,945	-3,081	-3,873	-3,341
21	62,760	60,389	-2,371	63.9	-351	-3,134	-2,988	-4,102	-4,011
22	60,296	58,511	-1,785	66.1	753	-1,929	-1,613	-4,438	-2,115
23	59,636	57,053	-2,583	66.8	398	-2,077	-1,517	-5,643	-2,033
24	58,548	55,842	-2,707	68.5	-759	-3,073	-2,323	-4,722	-3,394
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	1,537,032	1,484,079	-52,953	1.8	-6,179	-47,377	-45,678	-98,678	-84,603

Table A1.11
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *Average Event Day*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	255.5	264.4	8.9	70.5	18.0	12.7	8.9	5.2	-0.2
2	246.5	254.5	8.0	70.2	17.0	11.7	8.0	4.4	-0.9
3	239.0	246.0	7.0	69.6	16.9	11.0	7.0	2.9	-3.0
4	235.1	240.7	5.6	69.5	15.6	9.7	5.6	1.5	-4.4
5	246.4	252.8	6.4	69.3	17.3	10.9	6.4	2.0	-4.5
6	267.0	273.6	6.6	69.8	18.6	11.5	6.6	1.7	-5.5
7	293.4	300.8	7.4	72.6	18.1	11.8	7.4	3.0	-3.3
8	314.3	317.9	3.7	75.6	13.1	7.6	3.7	-0.2	-5.8
9	323.1	325.6	2.5	79.2	12.2	6.5	2.5	-1.4	-7.2
10	331.1	327.3	-3.8	81.8	6.6	0.5	-3.8	-8.0	-14.1
11	335.8	322.1	-13.6	83.1	-1.9	-8.8	-13.6	-18.4	-25.4
12	330.3	286.0	-44.3	82.6	-31.9	-39.2	-44.3	-49.3	-56.6
13	312.4	280.7	-31.7	82.5	-18.5	-26.3	-31.7	-37.1	-44.8
14	299.7	272.9	-26.8	82.2	-14.4	-21.7	-26.8	-31.8	-39.1
15	299.3	275.5	-23.8	81.9	-13.3	-19.5	-23.8	-28.1	-34.3
16	312.7	272.2	-40.5	80.4	-28.2	-35.4	-40.5	-45.5	-52.8
17	318.1	271.2	-47.0	78.8	-34.5	-41.9	-47.0	-52.1	-59.4
18	305.7	265.0	-40.7	75.9	-31.6	-36.9	-40.7	-44.4	-49.7
19	295.4	274.8	-20.6	72.9	-8.3	-15.6	-20.6	-25.7	-32.9
20	287.9	279.1	-8.8	71.7	-0.7	-5.5	-8.8	-12.2	-17.0
21	284.1	279.1	-5.0	71.1	3.9	-1.4	-5.0	-8.6	-13.9
22	277.9	277.0	-0.9	70.5	11.4	4.1	-0.9	-5.9	-13.1
23	275.4	277.0	1.6	70.0	17.4	8.0	1.6	-4.9	-14.3
24	267.7	271.1	3.5	71.0	21.3	10.8	3.5	-3.9	-14.4
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	6,954	6,708	-246	59.2	24	-136	-246	-357	-517

13

Table A1.12
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 15, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	260.6	277.1	16.5	70.4	31.4	31.0	14.9	14.2	7.3
2	249.5	267.4	17.9	70.4	32.0	30.7	18.7	17.3	9.1
3	242.6	257.0	14.3	69.2	28.3	26.8	16.2	16.0	6.7
4	239.7	254.2	14.5	68.5	30.3	24.7	16.0	14.4	8.7
5	249.9	266.8	16.9	67.9	30.5	26.8	18.5	18.5	14.1
6	271.3	291.7	20.4	68.8	38.4	29.1	18.1	27.6	16.4
7	298.5	314.2	15.7	72.0	33.8	26.6	16.2	19.1	7.3
8	320.1	337.3	17.3	74.6	42.5	30.1	13.8	18.7	8.2
9	327.0	345.4	18.4	77.5	44.0	33.6	14.8	19.6	7.6
10	334.2	347.0	12.9	80.5	39.9	29.8	8.9	11.3	1.8
11	338.5	340.0	1.4	83.4	27.6	14.0	-3.2	-1.4	-12.4
12	330.8	297.2	-33.7	85.2	-27.2	-27.3	-36.2	-36.9	-40.0
13	315.3	288.5	-26.8	84.0	-15.4	-20.1	-28.3	-29.6	-38.2
14	302.0	283.9	-18.2	82.7	-3.2	-9.9	-16.6	-23.0	-32.9
15	303.7	289.2	-14.5	82.7	-1.0	-3.8	-12.9	-17.8	-28.5
16	319.6	283.4	-36.2	80.1	-26.8	-34.6	-35.1	-45.7	-49.1
17	330.1	281.9	-48.2	78.5	-38.5	-51.9	-49.5	-54.3	-63.2
18	322.7	274.4	-48.3	75.2	-41.5	-52.7	-47.8	-58.9	-59.9
19	312.7	293.2	-19.5	72.0	-0.7	-14.5	-21.4	-27.1	-31.1
20	303.8	293.9	-9.9	71.4	19.5	-4.9	-12.6	-15.9	-22.3
21	299.2	296.8	-2.5	71.4	26.6	2.6	-6.0	-5.8	-13.7
22	296.6	299.2	2.7	70.4	34.3	8.2	0.5	-0.9	-4.7
23	294.7	302.8	8.1	69.8	48.0	17.4	7.0	3.6	-0.6
24	280.5	292.1	11.6	70.7	46.5	23.0	12.6	4.6	1.0
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	7,144	7,074	-69	59.7	399	135	-93	-132	-308

Table A1.13
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 16, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	263.4	276.7	13.2	69.8	26.6	8.6	20.7	8.9	0.4
2	251.8	265.3	13.4	69.8	26.9	9.0	19.8	9.9	-0.9
3	242.7	252.0	9.3	68.5	23.5	5.3	16.2	7.1	-3.2
4	239.0	247.8	8.8	69.8	24.9	6.2	14.8	5.9	-2.2
5	249.9	256.8	6.9	69.8	21.5	6.7	14.8	5.4	-4.2
6	269.9	273.8	3.9	69.2	16.3	5.7	8.4	2.9	-8.1
7	297.8	288.8	-8.9	72.0	5.4	-3.1	-2.1	-9.8	-22.2
8	320.0	322.6	2.6	76.2	18.4	7.2	7.3	1.5	-9.4
9	327.0	325.0	-2.0	80.1	12.7	3.1	-1.1	-5.3	-18.7
10	334.8	328.8	-6.0	84.2	8.4	1.0	-8.5	-10.6	-23.5
11	339.0	326.1	-12.9	82.3	-0.6	-5.5	-13.0	-18.8	-30.9
12	334.4	288.5	-45.9	81.3	-34.6	-35.5	-43.0	-54.0	-54.3
13	315.7	288.3	-27.4	82.3	-17.6	-17.6	-25.5	-35.8	-40.8
14	302.1	282.0	-20.1	81.8	-10.8	-14.2	-17.6	-21.2	-34.2
15	301.6	283.0	-18.6	81.1	-8.8	-13.8	-19.6	-18.7	-32.5
16	314.2	282.6	-31.6	81.4	-15.8	-26.7	-30.6	-35.7	-43.8
17	320.4	281.3	-39.2	81.1	-22.1	-36.0	-41.4	-43.9	-50.3
18	314.0	275.7	-38.3	78.7	-21.0	-38.7	-38.6	-41.6	-49.7
19	303.2	286.9	-16.4	74.3	0.4	-17.2	-17.7	-18.8	-32.5
20	293.2	285.7	-7.5	72.0	9.4	-5.6	-7.4	-11.9	-25.0
21	288.3	293.8	5.6	70.7	20.0	4.5	3.8	2.0	-14.8
22	283.1	295.4	12.3	70.7	27.3	8.3	8.3	9.9	-8.4
23	279.5	296.7	17.2	69.8	31.3	11.3	8.9	13.8	-7.9
24	269.4	287.0	17.6	70.4	26.2	13.5	13.5	14.1	-3.8
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	7,054	6,891	-164	65.6	168	-124	-129	-245	-521

Table A1.14
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 17, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	260.3	274.1	13.8	69.2	19.4	22.4	22.6	6.3	-0.8
2	250.9	263.3	12.4	69.2	15.5	21.1	19.3	3.9	-0.3
3	243.6	254.8	11.3	68.5	13.0	19.1	16.9	2.4	-3.2
4	240.6	245.9	5.3	67.9	6.9	14.9	11.2	-0.9	-8.2
5	252.3	255.9	3.6	68.1	4.2	13.0	10.7	-1.3	-9.2
6	271.1	277.2	6.1	69.4	5.4	19.9	11.4	2.3	-7.6
7	296.0	301.3	5.3	71.2	3.5	17.9	14.0	4.5	-14.5
8	314.7	316.6	1.9	74.4	0.1	14.3	11.2	1.9	-18.8
9	321.6	330.7	9.0	78.6	7.8	23.5	20.1	5.2	-12.1
10	326.9	329.8	2.9	81.8	3.3	17.3	13.4	-3.6	-18.1
11	326.3	324.7	-1.5	81.5	2.6	8.9	5.8	-7.0	-16.6
12	318.8	292.4	-26.5	81.1	-9.0	-23.8	-29.4	-31.4	-31.6
13	301.2	291.6	-9.6	80.3	6.0	-7.3	-10.4	-13.6	-24.1
14	291.6	278.7	-12.9	80.9	-3.1	-8.6	-12.8	-18.9	-29.9
15	294.1	281.0	-13.0	80.9	-6.1	-6.0	-12.1	-21.3	-31.0
16	304.2	279.4	-24.8	80.9	-12.2	-25.7	-26.9	-31.1	-37.4
17	309.9	276.7	-33.1	78.7	-20.2	-30.0	-37.5	-39.8	-40.7
18	301.4	273.1	-28.3	76.5	-16.2	-22.7	-27.9	-31.1	-35.8
19	293.6	290.6	-3.0	73.0	2.5	11.9	4.1	-5.3	-13.5
20	286.2	287.1	0.9	72.0	4.8	17.4	9.6	-0.1	-10.3
21	281.5	286.0	4.5	70.7	3.3	20.8	13.6	2.7	-7.3
22	278.2	292.2	14.1	70.7	8.2	30.9	22.6	12.2	-4.7
23	277.0	295.2	18.2	69.8	8.9	36.0	29.4	17.0	-2.8
24	268.7	283.6	15.0	69.8	4.3	30.6	25.8	13.5	-4.4
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	6,911	6,882	-29	51.3	53	216	105	-133	-383

16

Table A1.15
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 21, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	259.3	260.0	0.7	68.8	-4.2	4.2	8.0	2.1	-1.2
2	249.0	250.2	1.2	68.3	-3.6	3.7	9.9	1.9	-0.8
3	241.6	241.8	0.2	67.9	-1.7	1.4	8.0	-0.4	0.7
4	237.2	235.0	-2.2	66.7	-5.3	-1.7	5.5	-4.3	-2.3
5	248.5	247.6	-0.9	68.5	-2.6	-1.5	6.4	-2.3	0.9
6	269.4	271.6	2.2	69.8	0.4	4.2	12.9	1.9	6.7
7	300.0	307.4	7.3	71.4	3.0	7.3	21.6	6.2	9.8
8	318.7	323.5	4.8	74.6	1.0	4.3	16.2	6.8	4.9
9	327.3	328.7	1.4	78.2	-0.3	2.9	13.2	4.2	4.4
10	336.0	332.1	-3.9	82.7	-4.0	-2.5	4.5	-1.5	-3.5
11	336.1	326.9	-9.2	86.0	-3.7	-5.2	-3.7	-8.2	-13.6
12	332.4	290.6	-41.8	86.5	-27.2	-37.2	-39.3	-47.8	-52.6
13	314.5	285.1	-29.4	88.2	-24.5	-23.2	-25.9	-34.6	-37.1
14	301.5	278.8	-22.7	85.6	-16.9	-20.1	-20.0	-27.7	-32.6
15	300.9	281.7	-19.2	84.4	-10.4	-15.8	-18.1	-23.9	-28.6
16	311.2	277.7	-33.5	81.8	-20.1	-26.2	-36.2	-38.8	-52.2
17	310.3	275.7	-34.7	78.5	-14.6	-27.8	-39.6	-40.8	-52.1
18	297.6	265.7	-31.9	75.2	-15.0	-28.6	-40.6	-38.4	-48.3
19	288.9	271.0	-18.0	72.0	-5.9	-13.8	-22.1	-19.6	-25.6
20	283.4	278.3	-5.2	70.2	3.4	-0.1	-5.8	-5.0	-9.1
21	279.1	278.4	-0.7	70.2	6.6	1.0	-1.7	1.3	-4.7
22	273.7	266.2	-7.5	68.8	-4.5	-5.6	-4.6	-4.7	-9.8
23	274.3	260.1	-14.2	67.9	-15.9	-12.7	-9.7	-9.1	-18.1
24	269.0	253.7	-15.3	69.9	-13.9	-13.8	-12.6	-13.0	-18.4
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	6,960	6,687	-272	77.0	-180	-207	-174	-296	-383

Table A1.16
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 29, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	254.1	251.1	-2.9	69.8	3.6	-7.1	-2.6	2.9	-3.3
2	244.4	243.8	-0.6	69.2	6.8	-6.7	0.6	4.8	0.3
3	238.8	235.7	-3.1	68.8	5.1	-8.6	0.9	1.0	-2.0
4	235.8	235.3	-0.5	68.8	8.9	-5.3	3.7	2.9	1.9
5	247.5	246.7	-0.8	66.5	8.3	-6.7	3.6	1.6	2.0
6	268.6	261.2	-7.4	69.2	2.8	-13.1	-4.3	-10.4	-7.0
7	292.4	299.7	7.3	73.0	24.1	2.1	12.9	2.6	8.2
8	313.5	315.9	2.4	75.9	18.4	-0.3	7.8	-4.0	0.7
9	321.9	321.7	-0.2	79.3	18.6	0.3	6.6	-4.4	-3.7
10	327.1	324.6	-2.5	80.1	14.5	1.3	3.3	-7.6	-8.7
11	332.1	316.0	-16.1	80.7	0.3	-10.5	-10.7	-21.1	-24.7
12	324.7	274.6	-50.1	82.7	-31.5	-45.4	-47.7	-52.4	-59.1
13	307.0	274.3	-32.6	84.0	-17.5	-30.9	-32.3	-37.9	-43.0
14	293.4	266.3	-27.2	82.1	-13.0	-24.9	-25.5	-29.6	-38.7
15	295.0	265.7	-29.3	82.5	-16.5	-24.0	-26.7	-33.3	-40.3
16	311.2	264.0	-47.2	81.1	-36.4	-43.7	-49.1	-51.6	-62.7
17	314.9	263.4	-51.5	78.5	-42.8	-44.0	-55.2	-57.9	-68.0
18	300.5	255.7	-44.8	75.8	-36.4	-34.1	-45.7	-51.8	-59.5
19	291.2	266.8	-24.4	73.9	-12.0	-14.7	-23.7	-31.9	-36.9
20	284.3	275.6	-8.6	73.6	4.9	-1.4	-6.6	-13.9	-19.1
21	282.1	274.5	-7.6	72.6	3.3	-0.9	-2.0	-12.3	-17.0
22	278.0	280.8	2.9	72.0	15.7	10.0	4.8	0.2	-4.4
23	276.8	282.3	5.5	71.4	16.6	13.7	3.0	1.6	-1.3
24	266.7	273.7	7.0	70.2	14.7	15.9	6.9	5.5	0.4
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	6,902	6,569	-333	57.7	-39	-279	-278	-397	-486

18

Table A1.17
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 30, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	261.3	264.7	3.4	69.8	8.2	-0.6	2.0	14.4	-3.3
2	250.8	253.7	2.9	69.8	7.2	-0.7	0.0	12.5	-4.0
3	242.2	243.4	1.2	69.2	7.9	-1.8	-2.5	7.6	-5.9
4	238.3	237.2	-1.1	69.2	6.9	-3.4	-3.0	5.3	-6.6
5	249.7	250.4	0.8	68.1	9.1	-2.8	2.3	5.9	-4.8
6	269.9	270.8	0.9	68.3	15.1	-1.7	1.1	3.7	-4.3
7	294.9	300.5	5.5	72.0	18.5	1.3	3.4	12.9	-0.9
8	316.9	309.6	-7.3	74.3	3.6	-10.7	-9.8	-0.8	-16.1
9	325.2	320.1	-5.2	78.1	7.6	-6.6	-9.3	1.5	-16.0
10	333.0	320.3	-12.7	81.5	0.8	-12.8	-18.3	-8.0	-24.1
11	338.6	316.1	-22.5	82.6	-9.7	-19.9	-25.5	-24.8	-36.3
12	334.6	292.8	-41.8	82.6	-32.1	-33.1	-37.6	-47.5	-59.9
13	314.7	279.4	-35.3	84.5	-27.1	-28.9	-37.8	-40.3	-56.8
14	300.3	273.9	-26.5	87.0	-15.9	-28.7	-33.8	-28.3	-41.5
15	299.4	274.9	-24.6	82.3	-9.5	-26.1	-26.9	-29.6	-34.3
16	312.6	267.8	-44.8	79.5	-31.5	-38.5	-46.4	-51.1	-56.8
17	316.0	266.3	-49.7	81.3	-31.8	-38.0	-49.6	-63.0	-61.4
18	303.9	259.8	-44.1	78.2	-27.0	-36.2	-38.3	-49.0	-51.6
19	294.1	278.9	-15.3	75.2	3.5	-12.1	-14.2	-16.8	-23.0
20	285.6	279.4	-6.2	73.9	16.1	-6.2	-7.6	-4.5	-14.6
21	282.5	271.1	-11.4	73.0	10.5	-12.5	-13.6	-12.2	-20.7
22	276.6	274.0	-2.5	72.4	17.2	-10.0	-5.5	2.6	-9.5
23	272.7	278.7	6.0	72.2	21.4	-6.3	4.5	16.4	3.5
24	265.4	286.2	20.8	71.4	38.2	7.6	17.4	27.5	19.5
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	6,979	6,670	-309	67.7	7	-329	-349	-266	-529

Table A1.18
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *August 31, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	260.2	269.3	9.1	72.4	9.8	18.4	5.3	-2.5	9.5
2	252.6	253.4	0.8	71.8	1.5	11.6	0.4	-10.5	-0.2
3	245.9	244.9	-1.0	71.4	-1.2	8.0	0.0	-15.6	-6.1
4	242.1	239.4	-2.6	71.4	-2.4	6.6	-5.4	-17.3	-5.1
5	253.7	251.1	-2.6	71.8	-3.2	4.5	-6.3	-16.3	-5.4
6	273.6	273.8	0.2	70.7	-0.3	7.0	-1.9	-15.5	-3.6
7	294.2	295.9	1.7	73.4	-4.9	11.5	-8.8	-10.5	-5.3
8	314.5	310.7	-3.8	77.0	-10.5	6.2	-11.1	-14.3	-10.0
9	325.2	319.7	-5.5	83.4	-8.4	0.7	-8.4	-18.4	-14.2
10	333.4	321.3	-12.0	85.3	-12.4	-7.1	-11.9	-26.3	-20.6
11	337.4	322.6	-14.9	88.9	-10.8	-8.4	-13.1	-24.8	-27.7
12	331.8	281.0	-50.8	85.3	-33.9	-45.3	-50.6	-57.3	-66.4
13	311.4	271.2	-40.2	84.0	-23.9	-35.2	-42.7	-48.3	-53.7
14	300.6	263.4	-37.2	84.7	-24.8	-29.7	-36.0	-44.8	-52.0
15	301.8	270.2	-31.6	85.7	-24.9	-26.0	-31.1	-40.0	-48.3
16	314.4	267.4	-46.9	84.7	-30.1	-37.6	-48.6	-50.3	-62.3
17	320.8	263.3	-57.4	83.4	-38.6	-52.4	-56.3	-59.9	-73.0
18	307.6	262.7	-45.0	81.8	-23.1	-40.2	-49.6	-50.5	-57.5
19	300.9	264.7	-36.2	77.3	-20.0	-28.9	-40.4	-47.2	-47.3
20	292.3	281.8	-10.5	76.6	-0.3	-6.0	-21.5	-20.0	-21.3
21	288.8	279.0	-9.7	76.2	-1.3	-4.8	-14.8	-24.1	-23.4
22	282.7	270.0	-12.7	73.9	-12.2	-4.2	-21.7	-26.7	-23.5
23	278.8	275.1	-3.7	73.4	-6.0	9.8	-13.8	-16.5	-13.9
24	272.4	270.8	-1.7	72.7	0.5	10.4	-9.3	-15.4	-8.5
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	7,037	6,623	-414	104.4	-281	-231	-497	-673	-640

Table A1.19
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *September 4, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	243.9	253.6	9.7	73.9	14.5	28.4	14.9	2.9	29.3
2	238.8	248.5	9.7	73.4	13.4	26.6	15.6	3.9	25.0
3	230.6	242.5	11.9	73.4	18.1	31.7	15.3	8.4	24.7
4	224.1	233.9	9.8	73.4	14.9	28.5	16.3	6.6	21.0
5	234.2	247.9	13.7	72.7	18.1	30.9	18.9	12.4	25.3
6	255.7	268.4	12.8	73.4	19.2	26.7	15.2	11.6	27.3
7	284.9	298.0	13.1	78.5	13.5	25.5	12.6	4.9	21.8
8	308.8	312.1	3.3	81.9	10.6	16.7	7.8	-3.7	15.0
9	324.2	325.0	0.8	84.2	11.4	18.1	-0.1	-3.8	9.5
10	339.1	328.6	-10.6	86.1	4.3	10.1	-12.6	-13.7	-4.9
11	349.5	327.1	-22.5	85.6	-9.6	-9.5	-23.7	-23.6	-24.0
12	346.8	289.3	-57.5	84.5	-41.3	-57.4	-58.9	-60.7	-84.2
13	328.5	284.8	-43.7	82.7	-27.8	-38.2	-44.5	-51.5	-61.4
14	317.7	274.6	-43.1	82.3	-25.6	-32.8	-40.2	-52.4	-59.3
15	312.5	275.2	-37.4	84.0	-22.4	-31.6	-40.2	-46.8	-46.0
16	327.8	272.2	-55.6	82.2	-37.6	-50.3	-52.2	-65.0	-74.1
17	335.5	273.9	-61.6	79.4	-42.1	-57.0	-58.5	-70.1	-89.0
18	316.2	268.0	-48.2	74.6	-27.8	-36.1	-50.1	-53.5	-66.9
19	299.5	268.3	-31.1	72.4	-12.4	-7.7	-36.2	-34.8	-36.4
20	290.8	267.7	-23.1	70.7	-8.3	5.9	-28.2	-27.9	-16.4
21	286.0	273.1	-12.9	70.7	5.8	17.9	-15.9	-12.3	-0.4
22	273.7	264.2	-9.4	69.8	7.5	23.1	-9.0	-15.7	6.9
23	269.2	257.2	-11.9	69.2	2.7	21.1	-4.1	-23.0	6.9
24	265.7	253.7	-12.1	75.4	-2.6	17.7	-7.6	-20.4	1.2
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	7,004	6,608	-396	86.7	-104	8	-365	-528	-349

Table A1.20
Utility: San Diego Gas & Electric
DR Program: Critical Peak Pricing (CPP)
Load Impacts for: *September 5, 2007*
Type of Results: *Average per Enrolled Customer*

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (kWh)	Actual Event Day Load (kWh)	Estimated Load Impact (kWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	236.2	253.3	17.1	69.8	20.6	21.8	20.0	6.4	21.5
2	230.9	245.6	14.7	69.8	17.1	19.3	17.9	3.9	16.8
3	223.1	241.9	18.7	69.8	22.7	20.6	20.5	8.5	19.5
4	219.5	238.2	18.7	69.4	22.6	20.1	21.8	6.8	18.4
5	232.3	252.5	20.2	69.8	23.3	19.7	24.4	10.6	20.3
6	253.9	274.2	20.3	69.8	25.2	16.1	24.0	13.3	18.7
7	282.3	301.9	19.6	70.0	25.0	17.7	27.8	12.7	18.8
8	301.2	313.4	12.2	71.2	18.5	10.7	18.2	1.8	10.3
9	308.7	314.8	6.1	73.1	12.3	2.2	11.2	0.2	3.6
10	315.2	313.6	-1.7	74.1	5.9	-4.9	1.4	-8.6	-6.5
11	324.3	299.9	-24.3	76.8	-21.5	-26.4	-21.9	-31.9	-35.1
12	318.2	268.1	-50.1	74.3	-43.8	-50.8	-44.0	-55.3	-73.0
13	303.0	262.9	-40.1	73.1	-29.4	-37.2	-33.3	-42.0	-53.3
14	288.0	255.1	-32.9	73.1	-22.6	-28.3	-31.4	-36.9	-42.2
15	284.9	258.8	-26.1	73.9	-15.0	-21.6	-25.0	-30.0	-33.0
16	299.5	255.8	-43.7	71.9	-26.8	-35.3	-49.4	-53.0	-54.0
17	305.4	258.3	-47.2	70.3	-28.5	-39.8	-57.4	-54.0	-62.8
18	287.6	250.5	-37.1	67.3	-22.6	-29.2	-44.9	-46.5	-53.4
19	275.0	253.3	-21.7	66.1	-10.5	-21.3	-26.5	-31.7	-33.6
20	272.0	262.6	-9.5	65.1	0.7	-12.6	-13.2	-16.6	-14.3
21	269.4	259.2	-10.2	63.9	-1.5	-13.5	-12.8	-17.6	-17.2
22	258.8	251.1	-7.7	66.1	3.2	-8.3	-6.9	-19.0	-9.1
23	255.9	244.9	-11.1	66.8	1.7	-8.9	-6.5	-24.2	-8.7
24	251.3	239.7	-11.6	68.5	-3.3	-13.2	-10.0	-20.3	-14.6
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use (kWh)	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours (Base 75 °F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	6,597	6,369	-227	1.8	-27	-203	-196	-424	-363

2. Voluntary CPP Goodness of Fit Statistics

Variable names:

Voluntary CPP Regression Variables	
Variable Name	Description
cdd_ball	A weighted average of the cooling degree 65 for the event days and 3 days prior to the event day
evtd1	indicator variable 1 if the date is equal to the first CPP event day 0 otherwise
evtd2	indicator variable 1 if the date is equal to the second CPP event day 0 otherwise
evtd3-evtd9	indicator variables for the 3rd through 9th CPP event days
dt2	indicator variable 1 if the day is a Tuesday 0 otherwise
dt3	indicator variable 1 if the day is a Wednesday 0 otherwise
dt4	indicator variable 1 if the day is a Thursday 0 otherwise
dt5	indicator variable 1 if the day is a Friday 0 otherwise
m6	indicator variable = 1 if the month is June zero otherwise
m7	indicator variable = 1 if the month is July zero otherwise
m8	indicator variable = 1 if the month is August zero otherwise
m9	indicator variable = 1 if the month is September zero otherwise
_cons	intercept
Load1-Load24	Total load for the hour ending.

SDG&E CPP Models

```
-> prais load1 cdd_bldall evtd1-evtd9 dt2-dt5 m6-m9,nolog
```

Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

Source	SS	df	MS
Model	1.1334e+09	18	62964035.8
Residual	227378584	83	2739501.01
Total	1.3607e+09	101	13472586.4

Number of obs =	102
F(18, 83) =	22.98
Prob > F =	0.0000
R-squared =	0.8329
Adj R-squared =	0.7967
Root MSE =	1655.1

load1	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cdd_bldall	369.3691	118.4901	3.12	0.003	133.6971	605.041
evtd1	3790.83	1742.605	2.18	0.032	324.8582	7256.801
evtd2	3084.151	1855.156	1.66	0.100	-605.6793	6773.982
evtd3	3212.58	1734.499	1.85	0.068	-237.2698	6662.429
evtd4	162.5799	1634.324	0.10	0.921	-3088.026	3413.186
evtd5	-687.1822	1741.563	-0.39	0.694	-4151.082	2776.717
evtd6	791.7257	1887.986	0.42	0.676	-2963.403	4546.855
evtd7	2113.9	1963.066	1.08	0.285	-1790.56	6018.359
evtd8	2256.278	2316.99	0.97	0.333	-2352.122	6864.678
evtd9	3979.288	1848.667	2.15	0.034	302.3635	7656.212
dt2	5140.427	471.1779	10.91	0.000	4203.274	6077.581
dt3	5397.637	538.9179	10.02	0.000	4325.751	6469.523
dt4	6604.163	532.207	12.41	0.000	5545.624	7662.701
dt5	5588.068	460.1097	12.15	0.000	4672.929	6503.208
m6	2260.97	771.3979	2.93	0.004	726.6903	3795.249
m7	1816.042	978.4339	1.86	0.067	-130.0233	3762.108
m8	3856.861	1176.622	3.28	0.002	1516.606	6197.116
m9	-439.0444	873.806	-0.50	0.617	-2177.009	1298.921
_cons	46519.14	666.5078	69.80	0.000	45193.49	47844.8
rho	.3600198					

```
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.267904
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.978602
```

Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

Source	SS	df	MS
Model	909989733	18	50554985.2
Residual	222799148	83	2684327.08
Total	1.1328e+09	101	11215731.5

Number of obs =	102
F(18, 83) =	18.83
Prob > F =	0.0000
R-squared =	0.8033
Adj R-squared =	0.7607
Root MSE =	1638.4

load2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cdd_bldall	384.6925	113.9575	3.38	0.001	158.0356	611.3493
evtd1	4120.889	1729.408	2.38	0.019	681.1652	7560.613
evtd2	3130.688	1823.339	1.72	0.090	-495.8606	6757.236
evtd3	2882.736	1721.529	1.67	0.098	-541.3158	6306.788

```
        evtd4 |    280.3706   1642.663     0.17   0.865    -2986.82    3547.562
        evtd5 |   -136.901    1726.269    -0.08   0.937   -3570.381    3296.579
        evtd6 |    684.2904   1845.953     0.37   0.712   -2987.235    4355.816
        evtd7 |    185.2197   1912.332     0.10   0.923   -3618.332    3988.772
        evtd8 |   2257.895    2259.712     1.00   0.321   -2236.582    6752.371
        evtd9 |   3422.218    1831.648     1.87   0.065    -220.8572   7065.293
          dt2 |   4383.423     474.303     9.24   0.000    3440.053    5326.792
          dt3 |   4549.283     536.2746    8.48   0.000    3482.654    5615.911
          dt4 |    5498.28     529.5862   10.38   0.000    4444.954    6551.605
          dt5 |   5127.167     463.5947   11.06   0.000    4205.096    6049.239
           m6 |   1958.059     723.4227    2.71   0.008     519.2009   3396.918
           m7 |   1517.218     922.6481    1.64   0.104    -317.8915   3352.328
           m8 |   3001.955    1115.691     2.69   0.009     782.891    5221.019
           m9 |   -480.3909    817.1208    -0.59   0.558   -2105.611    1144.83
        _cons |   45825.99     634.2326   72.25   0.000    44564.52   47087.45
--------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
          rho |   .3166087
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.346327
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed)  1.949571


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =   14.67
       Model |  724913248      18  40272958.2           Prob > F      =  0.0000
    Residual |  227844684      83  2745116.68           R-squared     =  0.7609
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.7090
       Total |  952757932     101  9433246.85           Root MSE      =  1656.8


-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       load3 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |    392.6942   113.6806     3.45   0.001    166.5882    618.8003
        evtd1 |   3298.156    1750.683     1.88   0.063   -183.8824   6780.195
        evtd2 |   2168.181    1837.549     1.18   0.241   -1486.631   5822.992
        evtd3 |   2621.329    1742.82      1.50   0.136    -845.0695   6087.728
        evtd4 |    56.70043   1672.377     0.03   0.973   -3269.59    3382.991
        evtd5 |   -718.6885   1746.77     -0.41   0.682   -4192.944   2755.567
        evtd6 |    282.917    1856.583     0.15   0.879   -3409.752   3975.586
        evtd7 |   -241.2714   1920.394    -0.13   0.900   -4060.857   3578.314
        evtd8 |   2766.833    2269.339     1.22   0.226   -1746.791   7280.457
        evtd9 |   4360.109    1852.894     2.35   0.021    674.7785   8045.44
          dt2 |   3586.368     483.3944    7.42   0.000    2624.916   4547.82
          dt3 |   3902.818     543.4196    7.18   0.000    2821.978   4983.658
          dt4 |   4200.539     536.6402    7.83   0.000    3133.183   5267.895
          dt5 |   4288.488     472.7121    9.07   0.000    3348.282   5228.693
           m6 |   1403.173     713.9188    1.97   0.053    -16.78298  2823.129
           m7 |   1158.806     912.8198    1.27   0.208    -656.7557  2974.368
           m8 |   2626.556    1106.296     2.37   0.020    426.1764   4826.935
           m9 |  -1276.779     805.573    -1.58   0.117   -2879.031    325.4731
        _cons |   45384.41     630.5209   71.98   0.000    44130.33   46638.49
--------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
          rho |   .2964251
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.372684
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed)  1.939817


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
```

```
-------------+-------------------------------          F( 18,    83) =   13.96
      Model |  686200226     18  38122234.8           Prob > F      =  0.0000
   Residual |  226693627     83  2731248.52           R-squared     =  0.7517
-------------+-------------------------------          Adj R-squared =  0.6978
      Total |  912893852    101  9038552.99           Root MSE      =  1652.6
```

```
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       load4 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |    352.899   115.4031     3.06   0.003     123.3669    582.431
        evtd1 |   3337.977   1743.903     1.91   0.059    -130.5765    6806.53
        evtd2 |   2043.063   1841.024     1.11   0.270    -1618.66    5704.787
        evtd3 |   1228.726   1735.929     0.71   0.481    -2223.966   4681.418
        evtd4 |  -517.9357   1653.642    -0.31   0.755    -3806.964   2771.092
        evtd5 |  -127.2251   1740.979    -0.07   0.942    -3589.962   3335.512
        evtd6 |  -252.3149    1865.04    -0.14   0.893    -3961.804   3457.174
        evtd7 |  -617.4012   1933.008    -0.32   0.750    -4462.076   3227.274
        evtd8 |   2272.599   2283.983     1.00   0.323    -2270.151    6815.35
        evtd9 |   4364.523   1847.388     2.36   0.020     690.1429   8038.903
          dt2 |   3190.046   477.3473     6.68   0.000     2240.621   4139.471
          dt3 |   3922.101   540.5949     7.26   0.000      2846.88   4997.323
          dt4 |   4042.342   533.8535     7.57   0.000     2980.528   5104.155
          dt5 |   4209.982   466.5067     9.02   0.000     3282.119   5137.845
           m6 |   1470.934   734.9817     2.00   0.049     9.085015   2932.783
           m7 |   1302.972   936.6987     1.39   0.168     -560.084   3166.028
           m8 |   3107.382   1131.897     2.75   0.007     856.0844    5358.68
           m9 |  -1005.068   830.4496    -1.21   0.230    -2656.799   646.6628
        _cons |   44590.07    643.031    69.34   0.000     43311.11   45869.03
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
          rho |   .3224803
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.344906
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.978714
```

Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

```
      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-------------+-------------------------------          F( 18,    83) =   14.39
      Model |  713670545     18  39648363.6           Prob > F      =  0.0000
   Residual |  228706225     83  2755496.69           R-squared     =  0.7573
-------------+-------------------------------          Adj R-squared =  0.7047
      Total |  942376771    101  9330463.07           Root MSE      =    1660
```

```
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       load5 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |   346.8352   116.0839     2.99   0.004     115.949   577.7215
        evtd1 |   3892.019   1751.416     2.22   0.029    408.5226   7375.515
        evtd2 |   1608.858   1849.856     0.87   0.387   -2070.431   5288.147
        evtd3 |   841.5905   1743.397     0.48   0.631   -2625.956   4309.137
        evtd4 |  -198.9703   1659.721    -0.12   0.905   -3500.089   3102.149
        evtd5 |  -185.6614   1748.573    -0.11   0.916   -3663.503    3292.18
        evtd6 |   177.6734   1874.439     0.09   0.925    -3550.51   3905.857
        evtd7 |  -603.0055   1943.091    -0.31   0.757   -4467.736   3261.725
        evtd8 |   3183.425   2295.822     1.39   0.169   -1382.873   7749.723
        evtd9 |   4701.005   1855.493     2.53   0.013    1010.504   8391.507
          dt2 |   2878.604   479.0574     6.01   0.000    1925.778    3831.43
          dt3 |   3969.972   542.8569     7.31   0.000    2890.252   5049.693
          dt4 |   4111.218   536.0877     7.67   0.000    3044.961   5177.474
          dt5 |    4349.34   468.1547     9.29   0.000      3418.2   5280.481
           m6 |    1408.77   740.2207     1.90   0.060    -63.4988    2881.04
           m7 |   1536.267    943.116     1.63   0.107    -339.553   3412.087
```

```
        m8 |     2913.476    1139.353      2.56    0.012       647.3478     5179.603
        m9 |    -1147.453     836.475     -1.37    0.174      -2811.168      516.262
      _cons |      47374.5      647.12     73.21    0.000        46087.4     48661.59
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
       rho |     .3246646
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.376376
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.957217


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS         df        MS              Number of obs =      102
-------------+------------------------------             F( 18,    83) =    12.98
       Model |   686707821       18   38150434.5          Prob > F      =   0.0000
    Residual |   243885055       83   2938374.16          R-squared     =   0.7379
-------------+------------------------------             Adj R-squared =   0.6811
       Total |   930592876      101   9213790.85          Root MSE      =   1714.2


-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
       load6 |     Coef.    Std. Err.       t      P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |    356.3331   127.1811      2.80    0.006        103.375     609.2912
        evtd1 |    4693.301    1797.22      2.61    0.011       1118.703     8267.899
        evtd2 |    907.3587   1937.146      0.47    0.641      -2945.548     4760.265
        evtd3 |    1416.941   1788.829      0.79    0.431      -2140.967     4974.849
        evtd4 |    522.5258   1657.361      0.32    0.753      -2773.899      3818.95
        evtd5 |   -1717.167   1800.332     -0.95    0.343      -5297.955      1863.62
        evtd6 |    202.8321   1988.566      0.10    0.919      -3752.345     4158.009
        evtd7 |    47.44492   2078.978      0.02    0.982      -4087.559     4182.449
        evtd8 |     2972.87   2444.621      1.22    0.227      -1889.382     7835.123
        evtd9 |    4726.671   1911.379      2.47    0.015       925.0141     8528.328
          dt2 |    1922.994   477.4743      4.03    0.000       973.3168     2872.671
          dt3 |      3105.4   553.3711      5.61    0.000       2004.767     4206.033
          dt4 |    3035.937   546.5082      5.56    0.000       1948.955      4122.92
          dt5 |    3126.362   465.8249      6.71    0.000       2199.855     4052.869
           m6 |      1523.7   859.0219      1.77    0.080      -184.8598      3232.26
           m7 |     1706.89    1081.87      1.58    0.118      -444.9054     3858.686
           m8 |    3370.179   1289.876      2.61    0.011       804.6661     5935.691
           m9 |   -657.2696   978.4428     -0.67    0.504      -2603.353     1288.814
        _cons |    52634.33   730.6577     72.04    0.000       51181.08     54087.58
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
       rho |     .4158185
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.196408
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.946299


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS         df        MS              Number of obs =      102
-------------+------------------------------             F( 18,    83) =    12.87
       Model |   876047838       18   48669324.3          Prob > F      =   0.0000
    Residual |   313877313       83   3781654.37          R-squared     =   0.7362
-------------+------------------------------             Adj R-squared =   0.6790
       Total |  1.1899e+09      101   11781437.1          Root MSE      =   1944.6


-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
       load7 |     Coef.    Std. Err.       t      P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |    314.1101   153.7562      2.04    0.044       8.295231      619.925
        evtd1 |    3609.944   2014.334      1.79    0.077      -396.4848     7616.374
        evtd2 |    -2082.37   2221.012     -0.94    0.351      -6499.873     2335.133
```

```
   evtd3 |     1240.41     2005.667      0.62   0.538     -2748.78     5229.601
   evtd4 |    1710.781     1797.201      0.95   0.344     -1863.78     5285.341
   evtd5 |    1704.658     2033.674      0.84   0.404    -2340.237     5749.554
   evtd6 |    1287.183     2336.019      0.55   0.583    -3359.065     5933.431
   evtd7 |     391.4598     2474.58       0.16   0.875      -4530.38    5313.299
   evtd8 |    3059.022     2865.262      1.07   0.289    -2639.868     8757.912
   evtd9 |     4563.76     2156.48        2.12   0.037      274.6089    8852.911
     dt2 |     2845.34     518.3782       5.49   0.000     1814.307     3876.373
     dt3 |    3405.736     613.5741       5.55   0.000     2185.361      4626.11
     dt4 |    3459.442     606.0719       5.71   0.000     2253.989     4664.895
     dt5 |    2435.623     505.3671       4.82   0.000     1430.468     3440.778
      m6 |    2080.955     1134.991       1.83   0.070     -176.4964    4338.407
      m7 |    2189.451     1411.883       1.55   0.125     -618.7268    4997.629
      m8 |     4675.75     1646.827       2.84   0.006     1400.276     7951.223
      m9 |    979.2276     1319.951       0.74   0.460     -1646.103    3604.558
   _cons |    57311.47     949.4469      60.36   0.000     55423.06     59199.88
---------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
     rho |    .5253636
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.010659
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.848284


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

   Source |       SS          df        MS              Number of obs =      102
---------+---------------------------------             F( 18,     83) =    14.27
    Model | 1.0268e+09        18   57042372.1           Prob > F       =   0.0000
 Residual |  331828429        83   3997932.88           R-squared      =   0.7558
---------+---------------------------------             Adj R-squared  =   0.7028
    Total | 1.3586e+09       101   13451397.3           Root MSE       =   1999.5


----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
   load8 |     Coef.     Std. Err.      t     P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
---------+------------------------------------------------------------------------
cdd_bldall |    586.799     155.5287      3.77   0.000     277.4586     896.1394
   evtd1 |     3968.37     2079.271      1.91   0.060     -167.2163    8103.957
   evtd2 |    614.4595     2279.119      0.27   0.788    -3918.617     5147.535
   evtd3 |    434.0806     2070.005      0.21   0.834    -3683.076     4551.237
   evtd4 |    1116.379     1871.693      0.60   0.552    -2606.342     4839.101
   evtd5 |    566.9021     2093.716      0.27   0.787    -3597.415     4731.219
   evtd6 |    -1691.85     2378.83      -0.71   0.479    -6423.248     3039.547
   evtd7 |   -879.5183     2510.076     -0.35   0.727    -5871.959     4112.923
   evtd8 |    758.8531     2921.894      0.26   0.796    -5052.677     6570.383
   evtd9 |    2848.807     2221.406      1.28   0.203     -1569.48     7267.094
     dt2 |    2785.053     539.5604      5.16   0.000     1711.889     3858.217
     dt3 |    3969.982     635.3406      6.25   0.000     2706.316     5233.649
     dt4 |    4069.843     627.5364      6.49   0.000     2821.699     5317.988
     dt5 |     2276.01     526.0349      4.33   0.000     1229.748     3322.272
      m6 |    2032.928     1117.859      1.82   0.073     -190.4479    4256.305
      m7 |    1211.494     1394.72       0.87   0.388    -1562.549     3985.536
      m8 |    3194.946     1637.641      1.95   0.054     -62.25625    6452.149
      m9 |   -270.0087     1290.345     -0.21   0.835    -2836.453     2296.436
   _cons |    60383.22     937.211      64.43   0.000     58519.15      62247.3
---------+------------------------------------------------------------------------
     rho |    .4953577
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.045988
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.731974


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates
```

```
      Source |       SS           df       MS              Number of obs =      102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,     83) =    13.01
       Model |  1.0590e+09        18   58833110.8          Prob > F      =   0.0000
    Residual |   375209855        83   4520600.66          R-squared     =   0.7384
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =   0.6816
       Total |  1.4342e+09       101   14200057.9          Root MSE      =   2126.2


-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
       load9 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |   827.4266   161.4573     5.12   0.000     506.2945    1148.559
        evtd1 |   4229.138   2221.293     1.90   0.060     -188.925    8647.201
        evtd2 |  -464.3153    2413.97    -0.19   0.848    -5265.605    4336.975
        evtd3 |   2106.537   2211.068     0.95   0.343    -2291.188    6504.262
        evtd4 |   323.8379    2024.79     0.16   0.873    -3703.389    4351.064
        evtd5 |   -39.4232   2229.994    -0.02   0.986    -4474.791    4395.945
        evtd6 |  -1205.072    2496.18    -0.48   0.631    -6169.875     3759.73
        evtd7 |  -1276.592   2620.682    -0.49   0.627    -6489.023    3935.839
        evtd8 |   185.1347   3068.962     0.06   0.952    -5918.907    6289.176
        evtd9 |    1413.34   2367.146     0.60   0.552    -3294.818    6121.497
          dt2 |   2553.631   583.3918     4.38   0.000     1393.288    3713.974
          dt3 |   3499.223   681.5575     5.13   0.000     2143.633    4854.814
          dt4 |   3465.805   673.1392     5.15   0.000     2126.958    4804.652
          dt5 |   1861.192   568.9131     3.27   0.002     729.6463    2992.737
           m6 |   2317.486   1121.753     2.07   0.042     86.36546    4548.607
           m7 |   1373.808   1406.176     0.98   0.331    -1423.021    4170.636
           m8 |   2157.499   1665.057     1.30   0.199    -1154.233     5469.23
           m9 |  -875.8845   1284.599    -0.68   0.497      -3430.9    1679.131
        _cons |   61331.45   946.2904    64.81   0.000     59449.32    63213.59
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
         rho |   .4538552
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.121938
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.814319


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS           df       MS              Number of obs =      102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,     83) =    11.80
       Model |  1.1686e+09        18   64921003.6          Prob > F      =   0.0000
    Residual |   456483120        83   5499796.62          R-squared     =   0.7191
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =   0.6582
       Total |  1.6251e+09       101   16089714.7          Root MSE      =   2345.2


-------------------------------------------------------------------------------
      load10 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |   1094.784   165.2651     6.62   0.000     766.0781     1423.49
        evtd1 |   2956.677   2472.737     1.20   0.235    -1961.497    7874.852
        evtd2 |  -1396.372   2618.438    -0.53   0.595    -6604.339    3811.595
        evtd3 |   669.6222   2461.345     0.27   0.786    -4225.894    5565.138
        evtd4 |  -901.3659   2335.461    -0.39   0.701    -5546.504    3743.772
        evtd5 |  -581.3743   2469.465    -0.24   0.814     -5493.04    4330.292
        evtd6 |  -2963.002   2656.745    -1.12   0.268    -8247.161    2321.156
        evtd7 |  -2807.132   2756.663    -1.02   0.311    -8290.022    2675.759
        evtd8 |  -2459.521   3256.321    -0.76   0.452    -8936.212    4017.171
        evtd9 |  -387.2096   2620.793    -0.15   0.883    -5599.861    4825.441
          dt2 |   2584.676   673.7987     3.84   0.000     1244.517    3924.834
          dt3 |   3473.557   765.9124     4.54   0.000     1950.188    4996.925
          dt4 |   3737.775    756.365     4.94   0.000     2233.395    5242.154
          dt5 |    1784.97   658.2952     2.71   0.008     475.6475    3094.293
           m6 |   2239.656    1060.75     2.11   0.038     129.8665    4349.445
```

```
         m7 |     669.1249    1349.537     0.50   0.621     -2015.05       3353.3
         m8 |     317.9942    1628.027     0.20   0.846    -2920.086     3556.074
         m9 |    -1779.471    1199.532    -1.48   0.142    -4165.291     606.3496
       _cons |    61727.94    923.6756    66.83   0.000     59890.79     63565.09
 ------------+------------------------------------------------------------------
         rho |    .3361742
 -------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.368234
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.875790


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS        df       MS                Number of obs =      102
 ------------+------------------------------           F( 18,     83) =    11.81
       Model |  1.1582e+09     18   64342450.9          Prob > F       =   0.0000
    Residual |   452072829     83   5446660.59          R-squared      =   0.7193
 ------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared  =   0.6584
       Total |  1.6102e+09    101   15942940.1          Root MSE       =   2333.8


      load11 |      Coef.    Std. Err.      t     P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
 ------------+------------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |    1193.609    154.5157     7.72   0.000     886.2835     1500.934
       evtd1 |     330.3586      2471.6     0.13   0.894    -4585.555     5246.273
       evtd2 |    -2994.523    2565.308    -1.17   0.246    -8096.817     2107.771
       evtd3 |    -352.9853    2461.024    -0.14   0.886    -5247.862     4541.892
       evtd4 |    -2154.424     2394.21    -0.90   0.371    -6916.412     2607.564
       evtd5 |    -3745.331     2464.26    -1.52   0.132    -8646.645     1155.984
       evtd6 |    -5241.439    2581.072    -2.03   0.045    -10375.09    -107.7912
       evtd7 |    -3469.159    2660.468    -1.30   0.196    -8760.723     1822.405
       evtd8 |    -5232.727    3140.063    -1.67   0.099    -11478.19     1012.731
       evtd9 |    -5670.045     2611.63    -2.17   0.033    -10864.47    -475.6185
         dt2 |     1792.19    694.7935     2.58   0.012     410.2736     3174.106
         dt3 |    2855.583     768.642     3.72   0.000     1326.784     4384.381
         dt4 |    3287.881     759.057     4.33   0.000     1778.147     4797.615
         dt5 |    828.6407    680.3928     1.22   0.227    -524.6332     2181.915
          m6 |    2150.395    946.0095     2.27   0.026     268.8202      4031.97
          m7 |    612.7303    1217.211     0.50   0.616    -1808.253     3033.714
          m8 |    -322.7654    1482.566    -0.22   0.828     -3271.53     2625.999
          m9 |    -1384.065    1065.453    -1.30   0.198    -3503.209     735.0786
       _cons |    62893.63    852.7405    73.75   0.000     61197.57      64589.7
 ------------+------------------------------------------------------------------
         rho |    .2442641
 -------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.534257
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.959178


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS        df       MS                Number of obs =      102
 ------------+------------------------------           F( 18,     83) =     9.90
       Model |  1.1629e+09     18   64604931.7          Prob > F       =   0.0000
    Residual |   541469742     83   6523731.83          R-squared      =   0.6823
 ------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared  =   0.6134
       Total |  1.7044e+09    101   16874836.8          Root MSE       =   2554.2


      load12 |      Coef.    Std. Err.      t     P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
 ------------+------------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall |    1152.432    169.4451     6.80   0.000     815.4124     1489.451
       evtd1 |    -7743.805    2704.653    -2.86   0.005    -13123.25    -2364.359
```

```
     evtd2 |  -10700.41    2808.913    -3.81   0.000     -16287.22    -5113.59
     evtd3 |  -6164.241    2693.043    -2.29   0.025     -11520.6    -807.8864
     evtd4 |  -9738.163    2618.01     -3.72   0.000     -14945.28   -4531.046
     evtd5 |    -11680     2696.7      -4.33   0.000     -17043.63   -6316.368
     evtd6 |  -9733.881    2826.732    -3.44   0.001     -15356.14   -4111.625
     evtd7 |  -11847.15    2914.205    -4.07   0.000     -17643.39   -6050.918
     evtd8 |  -13392.18    3439.94     -3.89   0.000     -20234.08   -6550.274
     evtd9 |  -11666.32    2858.133    -4.08   0.000     -17351.03   -5981.61
       dt2 |   1713.927    759.5311     2.26   0.027       203.25    3224.604
       dt3 |   1908.945    841.0533     2.27   0.026      236.1237   3581.766
       dt4 |   2796.823    830.5646     3.37   0.001      1144.863   4448.782
       dt5 |  -34.52657    743.7267    -0.05   0.963     -1513.769   1444.716
        m6 |   3035.458    1038.8       2.92   0.004      969.3273   5101.589
        m7 |   1987.944    1336.143     1.49   0.141     -669.5906   4645.479
        m8 |  -33.57607    1627.021    -0.02   0.984     -3269.656   3202.504
        m9 |  -1098.777    1170.055    -0.94   0.350     -3425.969   1228.416
     _cons |   62727.17    935.2665    67.07   0.000      60866.97   64587.38
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------
       rho |   .2471846
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.569388
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.953602


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

    Source |       SS         df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-----------+------------------------------             F( 18,    83) =    9.46
     Model |  922831861      18   51268436.7           Prob > F      =  0.0000
  Residual |  449630186      83   5417231.15           R-squared     =  0.6724
-----------+------------------------------             Adj R-squared =  0.6013
     Total |  1.3725e+09    101   13588733.1           Root MSE      =  2327.5


----------------------------------------------------------------------------
    load13 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------
 cdd_bldall|   1039.855    161.9062     6.42   0.000       717.83    1361.88
     evtd1 |  -6173.248    2456.771    -2.51   0.014     -11059.67   -1286.83
     evtd2 |  -6374.302    2590.305    -2.46   0.016     -11526.31   -1222.29
     evtd3 |  -2226.325    2445.576    -0.91   0.365     -7090.477   2637.827
     evtd4 |  -6839.492    2333.428    -2.93   0.004     -11480.59   -2198.397
     evtd5 |  -7604.951    2452.32     -3.10   0.003     -12482.52   -2727.384
     evtd6 |  -8217.595    2622.478    -3.13   0.002     -13433.6    -3001.592
     evtd7 |  -9358.742    2716.817    -3.44   0.001     -14762.38   -3955.102
     evtd8 |  -10182.19    3210.328    -3.17   0.002     -16567.4    -3796.973
     evtd9 |  -9335.941    2602.027    -3.59   0.001     -14511.27   -4160.614
       dt2 |   1992.243    673.7494     2.96   0.004      652.182    3332.303
       dt3 |   2495.918    761.8163     3.28   0.002      980.6957   4011.14
       dt4 |   2902.805    752.315      3.86   0.000      1406.481   4399.129
       dt5 |   100.1811    658.5356     0.15   0.879     -1209.62    1409.982
        m6 |   2487.934    1027.905     2.42   0.018      443.4716   4532.397
        m7 |   1674.855    1310.955     1.28   0.205     -932.5827   4282.292
        m8 |  -499.1806    1585.211    -0.31   0.754     -3652.101   2653.74
        m9 |  -826.9569    1161.051    -0.71   0.478     -3136.241   1482.327
     _cons |   59867.12    901.1215    66.44   0.000      58074.83   61659.41
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------
       rho |   .3167785
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.429561
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.878411


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates
```

```
      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =    9.01
       Model |  820932196     18  45607344.2           Prob > F      = 0.0000
    Residual |  420069898     83  5061083.11           R-squared     = 0.6615
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared = 0.5881
       Total | 1.2410e+09    101  12287149.4           Root MSE      = 2249.7


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
      load14 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall|   1075.635   163.9339      6.56   0.000     749.5769    1401.693
       evtd1 |  -4179.512    2364.04     -1.77   0.081    -8881.493    522.4687
       evtd2 |   -4681.04   2532.136     -1.85   0.068    -9717.356    355.2764
       evtd3 |  -3015.943   2352.986     -1.28   0.203    -7695.937     1664.05
       evtd4 |  -5297.224    2199.01     -2.41   0.018    -9670.966   -923.4823
       evtd5 |  -6332.745   2365.044     -2.68   0.009    -11036.72   -1628.768
       evtd6 |  -6163.239    2587.15     -2.38   0.019    -11308.98   -1017.502
       evtd7 |  -8673.588   2696.963     -3.22   0.002    -14037.74   -3309.437
       evtd8 |  -10034.49   3178.409     -3.16   0.002    -16356.22   -3712.764
       evtd9 |  -7657.249   2510.848     -3.05   0.003    -12651.22   -2663.273
         dt2 |   2426.937   633.6574      3.83   0.000     1166.618    3687.257
         dt3 |   2234.372   729.6194      3.06   0.003     783.1888    3685.556
         dt4 |   2544.514   720.5492      3.53   0.001     1111.371    3977.658
         dt5 |    578.927   618.4651      0.94   0.352    -651.1752    1809.029
          m6 |   2368.944   1085.965      2.18   0.032     209.004    4528.885
          m7 |   416.7338   1372.625      0.30   0.762    -2313.362     3146.83
          m8 |  -796.8327   1644.078     -0.48   0.629    -4066.838    2473.173
          m9 |  -1111.836    1233.09     -0.90   0.370    -3564.402     1340.73
       _cons |   56905.96   930.6669     61.15   0.000      55054.9    58757.02
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
         rho |   .3873655
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.300364
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.811081


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =    9.21
       Model |  704175273     18  39120848.5           Prob > F      = 0.0000
    Residual |  352468685     83  4246610.66           R-squared     = 0.6664
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared = 0.5941
       Total | 1.0566e+09    101  10461821.4           Root MSE      = 2060.7


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
      load15 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall|   1032.499   150.7706      6.85   0.000     732.6226    1332.376
       evtd1 |  -3329.287    2164.45     -1.54   0.128    -7634.291    975.7174
       evtd2 |  -4326.859   2321.597     -1.86   0.066    -8944.422    290.7035
       evtd3 |  -3034.466   2154.326     -1.41   0.163    -7319.334    1250.401
       evtd4 |  -4476.365   2009.517     -2.23   0.029    -8473.215   -479.5158
       evtd5 |  -6834.668   2165.947     -3.16   0.002    -11142.65   -2526.687
       evtd6 |  -5720.825   2374.379     -2.41   0.018    -10443.37   -998.2796
       evtd7 |  -7361.594   2476.699     -2.97   0.004    -12287.65   -2435.539
       evtd8 |  -8702.858   2917.554     -2.98   0.004    -14505.75   -2899.961
       evtd9 |  -6089.106   2299.517     -2.65   0.010    -10662.75    -1515.46
         dt2 |   1640.994   579.0117      2.83   0.006     489.3626    2792.625
         dt3 |   1753.674   667.6843      2.63   0.010      425.677    3081.671
         dt4 |   1879.003   659.3879      2.85   0.006     567.5074      3190.5
         dt5 |   592.1474   565.0711      1.05   0.298    -531.7563    1716.051
```

```
        m6 |    2914.27    1002.946       2.91   0.005       919.4512       4909.09
        m7 |   409.0425    1266.676       0.32   0.748      -2110.325       2928.41
        m8 |   75.48204    1515.693       0.05   0.960       -2939.17      3090.134
        m9 |  -743.9189    1139.542      -0.65   0.516      -3010.422      1522.584
     _cons |   56765.82    858.0126      66.16   0.000       55059.27      58472.37
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
       rho |   .3936601
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.269920
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed)  1.857845


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

    Source |       SS        df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-----------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =   10.76
     Model |   913391331    18   50743962.9           Prob > F       =  0.0000
  Residual |   391561064    83   4717603.18           R-squared      =  0.6999
-----------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared  =  0.6349
     Total |  1.3050e+09   101   12920320.7           Root MSE       =    2172


----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
    load16 |     Coef.    Std. Err.       t    P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
  cdd_bldall|   1126.359    168.2947       6.69   0.000       791.6277      1461.091
     evtd1 |  -8328.304    2260.551      -3.68   0.000      -12824.45     -3832.159
     evtd2 |  -7360.955    2474.376      -2.97   0.004      -12282.39     -2439.521
     evtd3 |  -5787.781     2250.41      -2.57   0.012      -10263.76     -1311.807
     evtd4 |  -7806.452    2039.079      -3.83   0.000       -11862.1     -3750.806
     evtd5 |  -11008.14    2275.007      -4.84   0.000      -15533.03      -6483.24
     evtd6 |     -10438    2578.375      -4.05   0.000      -15566.29     -5309.719
     evtd7 |  -10932.13    2718.278      -4.02   0.000      -16338.67     -5525.581
     evtd8 |   -12955.5    3167.746      -4.09   0.000      -19256.02     -6654.982
     evtd9 |  -10174.09    2414.004      -4.21   0.000      -14975.45     -5372.737
       dt2 |   1825.701    587.7493       3.11   0.003       656.6912      2994.711
       dt3 |   2373.315    691.2199       3.43   0.001       998.5063      3748.123
       dt4 |   1893.544    682.7209       2.77   0.007       535.6391      3251.448
       dt5 |   429.6681    573.0306       0.75   0.455      -710.0667      1569.403
        m6 |   2994.439    1202.547       2.49   0.015       602.6219      5386.257
        m7 |   390.4892    1501.493       0.26   0.795      -2595.921      3376.899
        m8 |  -324.6101    1765.588      -0.18   0.855      -3836.293      3187.073
        m9 |  -944.7776     1386.03      -0.68   0.497      -3701.537      1811.981
     _cons |   59378.91    1009.011      58.85   0.000       57372.03      61385.79
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
       rho |   .4884773
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.102121
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed)  1.686354


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

    Source |       SS        df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-----------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =    9.82
     Model |   954109569    18   53006087.2           Prob > F       =  0.0000
  Residual |   447992695    83   5397502.35           R-squared      =  0.6805
-----------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared  =  0.6112
     Total |  1.4021e+09   101   13882200.6           Root MSE       =   2323.3


----------------------------------------------------------------------------------
    load17 |     Coef.    Std. Err.       t    P>|t|      [95% Conf. Interval]
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------------
  cdd_bldall|   1230.623    182.9745       6.73   0.000       866.6945      1594.552
```

	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf. Interval]	
evtd1	-11091.6	2408.927	-4.60	0.000	-15882.86	-6300.344
evtd2	-9127.128	2652.332	-3.44	0.001	-14402.51	-3851.747
evtd3	-7723.215	2398.463	-3.22	0.002	-12493.66	-2952.769
evtd4	-8082.297	2153.889	-3.75	0.000	-12366.3	-3798.298
evtd5	-12003.46	2430.402	-4.94	0.000	-16837.43	-7169.486
evtd6	-11581.93	2784.251	-4.16	0.000	-17119.69	-6044.163
evtd7	-13385.42	2946.512	-4.54	0.000	-19245.91	-7524.925
evtd8	-14361.56	3416.418	-4.20	0.000	-21156.67	-7566.438
evtd9	-10986.27	2577.566	-4.26	0.000	-16112.95	-5859.599
dt2	629.1611	621.1673	1.01	0.314	-606.3157	1864.638
dt3	1509.348	734.3352	2.06	0.043	48.78491	2969.911
dt4	808.1454	725.3459	1.11	0.268	-634.5384	2250.829
dt5	-128.4771	605.5736	-0.21	0.833	-1332.939	1075.984
m6	2427.027	1341.669	1.81	0.074	-241.4977	5095.552
m7	303.1768	1670.128	0.18	0.856	-3018.64	3624.994
m8	-1696.142	1951.283	-0.87	0.387	-5577.165	2184.882
m9	-1545.272	1557.218	-0.99	0.324	-4642.516	1551.972
_cons	61787.72	1122.737	55.03	0.000	59554.64	64020.8
rho	.5180286					

Durbin-Watson statistic (original)   1.097546
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.776641

Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	952128405	18	52896022.5			
Residual	342411113	83	4125435.1			
Total	1.2945e+09	101	12817223			

Number of obs = 102
F(18, 83) = 12.82
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = 0.7355
Adj R-squared = 0.6781
Root MSE = 2031.1

load18	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf. Interval]	
cdd_bldall	1155.1	170.9083	6.76	0.000	815.1706	1495.03
evtd1	-11112.43	2048.933	-5.42	0.000	-15187.67	-7037.181
evtd2	-8917.935	2312.717	-3.86	0.000	-13517.84	-4318.033
evtd3	-6593.951	2042.431	-3.23	0.002	-10656.27	-2531.637
evtd4	-7427.184	1760.409	-4.22	0.000	-10928.57	-3925.801
evtd5	-10441.08	2106.458	-4.96	0.000	-14630.74	-6251.423
evtd6	-10273.88	2548.937	-4.03	0.000	-15343.61	-5204.145
evtd7	-10482.92	2760.694	-3.80	0.000	-15973.83	-4992.012
evtd8	-11225.3	3079.472	-3.65	0.000	-17350.24	-5100.35
evtd9	-8647.097	2221.734	-3.89	0.000	-13066.04	-4228.158
dt2	477.3036	509.5884	0.94	0.352	-536.2472	1490.854
dt3	1007.535	615.2114	1.64	0.105	-216.0954	2231.166
dt4	972.5116	607.9031	1.60	0.113	-236.5833	2181.606
dt5	-23.28089	497.6991	-0.05	0.963	-1013.184	966.6226
m6	2359.678	1467.766	1.61	0.112	-559.6491	5279.006
m7	820.9196	1819.034	0.45	0.653	-2797.065	4438.904
m8	-655.9596	2052.121	-0.32	0.750	-4737.545	3425.626
m9	-1540.818	1830.435	-0.84	0.402	-5181.479	2099.844
_cons	59773.18	1261.959	47.37	0.000	57263.19	62283.16
rho	.6678873					

Durbin-Watson statistic (original)   0.893779
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.693709

Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

Source	SS	df	MS
Model	748543200	18	41585733.3
Residual	322163133	83	3881483.53
Total	1.0707e+09	101	10601052.8

Number of obs = 102
F(18, 83) = 10.71
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = 0.6991
Adj R-squared = 0.6339
Root MSE = 1970.1

load19	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
cdd_bldall	1074.74	166.854	6.44	0.000	742.8736	1406.605
evtd1	-4489.457	1977.456	-2.27	0.026	-8422.537	-556.378
evtd2	-3814.603	2238	-1.70	0.092	-8265.896	636.6892
evtd3	-687.4516	1971.551	-0.35	0.728	-4608.787	3233.884
evtd4	-4182.87	1691.199	-2.47	0.015	-7546.597	-819.1438
evtd5	-5686.913	2039.88	-2.79	0.007	-9744.152	-1629.674
evtd6	-3560.729	2486.703	-1.43	0.156	-8506.682	1385.223
evtd7	-8434.336	2703.705	-3.12	0.002	-13811.9	-3056.776
evtd8	-7252.221	2994.412	-2.42	0.018	-13207.99	-1296.456
evtd9	-5067.278	2149.088	-2.36	0.021	-9341.728	-792.8286
dt2	-9.09008	489.813	-0.02	0.985	-983.3085	965.1283
dt3	778.8873	592.7026	1.31	0.192	-399.9743	1957.749
dt4	546.8597	585.7017	0.93	0.353	-618.0775	1711.797
dt5	242.6915	478.6413	0.51	0.613	-709.3069	1194.69
m6	2069.739	1469.028	1.41	0.163	-852.0997	4991.577
m7	967.6217	1823.909	0.53	0.597	-2660.06	4595.303
m8	510.0768	2049.496	0.25	0.804	-3566.288	4586.441
m9	-1947.523	1864.43	-1.04	0.299	-5655.799	1760.752
_cons	57863.1	1277.668	45.29	0.000	55321.87	60404.33
rho	.6884825					

Durbin-Watson statistic (original)   0.856416
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.939818

Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

Source	SS	df	MS
Model	676410766	18	37578375.9
Residual	309076459	83	3723812.76
Total	985487225	101	9757299.26

Number of obs = 102
F(18, 83) = 10.09
Prob > F = 0.0000
R-squared = 0.6864
Adj R-squared = 0.6184
Root MSE = 1929.7

load20	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
cdd_bldall	881.7017	158.0601	5.58	0.000	567.3264	1196.077
evtd1	-2278.748	1975.471	-1.15	0.252	-6207.881	1650.386
evtd2	-1745.166	2206.656	-0.79	0.431	-6134.115	2643.784
evtd3	211.2558	1967.989	0.11	0.915	-3702.995	4125.507
evtd4	-1201.007	1726.96	-0.70	0.489	-4635.86	2233.847
evtd5	-2014.326	2010.62	-1.00	0.319	-6013.369	1984.717
evtd6	-1442.012	2372.038	-0.61	0.545	-6159.901	3275.877
evtd7	-2455.266	2539.27	-0.97	0.336	-7505.773	2595.24
evtd8	-5376.832	2892.027	-1.86	0.067	-11128.96	375.2926
evtd9	-2205.994	2127.391	-1.04	0.303	-6437.289	2025.302
dt2	118.544	498.9877	0.24	0.813	-873.9224	1111.01
dt3	1154.751	597.1562	1.93	0.057	-32.96868	2342.471
dt4	503.9771	589.9496	0.85	0.395	-669.4091	1677.363

```
        dt5 |    428.5158    486.7092      0.88   0.381     -539.5293    1396.561
         m6 |    1884.046    1254.273      1.50   0.137     -610.6525    4378.744
         m7 |    1102.509    1552.904      0.71   0.480     -1986.156    4191.173
         m8 |    832.2401    1780.182      0.47   0.641      -2708.47     4372.95
         m9 |   -1179.044    1498.171     -0.79   0.434     -4158.847    1800.758
       _cons|    56838.42    1054.033     53.92   0.000      54741.99    58934.85
------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        rho |    .5981217
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     0.953467
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed)  2.093612


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

    Source |       SS         df       MS                Number of obs =     102
-----------+------------------------------               F( 18,    83) =    9.87
     Model |   650621898      18    36145661             Prob > F      =  0.0000
  Residual |   304113759      83   3664021.19            R-squared     =  0.6815
-----------+------------------------------               Adj R-squared =  0.6124
     Total |   954735656     101   9452828.28            Root MSE      =  1914.2


-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
     load21 |      Coef.    Std. Err.      t     P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------
   cdd_bldall|   870.2729    153.2282      5.68   0.000      565.5082    1175.038
      evtd1 |   -570.7097    1975.81      -0.29   0.773     -4500.516    3359.097
      evtd2 |   1297.243    2188.365      0.59   0.555     -3055.327    5649.814
      evtd3 |   1047.071    1967.604      0.53   0.596     -2866.414    4960.555
      evtd4 |   -162.8789    1750.66      -0.09   0.926      -3644.87    3319.113
      evtd5 |  -1779.804    1999.559     -0.89   0.376     -5756.847    2197.239
      evtd6 |  -2656.634    2317.118     -1.15   0.255     -7265.289    1952.022
      evtd7 |  -2266.997    2462.674     -0.92   0.360     -7165.157    2631.163
      evtd8 |  -3006.781    2837.609     -1.06   0.292     -8650.672    2637.109
      evtd9 |  -2370.663    2119.054     -1.12   0.266     -6585.376     1844.05
        dt2 |   59.47766    505.2216      0.12   0.907     -945.3878    1064.343
        dt3 |    1391.61    600.3153      2.32   0.023      197.6072    2585.613
        dt4 |   504.5759    593.0046      0.85   0.397     -674.8864    1684.038
        dt5 |   290.3544    492.5765      0.59   0.557     -689.3604    1270.069
         m6 |   1536.774    1156.993      1.33   0.188     -764.4385    3837.987
         m7 |   702.2638    1436.351      0.49   0.626     -2154.581    3559.108
         m8 |  -120.1943    1666.054     -0.07   0.943     -3433.908    3193.519
         m9 |  -2547.668    1355.379     -1.88   0.064     -5243.462    148.1252
       _cons|   56785.91    967.6476     58.68   0.000       54861.3    58710.52
------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
        rho |    .5493447
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.025502
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed)  2.132139


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

    Source |       SS         df       MS                Number of obs =     102
-----------+------------------------------               F( 18,    83) =    9.38
     Model |   662134171      18   36785231.7            Prob > F      =  0.0000
  Residual |   325547703      83   3922261.48            R-squared     =  0.6704
-----------+------------------------------               Adj R-squared =  0.5989
     Total |   987681874     101   9779028.46            Root MSE      =  1980.5


-----------------------------------------------------------------------------
     load22 |      Coef.    Std. Err.      t     P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-----------+----------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
 cdd_bldall |    691.6277    154.8242     4.47   0.000     383.6886    999.5668
      evtd1 |     612.096     2057.18     0.30   0.767    -3479.552    4703.744
      evtd2 |    2867.346    2258.979     1.27   0.208    -1625.672    7360.364
      evtd3 |    3277.441    2048.099     1.60   0.113    -796.1448    7351.027
      evtd4 |   -1750.412    1846.825    -0.95   0.346    -5423.673    1922.848
      evtd5 |    668.3937    2073.027     0.32   0.748    -3454.773     4791.56
      evtd6 |   -589.2168     2363.06    -0.25   0.804    -5289.248    4110.814
      evtd7 |   -2961.806    2496.315    -1.19   0.239    -7926.876    2003.264
      evtd8 |   -2197.709    2901.479    -0.76   0.451    -7968.633    3573.216
      evtd9 |   -1785.372    2199.123    -0.81   0.419    -6159.339    2588.594
        dt2 |    781.0919    532.4745     1.47   0.146    -277.9783    1840.162
        dt3 |    1521.078    628.0037     2.42   0.018      272.0038    2770.152
        dt4 |    569.1098    620.2999     0.92   0.362    -664.6417    1802.861
        dt5 |    759.3892    519.1141     1.46   0.147    -273.1079    1791.886
         m6 |    1345.791    1121.545     1.20   0.234    -884.9168    3576.499
         m7 |     1065.53    1398.003     0.76   0.448    -1715.041      3846.1
         m8 |     1369.63    1638.295     0.84   0.406    -1888.872    4628.132
         m9 |   -2311.369    1297.292    -1.78   0.078     -4891.63     268.892
      _cons |    55300.93    939.4682    58.86   0.000     53432.36    57169.49
------------+---------------------------------------------------------------
        rho |   .5043848
----------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.157966
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 2.030752


Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =    8.28
       Model |  746022370     18  41445687.2           Prob > F      =  0.0000
    Residual |  415290905     83  5003504.88           R-squared     =  0.6424
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.5648
       Total | 1.1613e+09    101  11498151.2           Root MSE      =  2236.9


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
     load23 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
 cdd_bldall |    643.0611    170.1483     3.78   0.000     304.6431    981.4791
      evtd1 |    1859.311    2336.251     0.80   0.428    -2787.398     6506.02
      evtd2 |    4015.118    2540.419     1.58   0.118    -1037.673    9067.909
      evtd3 |    4247.341    2325.514     1.83   0.071    -378.0127    8872.694
      evtd4 |   -3307.769    2127.747    -1.55   0.124    -7539.771    924.2342
      evtd5 |    1270.683    2345.832     0.54   0.589    -3395.082    5936.448
      evtd6 |    1392.958    2628.483     0.53   0.598    -3834.989    6620.905
      evtd7 |    -858.752    2760.489    -0.31   0.757    -6349.253    4631.749
      evtd8 |   -2777.846    3231.529    -0.86   0.392    -9205.228    3649.535
      evtd9 |   -2583.239    2490.051    -1.04   0.303    -7535.851    2369.373
        dt2 |    1428.917    613.0705     2.33   0.022     209.5446     2648.29
        dt3 |    2330.427    716.6343     3.25   0.002     905.0698    3755.783
        dt4 |    858.9063    707.7858     1.21   0.228    -548.8512    2266.664
        dt5 |     1171.02    597.8404     1.96   0.054    -18.06095      2360.1
         m6 |     1425.25    1184.742     1.20   0.232    -931.1548    3781.656
         m7 |    1422.658    1484.639     0.96   0.341    -1530.229    4375.545
         m8 |    2313.479     1757.01     1.32   0.192    -1181.143    5808.101
         m9 |   -1613.582    1357.365    -1.19   0.238    -4313.327    1086.163
      _cons |    53517.65    998.9081    53.58   0.000     51530.87    55504.44
------------+-----------------------------------------------------------------
        rho |   .4566805
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)    1.235034
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.977682
```

```
Prais-Winsten AR(1) regression -- iterated estimates

      Source |       SS       df       MS              Number of obs =     102
-------------+------------------------------           F( 18,    83) =    9.17
       Model |  684020121      18  38001117.8           Prob > F      =  0.0000
    Residual |  344093386      83  4145703.45           R-squared     =  0.6653
-------------+------------------------------           Adj R-squared =  0.5927
       Total | 1.0281e+09     101  10179341.7           Root MSE      =  2036.1


------------------------------------------------------------------------------
     load24 |      Coef.   Std. Err.      t    P>|t|     [95% Conf. Interval]
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
  cdd_bldall |   651.6104   146.8086     4.44   0.000     359.6141    943.6068
       evtd1 |   2665.525   2142.114     1.24   0.217    -1595.053    6926.103
       evtd2 |   4098.634   2286.063     1.79   0.077    -448.2539    8645.522
       evtd3 |   3483.793   2132.121     1.63   0.106    -756.9096    7724.495
       evtd4 |  -3572.944   2002.437    -1.78   0.078    -7555.711    409.8226
       evtd5 |   1629.968   2141.656     0.76   0.449    -2629.699    5889.635
       evtd6 |   4835.471   2330.056     2.08   0.041     201.0827    9469.859
       evtd7 |  -392.2466   2425.156    -0.16   0.872    -5215.784    4431.291
       evtd8 |  -2811.431   2860.876    -0.98   0.329    -8501.598    2878.736
       evtd9 |  -2706.769   2273.523    -1.19   0.237    -7228.714    1815.176
         dt2 |   1190.853   577.1665     2.06   0.042     42.89166    2338.814
         dt3 |   1642.546   661.9506     2.48   0.015     325.9532    2959.139
         dt4 |   536.7593   653.7126     0.82   0.414    -763.4489    1836.967
         dt5 |   899.7892   563.4913     1.60   0.114    -220.9723    2020.551
          m6 |   1908.212   962.3009     1.98   0.051    -5.765715     3822.19
          m7 |    987.944   1218.865     0.81   0.420     -1436.33    3412.218
          m8 |   2221.591   1463.482     1.52   0.133    -689.2152    5132.396
          m9 |  -1218.403   1091.033    -1.12   0.267    -3388.425    951.6183
       _cons |   52177.85   828.6499    62.97   0.000      50529.7       53826
-------------+----------------------------------------------------------------
         rho |   .3709801
------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Durbin-Watson statistic (original)     1.332944
Durbin-Watson statistic (transformed) 1.984697
```

3. Event Specific Load Impacts for Capacity Bidding Program

Customers have the choice to enroll in different options of the capacity bidding program. Results here are shown for the day-ahead 4 hour maximum event length option (DA4), the day-ahead 6 hour maximum (DA6), and the day-of 4 hour maximum (DO4). The results for the DO4 option are further split out into large customers and all other customers because large differences between the sizes of the customers enrolled required these groups to be modeled separately.

07/03/2007				Capacity Bidding	DA4 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	10.4	10.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.4	0.7
2	9.8	9.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.7	-0.5	-0.1	0.3	0.6
3	9.7	9.2	-0.5	0.0	-1.3	-1.0	-0.5	0.0	0.2
4	9.6	9.9	0.2	0.0	-0.5	-0.2	0.2	0.7	1.0
5	10.4	10.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.3	0.6
6	11.3	11.6	0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.2	0.3	0.8	1.0
7	11.5	12.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.8	1.4	1.7
8	12.2	13.7	1.4	0.0	0.2	0.6	1.4	2.2	2.7
9	12.5	14.5	2.0	4.0	0.4	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.6
10	13.5	14.5	1.0	9.0	0.3	0.6	1.0	1.5	1.8
11	13.7	13.9	0.2	6.0	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.6
12	13.7	13.7	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	13.7	11.4	-2.4	7.0	-2.7	-2.6	-2.4	-2.1	-2.0
14	13.4	9.5	-3.9	7.0	-4.7	-4.4	-3.9	-3.4	-3.1
15	13.1	8.6	-4.6	5.1	-5.2	-4.9	-4.6	-4.2	-3.9
16	13.2	8.8	-4.4	5.1	-5.1	-4.8	-4.4	-4.1	-3.8
17	12.7	8.5	-4.2	0.9	-4.9	-4.6	-4.2	-3.8	-3.5
18	12.3	8.5	-3.9	0.0	-4.6	-4.3	-3.9	-3.4	-3.2
19	11.6	10.9	-0.7	0.0	-1.4	-1.1	-0.7	-0.2	0.1
20	11.0	10.3	-0.7	0.0	-1.4	-1.2	-0.7	-0.3	0.0
21	11.1	9.9	-1.2	0.0	-1.9	-1.6	-1.2	-0.8	-0.5
22	11.3	9.6	-1.6	0.0	-2.7	-2.3	-1.6	-0.9	-0.5
23	11.1	9.6	-1.5	0.0	-2.5	-2.1	-1.5	-0.9	-0.5
24	13.9	12.4	-1.5	0.0	-2.4	-2.1	-1.5	-1.0	-0.7
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	287	262	-25	51.1	-44	-37	-25	-14	-7

40

07/05/2007				Capacity Bidding	DA4 Total Load Impact			
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	9.9	7.7	-2.2	0.0	-3.0	-2.7	-2.2	-1.7	-1.3
2	9.4	7.9	-1.5	0.0	-2.2	-1.9	-1.5	-1.1	-0.9
3	9.3	7.6	-1.8	0.0	-2.5	-2.2	-1.8	-1.3	-1.0
4	9.3	7.9	-1.5	0.0	-2.2	-1.9	-1.5	-1.0	-0.7
5	10.1	8.5	-1.6	0.0	-2.3	-2.0	-1.6	-1.1	-0.8
6	10.7	9.9	-0.8	0.0	-1.5	-1.2	-0.8	-0.3	0.0
7	11.2	9.8	-1.5	0.0	-2.3	-2.0	-1.5	-0.9	-0.6
8	11.3	10.9	-0.4	0.0	-1.7	-1.2	-0.4	0.4	0.8
9	11.2	11.9	0.7	0.0	-0.9	-0.3	0.7	1.7	2.3
10	11.7	12.2	0.5	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.3
11	12.2	12.4	0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.7
12	12.3	12.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	12.5	10.2	-2.3	0.0	-2.6	-2.5	-2.3	-2.1	-1.9
14	12.2	8.5	-3.7	2.0	-4.6	-4.2	-3.7	-3.2	-2.9
15	12.0	8.4	-3.7	4.0	-4.3	-4.0	-3.7	-3.3	-3.1
16	12.2	8.4	-3.8	7.0	-4.4	-4.2	-3.8	-3.4	-3.2
17	11.6	8.3	-3.3	0.0	-4.0	-3.7	-3.3	-2.9	-2.6
18	11.1	7.8	-3.2	0.0	-4.0	-3.7	-3.2	-2.8	-2.5
19	10.3	10.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.3	0.6
20	9.7	9.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.3	0.6
21	9.7	9.8	0.1	0.0	-0.6	-0.4	0.1	0.5	0.8
22	9.6	10.5	0.8	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.8	1.5	1.9
23	9.7	10.4	0.7	0.0	-0.3	0.1	0.7	1.3	1.7
24	12.7	12.7	0.0	0.0	-0.9	-0.5	0.0	0.5	0.9
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	262	234	-28	13.0	-47	-40	-28	-17	-10

41

07/27/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA4 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	10.8	10.9	0.1	0.0	-0.8	-0.4	0.1	0.7	1.0
2	10.2	10.2	0.0	0.0	-0.7	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.7
3	10.0	10.3	0.3	0.0	-0.5	-0.2	0.3	0.8	1.1
4	9.8	10.1	0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.3	0.2	0.7	1.0
5	10.8	11.1	0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.1	0.3	0.8	1.1
6	11.8	11.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.4	0.7
7	12.3	11.9	-0.3	0.0	-1.3	-0.9	-0.3	0.2	0.6
8	13.3	12.6	-0.7	0.0	-2.1	-1.6	-0.7	0.1	0.6
9	14.2	13.3	-0.9	6.0	-2.6	-2.0	-0.9	0.1	0.8
10	14.2	13.8	-0.5	11.0	-1.3	-1.0	-0.5	0.0	0.3
11	14.5	14.3	-0.3	13.0	-0.7	-0.5	-0.3	0.0	0.2
12	14.5	14.5	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	14.6	14.3	-0.4	7.9	-0.7	-0.6	-0.4	-0.1	0.0
14	14.7	12.7	-2.0	9.9	-2.9	-2.5	-2.0	-1.5	-1.1
15	13.8	9.2	-4.6	9.0	-5.2	-5.0	-4.6	-4.2	-4.0
16	13.6	9.0	-4.6	6.0	-5.2	-5.0	-4.6	-4.2	-3.9
17	13.3	8.7	-4.5	6.0	-5.2	-5.0	-4.5	-4.1	-3.8
18	12.7	8.3	-4.4	3.1	-5.2	-4.9	-4.4	-3.9	-3.6
19	11.7	9.3	-2.4	0.0	-3.2	-2.9	-2.4	-2.0	-1.7
20	11.0	10.6	-0.4	0.0	-1.2	-0.9	-0.4	0.0	0.3
21	11.2	10.4	-0.8	0.0	-1.6	-1.3	-0.8	-0.4	-0.1
22	11.6	10.9	-0.7	0.0	-1.9	-1.4	-0.7	0.0	0.4
23	11.3	10.9	-0.4	0.0	-1.5	-1.1	-0.4	0.2	0.6
24	14.0	9.9	-4.1	0.0	-5.0	-4.7	-4.1	-3.5	-3.2
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	300	269	-31	87.9	-50	-43	-31	-19	-12

Hour Ending	08/15/2007 Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Capacity Bidding DA4 Total Load Impact Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	11.3	11.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.5	0.8
2	10.9	10.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.3	0.5
3	10.7	10.8	0.1	0.0	-0.7	-0.4	0.1	0.6	0.9
4	10.5	10.9	0.4	0.0	-0.4	-0.1	0.4	0.9	1.2
5	11.2	11.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.4	0.7
6	12.6	12.3	-0.3	0.0	-1.1	-0.8	-0.3	0.2	0.5
7	13.3	13.3	0.0	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	0.0	0.6	0.9
8	14.5	14.9	0.4	0.0	-1.0	-0.5	0.4	1.2	1.7
9	15.1	15.9	0.8	4.0	-0.9	-0.3	0.8	1.8	2.5
10	15.1	14.8	-0.4	7.0	-1.2	-0.9	-0.4	0.1	0.5
11	15.6	15.4	-0.1	9.9	-0.6	-0.4	-0.1	0.2	0.3
12	15.6	15.6	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	15.5	15.5	0.0	12.1	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.3
14	15.2	14.1	-1.1	9.9	-2.0	-1.7	-1.1	-0.6	-0.3
15	14.9	10.3	-4.6	9.9	-5.3	-5.0	-4.6	-4.2	-4.0
16	14.3	10.3	-4.1	7.0	-4.7	-4.5	-4.1	-3.7	-3.4
17	14.3	10.0	-4.4	5.1	-5.1	-4.8	-4.4	-3.9	-3.7
18	14.0	9.9	-4.1	0.9	-4.9	-4.6	-4.1	-3.6	-3.3
19	12.9	11.6	-1.3	0.0	-2.1	-1.8	-1.3	-0.9	-0.6
20	12.2	11.2	-0.9	0.0	-1.7	-1.4	-0.9	-0.5	-0.2
21	12.5	11.4	-1.0	0.0	-1.8	-1.5	-1.0	-0.5	-0.3
22	12.7	12.2	-0.5	0.0	-1.6	-1.2	-0.5	0.2	0.7
23	12.2	11.9	-0.3	0.0	-1.3	-0.9	-0.3	0.4	0.8
24	15.1	15.2	0.1	0.0	-0.8	-0.4	0.1	0.7	1.1
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	322	301	-22	80.0	-41	-34	-22	-10	-2

08/16/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA4 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	11.3	11.7	0.4	0.0	-0.5	-0.1	0.4	1.0	1.3
2	10.9	10.9	0.0	0.0	-0.7	-0.4	0.0	0.4	0.7
3	10.5	10.5	0.1	0.0	-0.7	-0.4	0.1	0.6	0.9
4	10.4	10.7	0.3	0.0	-0.5	-0.2	0.3	0.8	1.1
5	11.3	11.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.4	0.7
6	12.6	12.3	-0.2	0.0	-1.0	-0.7	-0.2	0.3	0.6
7	13.2	12.9	-0.2	0.0	-1.2	-0.8	-0.2	0.3	0.7
8	14.4	14.6	0.2	2.0	-1.2	-0.7	0.2	1.0	1.5
9	15.1	16.0	0.9	7.0	-0.8	-0.1	0.9	2.0	2.6
10	15.1	15.6	0.4	13.0	-0.4	-0.1	0.4	0.9	1.3
11	15.5	15.4	0.0	9.9	-0.5	-0.3	0.0	0.3	0.4
12	15.4	15.4	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	15.5	15.4	-0.1	9.9	-0.5	-0.4	-0.1	0.1	0.2
14	15.6	15.1	-0.5	9.0	-1.3	-1.0	-0.5	0.1	0.4
15	14.7	10.5	-4.2	7.9	-4.8	-4.5	-4.2	-3.8	-3.5
16	14.4	10.3	-4.2	7.9	-4.8	-4.6	-4.2	-3.8	-3.5
17	14.1	10.0	-4.1	7.9	-4.8	-4.5	-4.1	-3.7	-3.4
18	13.5	9.7	-3.8	6.0	-4.6	-4.3	-3.8	-3.3	-3.0
19	12.4	11.7	-0.7	0.0	-1.5	-1.2	-0.7	-0.3	0.0
20	11.7	11.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.1	0.4	0.7
21	11.9	11.8	-0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.3	0.6
22	12.4	12.7	0.3	0.0	-0.8	-0.4	0.3	1.0	1.5
23	11.8	11.9	0.1	0.0	-1.0	-0.6	0.1	0.7	1.1
24	14.4	15.0	0.6	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.6	1.1	1.5
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	318	303	-15	89.7	-34	-27	-15	-3	4

08/21/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA4 Total Load Impact				
				Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	**Estimated Reference Load (mWh)**	**Actual Event Day Load (mWh)**	**Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)**	**Weighted Average Temperature (°F)**				
				10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	10.9	10.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.4	0.7
2	10.5	10.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.2	0.4
3	10.2	9.9	-0.3	0.0	-1.0	-0.7	-0.3	0.2	0.5
4	10.2	9.9	-0.2	0.0	-1.0	-0.7	-0.2	0.2	0.5
5	10.9	10.6	-0.3	0.0	-1.1	-0.8	-0.3	0.2	0.5
6	11.9	11.9	0.0	0.0	-0.8	-0.5	0.0	0.4	0.7
7	12.4	12.9	0.5	0.0	-0.4	-0.1	0.5	1.0	1.4
8	13.2	14.1	0.9	0.0	-0.4	0.1	0.9	1.7	2.2
9	13.2	15.3	2.1	4.0	0.5	1.1	2.1	3.1	3.7
10	14.3	14.3	0.0	9.9	-0.7	-0.4	0.0	0.5	0.8
11	14.6	14.5	0.0	14.1	-0.5	-0.3	0.0	0.2	0.4
12	14.6	14.6	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	14.6	14.4	-0.2	16.9	-0.5	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2
14	14.1	11.0	-3.1	14.1	-4.0	-3.7	-3.1	-2.6	-2.3
15	14.0	10.0	-4.0	12.1	-4.6	-4.3	-4.0	-3.6	-3.3
16	14.0	10.0	-4.0	9.0	-4.6	-4.3	-4.0	-3.6	-3.3
17	13.5	9.6	-3.9	5.1	-4.5	-4.3	-3.9	-3.4	-3.2
18	13.1	9.7	-3.4	0.9	-4.1	-3.8	-3.4	-2.9	-2.6
19	12.2	11.3	-0.9	0.0	-1.6	-1.3	-0.9	-0.4	-0.1
20	11.7	11.2	-0.5	0.0	-1.2	-0.9	-0.5	0.0	0.3
21	11.7	11.3	-0.4	0.0	-1.1	-0.9	-0.4	0.0	0.3
22	11.9	12.1	0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.5	0.2	0.9	1.3
23	11.5	11.4	-0.1	0.0	-1.1	-0.7	-0.1	0.5	0.9
24	14.3	14.1	-0.2	0.0	-1.0	-0.7	-0.2	0.4	0.7
	Energy Use (kWh)	**Event Day Energy Use**	**Energy Use (kWh)**	**Degree Hours**	**Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles**				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	303	285	-18	101.0	-36	-29	-18	-6	1

45

08/29/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA4 Total Load Impact				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	10.8	11.0	0.2	0.0	-0.7	-0.4	0.2	0.7	1.0
2	10.5	11.0	0.5	0.0	-0.2	0.1	0.5	0.9	1.2
3	10.3	10.5	0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.3	0.2	0.6	0.9
4	10.2	10.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.1	0.4	0.7
5	10.9	10.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.8	-0.5	-0.1	0.4	0.7
6	12.0	12.2	0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.3	0.2	0.6	0.9
7	12.7	12.8	0.1	0.0	-0.7	-0.4	0.1	0.7	1.0
8	13.5	13.8	0.3	0.9	-0.9	-0.4	0.3	1.1	1.6
9	13.5	14.7	1.2	5.1	-0.4	0.2	1.2	2.2	2.8
10	13.8	14.3	0.6	7.0	-0.2	0.1	0.6	1.0	1.3
11	14.3	14.1	-0.2	7.9	-0.7	-0.5	-0.2	0.0	0.2
12	14.5	14.5	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	14.4	12.1	-2.4	12.1	-2.7	-2.6	-2.4	-2.2	-2.0
14	13.9	10.8	-3.2	9.0	-4.0	-3.7	-3.2	-2.6	-2.3
15	14.0	10.1	-4.0	9.0	-4.6	-4.3	-4.0	-3.6	-3.3
16	13.6	9.8	-3.8	7.9	-4.4	-4.2	-3.8	-3.4	-3.2
17	13.5	9.6	-3.9	5.1	-4.6	-4.3	-3.9	-3.5	-3.2
18	13.2	9.3	-3.9	2.0	-4.6	-4.4	-3.9	-3.5	-3.2
19	12.2	11.7	-0.5	0.0	-1.2	-0.9	-0.5	0.0	0.3
20	11.5	11.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.3	0.5
21	11.7	11.5	-0.2	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.2	0.3	0.6
22	11.7	12.4	0.7	0.0	-0.4	0.1	0.7	1.4	1.8
23	11.4	11.7	0.3	0.0	-0.7	-0.3	0.3	0.9	1.3
24	14.4	14.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.9	-0.6	-0.1	0.5	0.8
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	303	285	-18	76.0	-36	-29	-18	-7	0

08/31/2007				Capacity Bidding DA4 Total Load Impact					
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	9.5	8.8	-0.7	0.0	-1.7	-1.3	-0.7	0.0	0.4
2	9.4	8.9	-0.5	0.0	-1.3	-1.0	-0.5	0.0	0.4
3	9.2	8.8	-0.3	0.0	-1.3	-0.9	-0.3	0.3	0.6
4	9.4	8.6	-0.8	0.0	-1.8	-1.4	-0.8	-0.2	0.1
5	10.0	8.8	-1.1	0.0	-2.1	-1.7	-1.1	-0.5	-0.2
6	10.4	10.0	-0.4	0.0	-1.3	-1.0	-0.4	0.2	0.6
7	11.2	10.9	-0.3	0.0	-1.4	-1.0	-0.3	0.4	0.8
8	10.6	11.6	1.0	2.0	-0.6	0.0	1.0	1.9	2.5
9	9.3	12.1	2.8	9.9	0.7	1.5	2.8	4.0	4.8
10	10.3	12.2	2.0	13.0	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.6	3.0
11	11.0	11.4	0.4	16.9	-0.1	0.1	0.4	0.8	1.0
12	11.4	11.4	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	11.4	11.1	-0.3	12.1	-0.7	-0.5	-0.3	0.0	0.2
14	10.7	10.5	-0.2	12.1	-1.3	-0.9	-0.2	0.4	0.8
15	11.4	9.7	-1.7	13.0	-2.4	-2.1	-1.7	-1.2	-0.9
16	11.7	9.7	-2.0	12.1	-2.8	-2.5	-2.0	-1.5	-1.2
17	11.0	9.4	-1.6	9.9	-2.4	-2.1	-1.6	-1.1	-0.7
18	10.4	8.8	-1.6	9.0	-2.6	-2.2	-1.6	-1.1	-0.7
19	9.7	9.9	0.2	3.1	-0.7	-0.3	0.2	0.8	1.2
20	9.1	10.7	1.5	2.0	0.6	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.4
21	9.1	11.0	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.4	1.9	2.5	2.8
22	8.6	12.1	3.5	0.0	2.1	2.7	3.5	4.4	4.9
23	8.9	11.4	2.5	0.0	1.3	1.7	2.5	3.3	3.8
24	11.8	13.5	1.7	0.0	0.6	1.0	1.7	2.3	2.8
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	245	251	6	130.1	-17	-8	6	20	29

47

07/03/2007			Capacity Bidding DA6					
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Total Load Impact Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.0	4.0	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
2	4.0	4.0	0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
3	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.3
4	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
5	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
6	4.3	4.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
7	6.6	6.4	-0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2
8	6.4	6.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.1	0.1	0.2
9	6.4	6.4	0.0	4.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	6.5	6.4	-0.1	9.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
11	6.6	6.6	0.0	6.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
12	6.7	6.7	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.7	6.7	0.0	7.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	6.8	6.7	0.0	7.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	6.7	4.5	-2.2	5.1	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.2
16	6.8	4.4	-2.4	5.1	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3
17	6.7	4.3	-2.4	0.9	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3
18	6.7	6.3	-0.4	0.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3
19	6.7	6.6	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
20	6.9	6.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.0
21	7.4	7.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
22	7.3	7.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
23	4.6	6.0	1.4	0.0	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.5
24	4.1	5.6	1.5	0.0	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	140	135	-5	51.1	-9	-8	-5	-3	-2

48

07/05/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA6 Total Load Impact					
				Weighted	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	3.9	3.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
2	4.0	3.8	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
3	3.9	3.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
4	3.8	3.6	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.0
5	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6	4.2	4.8	0.6	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7
7	6.4	6.8	0.3	0.0	-0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	0.7
8	6.3	6.4	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4
9	6.3	6.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
10	6.3	6.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
11	6.4	6.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
12	6.5	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.6	4.3	-2.3	0.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2
14	6.6	4.3	-2.3	2.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2
15	6.6	4.4	-2.2	4.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.2
16	6.6	4.4	-2.2	7.0	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1
17	6.6	4.4	-2.2	0.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1
18	6.5	4.3	-2.2	0.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1
19	6.5	6.2	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2
20	6.7	6.8	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3
21	7.3	7.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
22	7.1	7.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
23	4.5	5.9	1.4	0.0	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6
24	4.0	5.5	1.5	0.0	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.7
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	137	128	-10	13.0	-13	-12	-10	-8	-7

07/27/2007			Capacity Bidding		DA6 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated Reference	Actual Event Day	Estimated Load Impact	Weighted Average Temperature	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Load (mWh)	Load (mWh)	(mWh/hour)	e (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.0	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
2	4.0	4.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5
3	3.9	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
4	3.9	4.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
5	3.9	4.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
6	4.2	5.1	0.8	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
7	6.5	6.5	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4
8	6.4	6.4	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
9	6.3	6.4	0.1	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
10	6.4	6.5	0.1	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
11	6.5	6.5	0.0	13.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
12	6.6	6.6	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.6	4.3	-2.3	7.9	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3
14	6.7	4.2	-2.5	9.9	-2.5	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
15	6.7	4.2	-2.5	9.0	-2.6	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
16	6.7	4.2	-2.5	6.0	-2.6	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
17	6.6	4.1	-2.5	6.0	-2.6	-2.6	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
18	6.6	4.1	-2.5	3.1	-2.6	-2.6	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
19	6.6	6.1	-0.5	0.0	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
20	6.8	6.7	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
21	7.3	7.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
22	7.2	7.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
23	4.6	6.0	1.5	0.0	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6
24	4.0	5.5	1.5	0.0	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	139	129	-10	87.9	-13	-12	-10	-8	-7

07/27/2007				Capacity Bidding	DA6 Total Load Impact			
				Weighted	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
	Estimated Reference	Actual Event Day	Estimated Load Impact	Average Temperatur					
Hour Ending	Load (mWh)	Load (mWh)	(mWh/hour)	e (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.0	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
2	4.0	4.3	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.5
3	3.9	4.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
4	3.9	4.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
5	3.9	4.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
6	4.2	5.1	0.8	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.0
7	6.5	6.5	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2	0.4
8	6.4	6.4	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
9	6.3	6.4	0.1	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
10	6.4	6.5	0.1	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
11	6.5	6.5	0.0	13.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
12	6.6	6.6	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.6	4.3	-2.3	7.9	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3
14	6.7	4.2	-2.5	9.9	-2.5	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
15	6.7	4.2	-2.5	9.0	-2.6	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
16	6.7	4.2	-2.5	6.0	-2.6	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
17	6.6	4.1	-2.5	6.0	-2.6	-2.6	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
18	6.6	4.1	-2.5	3.1	-2.6	-2.6	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
19	6.6	6.1	-0.5	0.0	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
20	6.8	6.7	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
21	7.3	7.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
22	7.2	7.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
23	4.6	6.0	1.5	0.0	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6
24	4.0	5.5	1.5	0.0	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.6
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	139	129	-10	87.9	-13	-12	-10	-8	-7

08/15/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA6 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.2	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
2	4.2	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
3	4.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
4	4.1	4.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
5	4.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6	4.5	4.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
7	6.9	6.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.4	-0.2	0.0	0.2
8	6.7	6.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.2
9	6.7	6.7	0.0	4.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	6.8	6.7	-0.1	7.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
11	6.9	6.8	-0.1	9.9	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
12	7.0	7.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	7.0	7.0	0.0	12.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
14	7.1	7.0	-0.1	9.9	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
15	7.0	4.8	-2.2	9.9	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.2
16	7.0	4.6	-2.4	7.0	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4	-2.4
17	7.0	4.6	-2.5	5.1	-2.6	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4
18	7.0	6.5	-0.5	0.9	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
19	7.0	6.8	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
20	7.4	7.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1
21	7.8	7.5	-0.2	0,0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
22	7.6	7.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
23	4.9	4.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
24	4.4	4.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	147	138	-9	80.0	-13	-11	-9	-7	-6

08/16/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA6 Total Load Impact					
				Weighted	**Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles**				
Hour Ending	**Estimated Reference Load (mWh)**	**Actual Event Day Load (mWh)**	**Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)**	**Average Temperature (°F)**	**10th%ile**	**30th%ile**	**50th%ile**	**70th%ile**	**90th%ile**
1	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2
2	4.2	4.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
3	4.1	4.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3
4	4.0	4.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3
5	4.1	4.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3
6	4.5	4.6	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
7	6.9	6.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.5	-0.3	-0.1	0.1	0.3
8	6.7	6.6	-0.1	2.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.2
9	6.6	6.6	0.0	7.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
10	6.7	6.7	0.0	13.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
11	6.8	6.8	0.0	9.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	6.8	6.8	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.9	6.9	0.0	9.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	6.9	6.9	-0.1	9.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
15	6.9	4.7	-2.2	7.9	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.2
16	6.9	4.6	-2.3	7.9	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3
17	6.9	4.6	-2.3	7.9	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2
18	6.9	4.5	-2.4	6.0	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3
19	6.9	6.4	-0.5	0.0	-0.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
20	7.3	7.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.1
21	7.6	7.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
22	7.4	7.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
23	4.8	4.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
24	4.2	4.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	**Actual Event Day Energy Use**	**Change in Energy Use (kWh)**	**Cooling Degree Hours**	**Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles**				
					10th	**30th**	**50th**	**70th**	**90th**
Daily	145	136	-10	89.7	-13	-12	-10	-8	-6

08/21/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA6 Total Load Impact				
				Weighted	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
	Estimated Reference	Actual Event Day	Estimated Load Impact	Average Temperature					
Hour Ending	Load (mWh)	Load (mWh)	(mWh/hour)	e (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.0	4.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.3
2	4.1	4.2	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4
3	4.0	4.1	0.1.	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.4
4	3.9	4.0	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3
5	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2
6	4.4	4.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
7	6.9	6.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.5	-0.2	0.0	0.2
8	6.7	6.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.1	0.0	0.1
9	6.6	6.6	0.0	4.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	6.7	6.7	0.0	9.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
11	6.7	6.8	0.0	14.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12	6.8	6.8	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.9	6.8	0.0	16.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	6.9	4.8	-2.1	14.1	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1	-2.1	-2.0
15	6.9	4.7	-2.2	12.1	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1
16	6.9	4.7	-2.2	9.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1
17	6.9	4.7	-2.2	5.1	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1
18	6.8	4.7	-2.2	0.9	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1	-2.1
19	6.8	6.5	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2
20	7.3	7.4	0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
21	7.6	7.7	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
22	7.4	7.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
23	4.7	4.7	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
24	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	144	133	-11	101.0	-14	-13	-11	-9	-7

08/29/2007			Capacity Bidding	DA6 Total Load Impact				
			Weighted	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.0	3.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
2	4.1	4.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
3	4.0	3.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.1
4	4.0	3.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.1
5	4.0	3.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
6	4.4	4.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
7	6.8	6.6	-0.2	0.0	-0.5	-0.4	-0.2	0.1	0.2
8	6.6	6.4	-0.1	0.9	-0.4	-0.3	-0.1	0.0	0.1
9	6.5	6.5	0.0	5.1	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	6.6	6.6	0.0	7.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
11	6.7	6.7	0.0	7.9	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
12	6.8	6.8	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.8	6.9	0.1	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
14	6.9	6.8	0.0	9.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	6.8	4.6	-2.2	9.0	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.1
16	6.8	4.6	-2.3	7.9	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2
17	6.8	4.5	-2.3	5.1	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2
18	6.8	4.5	-2.3	2.0	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2
19	6.8	6.4	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2
20	7.3	7.5	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.5
21	7.6	7.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
22	7.4	7.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
23	4.7	4.7	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
24	4.2	4.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	143	133	-10	76.0	-14	-12	-10	-8	-7

08/31/2007			Capacity Bidding DA6						
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Total Load Impact Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr) - Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	4.2	4.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
2	4.3	4.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2
3	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
4	4.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
5	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6	4.6	4.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
7	6.9	6.9	0.0	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	0.0	0.2	0.3
8	6.8	6.7	-0.1	2.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.1	0.2
9	6.8	6.7	-0.1	9.9	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
10	6.8	6.8	0.0	13.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	6.9	6.9	0.0	16.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	7.0	7.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	7.0	7.0	-0.1	12.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
14	7.1	4.9	-2.2	12.1	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2	-2.2	-2.2
15	7.1	4.8	-2.3	13.0	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.2
16	7.1	4.7	-2.3	12.1	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3	-2.3
17	7.0	4.7	-2.4	9.9	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3
18	7.0	4.6	-2.4	9.0	-2.5	-2.4	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3
19	7.0	4.6	-2.4	3.1	-2.5	-2.5	-2.4	-2.3	-2.3
20	7.5	7.2	-0.3	2.0	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
21	7.8	7.6	-0.1	2.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
22	7.6	7.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
23	4.9	4.9	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
24	4.4	4.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	148	133	-15	130.1	-18	-17	-15	-13	-12

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
2	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
3	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
4	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
5	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
6	1.5	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
7	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
8	2.3	2.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
9	2.2	2.2	0.0	4.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	2.5	2.5	-0.1	7.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
11	3.2	3.2	0.0	9.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	3.3	3.3	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	3.6	3.5	-0.1	12.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
14	3.7	3.5	-0.2	9.9	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
15	4.0	3.5	-0.5	9.9	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
16	3.7	3.2	-0.5	7.0	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.3	-0.3
17	3.7	3.2	-0.5	5.1	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3
18	3.8	3.3	-0.5	0.9	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3
19	3.5	3.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
20	3.6	3.4	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
21	3.5	3.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
22	3.3	3.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
23	2.6	2.4	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
24	1.9	1.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	63	59	-4	80.0	-7	-6	-4	-2	-1

57

08/20/2007			Capacity Bidding	DO4 Total Load Impact					
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
2	1.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
3	1.3	1.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
4	1.3	1.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
5	1.5	1.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1
6	1.8	1.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
7	2.2	2.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
8	2.3	2.1	-0.1	2.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
9	2.5	2.4	-0.1	6.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
10	3.2	3.2	-0.1	9.9	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
11	3.4	3.3	-0.1	12.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
12	3.5	3.5	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	3.6	3.6	0.0	13.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
14	3.6	3.6	0.0	16.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
15	3.6	3.4	-0.3	12.1	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
16	3.6	3.3	-0.3	9.9	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
17	3.7	3.3	-0.4	9.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2
18	3.7	3.4	-0.3	5.1	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2
19	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
20	3.5	3.5	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
21	3.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
22	2.6	2.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
23	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
24	1.5	1.5	0.1	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	64	62	-2	106.1	-5	-4	-2	0	1

58

08/21/2007			Capacity Bidding	DO4 Total Load Impact				
				Weighted	**Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles**			
Hour Ending	**Estimated Reference Load (mWh)**	**Actual Event Day Load (mWh)**	**Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)**	**Average Temperature (°F)**	**10th%ile**	**30th%ile**	**50th%ile**	**70th%ile**	**90th%ile**
1	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
2	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
3	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
4	1.4	1.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
5	1.6	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1
6	1.9	1.7	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
7	2.3	2.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
8	2.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
9	2.6	2.5	0.0	4.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
10	3.3	3.2	-0.1	9.9	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
11	3.5	3.5	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
12	3.6	3.6	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	3.7	3.8	0.0	16.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
14	3.7	3.8	0.0	14.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
15	3.7	3.2	-0.5	12.1	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.4
16	3.7	3.2	-0.5	9.0	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3
17	3.8	3.3	-0.5	5.1	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3
18	3.8	3.3	-0.5	0.9	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3
19	3.7	3.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
20	3.6	3.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
21	3.4	3.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
22	2.7	2.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
23	2.0	2.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
24	1.5	1.5	0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	**Actual Event Day Energy Use**	**Change in Energy Use (kWh)**	**Cooling Degree Hours**	**Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles**				
					10th	**30th**	**50th**	**70th**	**90th**
Daily	66	63	-3	101.0	-6	-5	-3	-1	0

59

08/28/2007			Capacity Bidding	DO4 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
2	1.5	1.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
3	1.5	1.4	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
4	1.5	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
6	2.1	1.9	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
7	2.5	2.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
8	2.6	2.3	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1
9	2.8	2.7	-0.1	3.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0
10	3.7	3.5	-0.1	7.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
11	3.8	3.7	-0.1	9.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
12	4.0	4.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	4.1	4.2	0.1	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
14	4.1	4.1	0.1	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
15	4.1	3.7	-0.4	11.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2
16	4.1	3.9	-0.2	7.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
17	4.1	3.9	-0.2	5.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
18	4.1	4.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
19	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
20	3.9	3.9	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
21	3.7	3.7	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
22	2.9	2.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
23	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
24	1.7	1.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	72	70	-2	69.3	-5	-4	-2	0	1

08/29/2007			Capacity Bidding	DO4 Total Load Impact				
			Weighted	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
2	1.6	1.5	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
3	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
4	1.6	1.6	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
5	1.8	1.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
6	2.2	2.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0
7	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
8	2.7	2.8	0.1	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
9	2.9	3.0	0.0	5.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
10	3.8	3.8	0.0	7.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
11	4.0	4.1	0.1	7.9	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
12	4.2	4.2	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	4.3	4.3	0.0	12.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	4.3	4.2	-0.1	9.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.0
15	4.3	3.9	-0.5	9.0	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3
16	4.4	3.5	-0.9	7.9	-1.2	-1.1	-0.9	-0.8	-0.7
17	4.4	3.5	-0.9	5.1	-1.1	-1.0	-0.9	-0.8	-0.8
18	4.4	3.7	-0.7	2.0	-0.9	-0.8	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5
19	4.3	4.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
20	4.1	4.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	0.0	0.0
21	4.0	3.8	-0.1	0.0	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
22	3.1	2.9	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
23	2.4	2.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
24	1.8	1.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	76	72	-4	76.0	-8	-6	-4	-2	-1

08/30/2007			Capacity Bidding DO4 Total Load Impact						
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	1.5	1.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
2	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
3	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
4	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
5	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
6	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
7	2.5	2.6	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3
8	2.6	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4
9	2.8	2.9	0.1	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2
10	3.7	3.5	-0.1	9.9	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
11	3.9	3.8	-0.1	11.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
12	4.0	4.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	4.1	4.1	0.1	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1
14	4.1	4.2	0.1	16.9	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.2
15	4.1	4.0	-0.1	9.9	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1
16	4.1	3.9	-0.2	6.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	0.0	0.1
17	4.1	3.8	-0.3	7.0	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2
18	4.1	4.2	0.2	4.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3
19	4.0	4.3	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5
20	3.8	4.1	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4
21	3.7	3.9	0.2	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4
22	2.9	3.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3
23	2.2	2.4	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3
24	1.6	1.7	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	72	73	1	95.8	-2	-1	1	3	4

62

08/31/2007			Capacity Bidding	DO4 Total Load Impact					
				Weighted	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	1.8	1.6	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
2	1.8	1.6	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
3	1.8	1.5	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1
4	1.8	1.5	-0.2	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1
5	2.0	1.7	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2
6	2.4	2.1	-0.3	0.0	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
7	2.9	2.7	-0.3	0.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
8	3.0	2.8	-0.3	2.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
9	3.3	3.1	-0.2	9.9	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1
10	4.3	4.2	-0.2	13.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
11	4.5	4.4	-0.1	16.9	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
12	4.7	4.7	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	4.8	4.8	0.0	12.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
14	4.8	4.8	0.0	12.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
15	4.8	4.3	-0.5	13.0	-0.6	-0.6	-0.5	-0.3	-0.3
16	4.8	4.3	-0.5	12.1	-0.7	-0.6	-0.5	-0.3	-0.2
17	4.8	4.5	-0.3	9.9	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
18	4.8	4.5	-0.3	9.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
19	4.7	4.4	-0.3	3.1	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
20	4.5	4.2	-0.2	2.0	-0.4	-0.4	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
21	4.3	4.0	-0.3	2.0	-0.5	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1
22	3.4	3.2	-0.2	0.0	-0.4	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
23	2.6	2.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.1
24	1.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
	Reference Energy Use (kWh)	Actual Event Day Energy Use	Change in Energy Use (kWh)	Cooling Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	84	79	-5	130.1	-9	-7	-5	-3	-2

07/03/2007			Capacity Bidding	LargeDO4 Total Load Impact					
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	-6.9	-4.3	0.0	4.3	7.0
2	2.6	2.6	0.0	0.0	-6.9	-4.3	0.0	4.2	6.8
3	1.5	2.5	1.0	0.0	-4.3	-2.3	1.0	4.3	6.3
4	0.9	2.6	1.7	0.0	-4.2	-2.0	1.7	5.3	7.6
5	0.9	2.7	1.7	0.0	-4.2	-2.0	1.7	5.4	7.7
6	1.2	3.1	1.9	0.0	-4.0	-1.7	1.9	5.5	7.8
7	1.5	3.6	2.1	0.0	-2.6	-0.8	2.1	5.0	6.8
8	2.9	4.5	1.6	0.0	-3.3	-1.4	1.6	4.6	6.4
9	4.2	5.0	0.9	4.0	-4.9	-2.7	0.9	4.5	6.6
10	6.2	5.0	-1.2	9.0	-5.8	-4.0	-1.2	1.7	3.4
11	6.9	6.3	-0.6	6.0	-2.6	-1.8	-0.6	0.7	1.4
12	6.1	6.1	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	6.9	6.2	-0.6	7.0	-5.4	-3.6	-0.6	2.3	4.1
14	8.7	6.0	-2.6	7.0	-9.7	-7.0	-2.6	1.7	4.4
15	9.0	3.8	-5.1	5.1	-11.9	-9.4	-5.1	-0.9	1.6
16	11.1	3.5	-7.6	5.1	-16.5	-13.1	-7.6	-2.0	1.4
17	9.0	3.0	-6.0	0.9	-14.1	-11.0	-6.0	-0.9	2.1
18	6.9	2.4	-4.5	0.0	-11.7	-9.0	-4.5	0.0	2.7
19	9.3	2.4	-6.9	0.0	-17.4	-13.4	-6.9	-0.4	3.6
20	9.8	3.0	-6.9	0.0	-17.7	-13.6	-6.9	-0.2	3.9
21	10.4	3.0	-7.3	0.0	-17.7	-13.7	-7.3	-0.9	3.0
22	9.7	2.9	-6.9	0.0	-16.0	-12.5	-6.9	-1.3	2.2
23	10.4	2.2	-8.3	0.0	-16.1	-13.1	-8.3	-3.4	-0.4
24	12.3	1.6	-10.7	0.0	-17.0	-14.6	-10.7	-6.8	-4.4
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	151	87	-64	51.1	-221	-161	-64	33	92

07/26/2007			Capacity Bidding	Large DO4 Total Load Impact				
				Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	5.9	6.5	0.6	0.0	-6.3	-3.7	0.6	4.9	7.5
2	5.8	6.3	0.5	0.0	-6.4	-3.8	0.5	4.8	7.4
3	10.4	6.3	-4.2	0.0	-9.5	-7.5	-4.2	-0.9	1.1
4	11.5	6.2	-5.3	0.0	-11.2	-8.9	-5.3	-1.6	0.6
5	11.8	6.7	-5.1	0.0	-11.0	-8.8	-5.1	-1.4	0.9
6	12.1	6.9	-5.2	0.0	-11.0	-8.8	-5.2	-1.5	0.7
7	13.5	9.2	-4.4	0.0	-9.0	-7.3	-4.4	-1.5	0.3
8	14.5	11.7	-2.8	0.0	-7.7	-5.8	-2.8	0.2	2.0
9	15.7	14.6	-1.0	5.1	-6.8	-4.6	-1.0	2.5	4.7
10	18.8	16.7	-2.2	9.0	-6.8	-5.0	-2.2	0.7	2.4
11	19.5	18.7	-0.8	11.0	-2.8	-2.0	-0.8	0.4	1.2
12	19.0	19.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	15.0	19.0	4.1	9.9	-0.7	1.1	4.1	7.0	8.8
14	13.3	18.2	4.9	6.0	-2.1	0.6	4.9	9.3	11.9
15	12.8	10.7	-2.1	6.0	-8.9	-6.3	-2.1	2.0	4.6
16	11.9	9.7	-2.3	5.1	-11.2	-7.8	-2.3	3.3	6.7
17	8.4	8.4	0.1	5.1	-8.0	-4.9	0.1	5.1	8.2
18	6.5	6.8	0.3	3.1	-6.9	-4.2	0.3	4.8	7.5
19	6.0	11.8	5.7	0.0	-4.8	-0.8	5.7	12.3	16.2
20	6.0	12.1	6.0	0.0	-4.8	-0.7	6.0	12.7	16.8
21	6.2	12.5	6.3	0.0	-4.0	-0.1	6.3	12.7	16.7
22	5.7	10.8	5.1	0.0	-4.0	-0.5	5.1	10.7	14.1
23	3.5	9.1	5.6	0.0	-2.3	0.7	5.6	10.5	13.5
24	2.9	8.3	5.5	0.0	-0.8	1.6	5.5	9.4	11.8
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	257	266	9	73.1	-147	-88	9	106	166

07/27/2007			Capacity Bidding Large DO4 Total Load Impact						
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	6.2	7.8	1.5	0.0	-5.4	-2.8	1.5	5.9	8.5
2	6.2	7.7	1.6	0.0	-5.4	-2.7	1.6	5.9	8.5
3	11.4	7.7	-3.7	0.0	-9.1	-7.0	-3.7	-0.4	1.7
4	12.6	8.7	-3.9	0.0	-9.8	-7.6	-3.9	-0.2	2.1
5	12.9	9.6	-3.4	0.0	-9.4	-7.1	-3.4	0.3	2.6
6	13.2	10.4	-2.9	0.0	-8.8	-6.6	-2.9	0.8	3.0
7	14.9	12.3	-2.6	0.0	-7.3	-5.5	-2.6	0.3	2.1
8	15.8	14.0	-1.8	0.0	-6.7	-4.8	-1.8	1.2	3.1
9	16.9	16.9	0.0	6.0	-5.8	-3.6	0.0	3.6	5.8
10	20.3	18.8	-1.5	11.0	-6.1	-4.4	-1.5	1.4	3.1
11	21.1	20.4	-0.6	13.0	-2.6	-1.9	-0.6	0.6	1.4
12	20.5	20.5	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	16.0	23.9	7.9	7.9	3.0	4.9	7.9	10.8	12.7
14	14.0	21.7	7.7	9.9	0.6	3.3	7.7	12.1	14.8
15	13.5	19.5	6.0	9.0	-0.8	1.7	6.0	10.2	12.8
16	12.5	20.1	7.7	6.0	-1.4	2.1	7.7	13.2	16.7
17	8.7	18.7	10.0	6.0	1.8	4.9	10.0	15.1	18.2
18	6.8	14.5	7.7	3.1	0.4	3.2	7.7	12.2	15.0
19	6.4	12.8	6.4	0.0	-4.2	-0.2	6.4	13.0	17.0
20	6.5	11.4	4.9	0.0	-6.0	-1.8	4.9	11.7	15.8
21	6.5	12.3	5.7	0.0	-4.7	-0.8	5.7	12.2	16.2
22	6.1	11.7	5.6	0.0	-3.6	-0.1	5.6	11.3	14.7
23	3.7	10.4	6.7	0.0	-1.2	1.8	6.7	11.6	14.6
24	3.0	10.0	7.0	0.0	0.6	3.0	7.0	10.9	13.3
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	276	342	66	87.9	-92	-32	66	164	224

Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	16.4	20.7	4.3	0.0	-3.0	-0.2	4.3	8.9	11.7
2	15.8	20.4	4.6	0.0	-2.7	0.1	4.6	9.1	11.9
3	21.3	20.3	-1.0	0.0	-6.6	-4.4	-1.0	2.5	4.6
4	22.0	20.3	-1.7	0.0	-7.9	-5.5	-1.7	2.2	4.5
5	22.3	20.8	-1.4	0.0	-7.8	-5.4	-1.4	2.5	4.9
6	22.6	21.8	-0.8	0.0	-7.0	-4.6	-0.8	3.1	5.4
7	24.8	24.0	-0.8	0.0	-5.8	-3.9	-0.8	2.2	4.1
8	26.9	25.7	-1.2	0.0	-6.4	-4.4	-1.2	1.9	3.9
9	30.2	28.4	-1.8	4.0	-7.9	-5.6	-1.8	2.0	4.3
10	33.7	30.5	-3.3	7.0	-8.1	-6.3	-3.3	-0.2	1.6
11	33.9	32.0	-2.0	9.9	-4.1	-3.3	-2.0	-0.7	0.1
12	32.5	32.5	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	27.8	32.2	4.3	12.1	-0.7	1.2	4.3	7.5	9.4
14	25.4	29.5	4.2	9.9	-3.3	-0.4	4.2	8.8	11.6
15	22.4	24.4	2.0	9.9	-5.2	-2.5	2.0	6.4	9.1
16	18.0	23.7	5.7	7.0	-3.8	-0.2	5.7	11.5	15.1
17	15.7	22.2	6.5	5.1	-2.1	1.2	6.5	11.8	15.1
18	13.4	20.5	7.1	0.9	-0.6	2.3	7.1	11.8	14.7
19	14.9	23.9	9.1	0.0	-2.0	2.2	9.1	16.0	20.2
20	14.5	26.5	12.0	0.0	0.6	5.0	12.0	19.1	23.5
21	13.6	27.7	14.1	0.0	3.1	7.3	14.1	20.8	25.0
22	12.9	26.4	13.5	0.0	3.9	7.5	13.5	19.4	23.1
23	10.7	24.5	13.8	0.0	5.5	8.6	13.8	18.9	22.1
24	9.1	23.5	14.4	0.0	7.8	10.3	14.4	18.5	21.0

	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	501	602	102	80.0	-64	-1	102	204	267

08/20/2007				Capacity Bidding LargeDO4 Total Load Impact				
	Estimated	Actual	Estimated	Weighted Average	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
	Reference	Event Day	Load Impact	Temperatur					
Hour Ending	Load (mWh)	Load (mWh)	(mWh/hour)	e (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	7.3	9.5	2.3	0.0	-4.7	-2.1	2.3	6.6	9.3
2	7.0	9.2	2.1	0.0	-4.8	-2.2	2.1	6.4	9.1
3	10.1	8.9	-1.2	0.0	-6.6	-4.5	-1.2	2.1	4.1
4	10.4	9.1	-1.3	0.0	-7.3	-5.0	-1.3	2.4	4.6
5	10.5	9.8	-0.7	0.0	-6.7	-4.4	-0.7	3.0	5.3
6	10.9	10.4	-0.5	0.0	-6.5	-4.2	-0.5	3.1	5.4
7	14.0	11.8	-2.2	0.0	-6.9	-5.1	-2.2	0.7	2.5
8	14.9	13.4	-1.5	2.0	-6.4	-4.5	-1.5	1.5	3.4
9	18.5	15.8	-2.6	6.0	-8.4	-6.2	-2.6	1.0	3.2
10	20.7	18.4	-2.3	9.9	-7.0	-5.2	-2.3	0.5	2.3
11	22.7	20.9	-1.8	12.1	-3.8	-3.1	-1.8	-0.6	0.2
12	22.6	22.6	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	19.4	22.4	3.1	13.0	-1.8	0.1	3.1	6.1	7.9
14	18.3	18.4	0.1	16.0	-7.0	-4.3	0.1	4.5	7.2
15	16.9	14.5	-2.4	12.1	-9.2	-6.6	-2.4	1.9	4.5
16	15.6	13.7	-2.0	9.9	-11.0	-7.6	-2.0	3.6	7.0
17	14.1	12.5	-1.6	9.0	-9.8	-6.7	-1.6	3.5	6.6
18	12.6	10.9	-1.7	5.1	-8.9	-6.2	-1.7	2.8	5.6
19	12.9	14.3	1.5	0.0	-9.1	-5.1	1.5	8.0	12.1
20	12.3	15.9	3.5	0.0	-7.4	-3.2	3.5	10.3	14.4
21	11.7	15.8	4.1	0.0	-6.4	-2.4	4.1	10.5	14.5
22	10.9	14.3	3.3	0.0	-5.8	-2.3	3.3	9.0	12.5
23	9.6	12.1	2.5	0.0	-5.4	-2.4	2.5	7.5	10.5
24	8.3	11.1	2.8	0.0	-3.5	-1.1	2.8	6.8	9.2
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	332	336	3	106.1	-154	-94	3	101	161

68

08/21/2007			Capacity Bidding	LargeDO4 Total Load Impact				
				Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Average Temperature (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	7.4	10.2	2.8	0.0	-4.4	-1.7	2.8	7.2	9.9
2	7.1	9.8	2.7	0.0	-4.4	-1.7	2.7	7.1	9.8
3	10.5	9.6	-0.9	0.0	-6.4	-4.3	-0.9	2.5	4.6
4	10.6	9.6	-1.1	0.0	-7.1	-4.8	-1.1	2.7	5.0
5	11.0	10.2	-0.8	0.0	-7.0	-4.6	-0.8	3.0	5.4
6	11.4	10.8	-0.6	0.0	-6.6	-4.3	-0.6	3.2	5.5
7	14.0	13.1	-1.0	0.0	-5.8	-3.9	-1.0	2.0	3.9
8	15.2	14.7	-0.5	0.0	-5.5	-3.6	-0.5	2.6	4.5
9	17.6	17.8	0.2	4.0	-5.8	-3.5	0.2	3.9	6.1
10	20.4	19.1	-1.3	9.9	-6.0	-4.2	-1.3	1.7	3.5
11	22.9	21.6	-1.3	14.1	-3.4	-2.6	-1.3	-0.1	0.7
12	22.2	22.2	0.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	19.2	22.4	3.2	16.9	-1.7	0.2	3.2	6.3	8.1
14	18.9	20.0	1.1	14.1	-6.2	-3.4	1.1	5.6	8.4
15	18.0	14.2	-3.8	12.1	-10.8	-8.1	-3.8	0.6	3.2
16	18.8	13.4	-5.4	9.0	-14.6	-11.1	-5.4	0.3	3.8
17	16.5	12.2	-4.3	5.1	-12.7	-9.5	-4.3	0.9	4.1
18	13.7	10.4	-3.3	0.9	-10.8	-8.0	-3.3	1.3	4.1
19	17.4	13.5	-3.8	0.0	-14.7	-10.6	-3.8	2.9	7.0
20	17.6	13.8	-3.9	0.0	-15.0	-10.8	-3.9	3.1	7.3
21	17.5	13.3	-4.2	0.0	-14.9	-10.9	-4.2	2.4	6.5
22	16.5	12.9	-3.7	0.0	-13.0	-9.5	-3.7	2.2	5.7
23	17.1	11.7	-5.5	0.0	-13.6	-10.5	-5.5	-0.4	2.7
24	17.8	10.9	-6.9	0.0	-13.4	-11.0	-6.9	-2.9	-0.5
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	380	337	-42	101.0	-204	-142	-42	58	119

08/28/2007			Capacity Bidding	LargeDO4 Total Load Impact					
	Estimated Reference	Actual Event Day	Estimated Load Impact	Weighted Average Temperature	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending	Load (mWh)	Load (mWh)	(mWh/hour)	e (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	6.8	8.9	2.2	0.0	-4.9	-2.2	2.2	6.6	9.3
2	6.4	8.6	2.2	0.0	-4.9	-2.2	2.2	6.5	9.2
3	8.6	8.3	-0.3	0.0	-5.7	-3.6	-0.3	3.1	5.1
4	8.4	8.4	0.0	0.0	-6.0	-3.7	0.0	3.8	6.0
5	8.7	8.9	0.2	0.0	-5.9	-3.6	0.2	4.0	6.3
6	9.0	9.4	0.4	0.0	-5.6	-3.4	0.4	4.1	6.4
7	11.4	10.7	-0.6	0.0	-5.4	-3.6	-0.6	2.3	4.1
8	12.7	10.2	-2.5	0.0	-7.5	-5.6	-2.5	0.6	2.5
9	15.1	11.3	-3.8	3.1	-9.7	-7.5	-3.8	-0.1	2.1
10	17.5	13.5	-3.9	7.0	-8.6	-6.8	-3.9	-1.0	0.8
11	19.8	15.5	-4.4	9.0	-6.4	-5.6	-4.4	-3.1	-2.3
12	19.1	19.1	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	17.1	20.0	2.8	9.0	-2.0	-0.2	2.8	5.9	7.7
14	17.4	16.2	-1.2	9.0	-8.4	-5.7	-1.2	3.3	6.0
15	16.7	13.9	-2.8	11.0	-9.7	-7.1	-2.8	1.5	4.1
16	17.7	11.9	-5.8	7.0	-14.9	-11.5	-5.8	-0.1	3.3
17	15.7	11.0	-4.8	5.1	-13.1	-9.9	-4.8	0.4	3.5
18	13.1	14.2	1.0	0.0	-6.4	-3.5	1.0	5.6	8.4
19	16.6	13.8	-2.8	0.0	-13.6	-9.5	-2.8	3.8	7.9
20	16.8	13.2	-3.6	0.0	-14.6	-10.5	-3.6	3.2	7.4
21	16.8	12.7	-4.0	0.0	-14.6	-10.6	-4.0	2.5	6.5
22	15.7	12.0	-3.7	0.0	-13.0	-9.4	-3.7	2.1	5.6
23	16.5	10.5	-6.0	0.0	-14.0	-11.0	-6.0	-1.0	2.0
24	17.4	9.7	-7.7	0.0	-14.2	-11.7	-7.7	-3.8	-1.3
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	341	292	-49	69.3	-209	-148	-49	50	111

08/29/2007			Capacity Bidding	LargeDO4 Total Load Impact				
				Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
	Estimated Reference	Actual Event Day	Estimated Load Impact	Average Temperatur					
Hour Ending	Load (mWh)	Load (mWh)	(mWh/hour)	e (°F)	10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	13.7	9.2	-4.6	0.0	-11.6	-8.9	-4.6	-0.3	2.4
2	13.2	8.8	-4.4	0.0	-11.3	-8.7	-4.4	-0.1	2.6
3	13.9	8.3	-5.7	0.0	-11.0	-9.0	-5.7	-2.3	-0.3
4	13.5	6.7	-6.8	0.0	-12.7	-10.5	-6.8	-3.1	-0.9
5	13.5	7.1	-6.4	0.0	-12.4	-10.1	-6.4	-2.7	-0.4
6	13.7	7.6	-6.1	0.0	-12.0	-9.8	-6.1	-2.4	-0.2
7	14.8	9.2	-5.6	0.0	-10.3	-8.5	-5.6	-2.7	-0.9
8	17.2	10.3	-6.9	0.9	-11.8	-10.0	-6.9	-3.9	-2.0
9	20.7	12.7	-8.0	5.1	-13.8	-11.6	-8.0	-4.4	-2.2
10	22.6	16.9	-5.7	7.0	-10.4	-8.6	-5.7	-2.9	-1.1
11	22.2	18.9	-3.3	7.9	-5.3	-4.5	-3.3	-2.1	-1.3
12	20.8	20.8	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	20.0	20.8	0.9	12.1	-4.0	-2.1	0.9	3.8	5.7
14	19.7	16.3	-3.4	9.0	-10.5	-7.8	-3.4	1.0	3.7
15	17.5	14.6	-2.9	9.0	-9.8	-7.2	-2.9	1.3	3.9
16	13.8	12.4	-1.4	7.9	-10.5	-7.0	-1.4	4.1	7.6
17	12.9	11.3	-1.5	5.1	-9.7	-6.6	-1.5	3.5	6.7
18	11.1	9.4	-1.7	2.0	-9.0	-6.2	-1.7	2.8	5.6
19	11.8	12.5	0.7	0.0	-9.9	-5.9	0.7	7.3	11.3
20	11.4	13.7	2.3	0.0	-8.6	-4.5	2.3	9.0	13.2
21	10.8	13.3	2.5	0.0	-8.0	-4.0	2.5	9.0	12.9
22	9.9	13.2	3.3	0.0	-5.9	-2.4	3.3	8.9	12.4
23	8.6	11.4	2.9	0.0	-5.0	-2.0	2.9	7.8	10.8
24	7.7	10.6	2.9	0.0	-3.4	-1.0	2.9	6.8	9.2
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	355	296	-59	76.0	-217	-157	-59	39	99

08/30/2007			Capacity Bidding	LargeDO4 Total Load Impact					
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	7.6	9.9	2.3	0.0	-4.4	-1.9	2.3	6.5	9.0
2	7.3	9.5	2.2	0.0	-4.5	-2.0	2.2	6.3	8.9
3	11.0	8.4	-2.5	0.0	-7.7	-5.7	-2.5	0.7	2.6
4	11.5	7.6	-3.8	0.0	-9.6	-7.4	-3.8	-0.3	1.9
5	11.7	8.1	-3.5	0.0	-9.3	-7.1	-3.5	0.0	2.3
6	11.9	8.8	-3.2	0.0	-8.9	-6.7	-3.2	0.4	2.5
7	14.5	10.8	-3.6	0.0	-8.2	-6.4	-3.6	-0.8	0.9
8	15.5	13.6	-1.9	0.0	-6.7	-4.9	-1.9	1.0	2.8
9	18.1	16.3	-1.8	5.1	-7.4	-5.2	-1.8	1.7	3.8
10	20.1	18.0	-2.1	9.9	-6.6	-4.9	-2.1	0.7	2.3
11	21.9	20.5	-1.4	11.0	-3.3	-2.6	-1.4	-0.2	0.5
12	21.5	21.5	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	18.1	21.5	3.4	14.1	-1.3	0.5	3.4	6.2	8.0
14	16.9	18.9	2.0	16.9	-4.9	-2.3	2.0	6.2	8.8
15	16.1	15.1	-1.0	9.9	-7.6	-5.1	-1.0	3.1	5.6
16	14.8	15.8	1.0	6.0	-7.7	-4.4	1.0	6.4	9.7
17	12.5	16.6	4.1	7.0	-3.8	-0.8	4.1	9.0	12.0
18	10.7	14.2	3.5	4.0	-3.5	-0.8	3.5	7.9	10.5
19	10.6	13.3	2.6	0.9	-7.6	-3.7	2.6	9.0	12.8
20	10.2	14.8	4.6	0.0	-5.9	-1.9	4.6	11.1	15.1
21	10.1	14.3	4.2	0.0	-5.9	-2.0	4.2	10.4	14.3
22	9.0	13.4	4.4	0.0	-4.4	-1.1	4.4	9.9	13.2
23	7.7	11.7	4.0	0.0	-3.6	-0.7	4.0	8.7	11.6
24	6.7	11.0	4.3	0.0	-1.8	0.5	4.3	8.0	10.4
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	316	334	18	95.8	-134	-77	18	112	170

08/31/2007			Capacity Bidding LargeDO4 Total Load Impact						
	Estimated Reference Load (mWh)	Actual Event Day Load (mWh)	Estimated Load Impact (mWh/hour)	Weighted Average Temperature (°F)	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hr)- Percentiles				
Hour Ending					10th%ile	30th%ile	50th%ile	70th%ile	90th%ile
1	7.4	10.3	2.9	0.0	-3.8	-1.2	2.9	7.1	9.7
2	7.1	9.8	2.7	0.0	-3.9	-1.4	2.7	6.9	9.4
3	10.4	10.0	-0.4	0.0	-5.6	-3.6	-0.4	2.7	4.7
4	10.8	9.7	-1.1	0.0	-6.9	-4.7	-1.1	2.4	4.6
5	11.0	10.1	-0.9	0.0	-6.7	-4.5	-0.9	2.7	4.9
6	11.3	11.1	-0.2	0.0	-5.9	-3.7	-0.2	3.4	5.5
7	13.7	13.8	0.1	0.0	-4.4	-2.7	0.1	2.9	4.6
8	14.8	14.8	0.0	2.0	-4.7	-2.9	0.0	2.9	4.7
9	17.4	16.9	-0.5	9.9	-6.1	-4.0	-0.5	3.0	5.1
10	19.3	18.4	-0.9	13.0	-5.4	-3.7	-0.9	1.8	3.5
11	21.1	20.0	-1.1	16.9	-3.0	-2.3	-1.1	0.1	0.8
12	20.6	20.6	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	17.6	20.1	2.6	12.1	-2.1	-0.3	2.6	5.4	7.2
14	16.5	15.8	-0.7	12.1	-7.5	-4.9	-0.7	3.5	6.1
15	15.8	13.8	-2.0	13.0	-8.6	-6.1	-2.0	2.1	4.6
16	14.5	13.1	-1.4	12.1	-10.1	-6.8	-1.4	4.0	7.3
17	12.3	15.1	2.8	9.9	-5.1	-2.1	2.8	7.6	10.6
18	10.6	14.5	4.0	9.0	-3.0	-0.4	4.0	8.3	11.0
19	10.4	11.7	1.3	3.1	-8.9	-5.0	1.3	7.6	11.5
20	10.0	12.5	2.5	2.0	-8.0	-4.0	2.5	9.0	13.0
21	9.8	13.1	3.2	2.0	-6.8	-3.0	3.2	9.4	13.3
22	8.8	13.1	4.3	0.0	-4.5	-1.1	4.3	9.8	13.1
23	7.5	12.6	5.1	0.0	-2.5	0.4	5.1	9.9	12.8
24	6.6	11.6	4.9	0.0	-1.1	1.2	4.9	8.7	11.0
	Energy Use (kWh)	Event Day Energy Use	Energy Use (kWh)	Degree Hours	Uncertainty Adjusted Impact (kWh/hour) - Percentiles				
					10th	30th	50th	70th	90th
Daily	305	332	27	130.1	-125	-67	27	121	179

73